It has been said that ...

"Those that will not read have no advantage over those who cannot read" ...

I would not read for many years. The Bible takes it a step further:

2 Timothy 2:15 "Study to show yourself approved to Yahweh ('God'), a workman that does not need to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of Truth".

Introduction

The Bible has a lot to say about Scriptural 'love'. Those that have accepted 'Christ' (the Messiah of Israel) are to "love your neighbor **as** yourself", "love your enemies", "love the 'Lord' thy 'God'" (Yahweh), "love one another", "by love serve one another", "grounded in love", "forbearing one another in love", , "walk in love", "love with faith", "that your love may abound", , "love in the Spirit.", "being knit together in love", "abound in love", "your work of faith, and labour of love", "the fruit of the Spirit is love" , "**the love of the truth**", "having the **same** love", "**speaking the truth in love**", etc. We are to love the Truth of Yahweh's Word ... which is quite sobering upon realizing that Yahweh has set His authentic Word above His very Name.

Psalms 138:2 "I will worship toward thy holy temple, and praise thy name for thy loving kindness and for thy truth: **for thou** hast **magnified thy word above** <u>*all*</u> **thy name**."

It is clear we are to 'Love the Truth' and 'Love in/by/with the Truth'. To any of us to be able to love the Truth, we must know that the Truth is in fact 'the Truth'. How can you possibly do that unless you test 'the Truth'? We should never be afraid to test the Truth, for if 'the Truth' is 'the Truth' no matter how many times it is tested; it will stand. We all must 'love one another'. We all must 'love **the truth**'; therefore I choose to love you as I would love myself ... with the "Truth". There is a very old adage saying that the "truth hurts". That the Truth can "cut like a knife". We all know from painful experience that the Truth can cut both ways, like a "double edge sword".

For that reason many actually wind up shielding themselves from the Truth. This can happen for various reasons in an instant ... in an immediate thoughtful moment of awareness or in can happen so slowly that there is no awareness of it happening at all ...

that we term as being 'deceived', which can be profoundly destructive. We can all agree that it is not 'Love' or loving at all to allow anyone to believe a lie ... building their lives and dreams on a lie. Is it love to allow someone to relax in cozy restful deep sleep on a burning bed?

Therefore I will love you with the Truth. The nature of Biblical Truth is not always fuzzy, warm and appealing ... "take up your cross" initially evokes none of those thoughts; but it is always inviting. There are many people ... some with us ... some that have gone before; that have been the models ... the pillars of accepted Biblical sincerity, verbally acclaiming and physically enacting their understanding of the Gospel that 'Christ and the Apostles' taught and lived. Unfortunately the Bible does not teach adherence to 'sincerity'. The Bible teaches us to find and adhere to ... and **do** the Truth.

1Thess.5:21 "Prove all things; hold fast that which is good" (Good - Valuable, Virtuous, Worthy i.e. True).

That Truth is older than the Bible, as is the reluctance to follow that Biblical Truth. Most of us never explore the Bible. We hear it; hear about it, have one or two lying around the house. We might look at it, maybe even read it. We read selected portions in Church and Sunday School or Sabbath Class, maybe even a Bible study. But how many of us actually take the time to personally study our Bibles in depth ... to actually knowledgably be versed in ... able to interactively with a working knowledge, converse on a given topic or subject ... or at least one?

As stated many times Biblical Truth is not always fun ... it can be down right dangerous; physically and socially; all we have to do is look at the lives of Paul and the other apostles. Biblical Truth is rewarding, but it is not always fun or safe to share let alone implement. We can find many accounts of those that have been reluctant to share a truth ... especially if it flies in the face of long time thoughts and practices that are not Biblical yet have gained the status of being 'sacred'; becoming ingrained in the fabric of Society, Church and Family as we know it. These 'sacred errors' in many ways are the primary cause of the deterioration that has devalued Society, Church and Family as we know it.

The Bible tells us that Satan seeks to deceive the 'whole world'. What part of 'whole' do you think you are not a part of? That deception is real ... starting before we were even born and has many diversified variations. There are masses of sweet and loving people, in and out of Church. There are many People that are regarded by others as 'saints' or 'saintly'. There are many that are humbly sincere 'good-people' folk that are Biblically sincerely deceived, that have never thought in Covenant terms due in great part because our religious 'higher-ups' don't teach in Covenant terms. We're usually not taught about Covenant or Covenant issues. Some of us have heard the word 'Covenant', but what does it really mean? ... Why is it significant? ... How is it significant? ... How does it involve me? ... How would it change anything? ... How would it change me? ... etc.

In the Bible the Apostle Paul states that 'love is the fulfilling of the law' (Rom.13:8). We must be aware that there can be a fundamentally profound difference between the Biblical terms 'the law' and "thy law" i.e. Yahweh's Law. That 'Love' ... that is; Yahweh's 'Love', is to be the purpose ... to fill-in the cracks (so to speak) ... and is the foundation basis of the "thy law" i.e. what Yahweh has Scripturally revealed as quote-unquote "My Law" (Ex.16:4, Prv.3:1, Isa.51:7, Jer.31:33, Ezk.22:26, Heb 8:10, Heb 10:16). Love is to be the propulsion, filling and overflow reason/by-product/basis and blessing for keeping Yahweh's Law. It all springs from and flows back to Scriptural Covenant 'Love'. We hear and talk about Salvation. We must realize this is Covenant Salvation. We must realize that this 'Covenant Salvation' includes Covenant Laws.

Heb.10:15 "Whereof the Set-Apart Spirit (Holy Ghost) also is a witness **to us**: for after that he had said before, 16 **This** is the covenant that I will make with them after those days, saith Yahweh (the Lord), I will put **my laws** into their hearts, and in their minds will I write them;" (Jer.31:31-33)

I want to use this 'Introduction' both to share some background information of myself and set the candor ... the tone of this presentation. First of all I want to make it plain I do not want to disbelieve the truth. but I certainly do not want to believe a lie. It is for that reason I would rather be found guilty of over testing what is ultimately the truth than under testing what is ultimately a lie. I have found that as we edge closer to the end of the age; instead of getting 'Sound' Biblical Teaching focused on Covenant revealed in Scripture; we increasingly tolerate, accept and ultimately defend teachings that sound Biblical but effectively ignore Covenant revealed in Scripture. This escalating situation increasingly and closely simulates Daniel chapter 11, 2 Timothy 4:3 and ultimately Revelation.

Satan has no reason to urge Covenant Keeping ... Satan's winning territory is an ignored and/or thus broken Covenant. Satan's crowning achievement is to foist a semblance of Biblical Covenant that is Not Biblically revealed Covenant at ALL. It is apparent that even though the Bible is the most indelible enduring recorded message system of all time, it is nonetheless misunderstood, misinterpreted, misrepresented and "mis-presented". This book you are reading concerning a more proper division of Law and Covenant will fare no better. If I could advise and be heard by you the reader; it would be to forget who's saying it ... especially the one/s you respect ... the ones you would never have thought to check upon; actually pick up your non-paraphrased Bible, look up any teaching, any comment, any reference attached to Scripture ... in context ... in relation to the several verses before and after ... comparing that with other 'in-context' verse groups related to the same topic. And at that; this is best compared from two other or more non-paraphrased Bible versions.

I was born, dedicated and raised in a Sunday keeping main line protestant Nazarene church, with all the theology/tradition "mix" that entails: you can do this, but you can't do that, we do this but we don't do that, we believe this but we don't believe that. This "mix" is constantly shifting and changing, as in most any denomination, by a Nationally Delegated Denominational Conference, mostly because it is based on the whims of man's idea of righteousness. For example, once dancing and movie-going where the distinctive marks of worldliness, but now some 40 years later, are A-OK/acceptable. This despite the fact that the character, moral focus and content of the majority of most movies and some dancing have clearly declined. These issues were particularly socially debilitating for me being a teen growing up through Junior High and High School during the 60's.

And who can forget the inordinate stress on money; tithing in particular that can only be directly substantiated by the Old Testament and even then has some interesting twists and caveats. Yet the Nazarene's are among the larger all-inclusive, general populous of the so-called New Testament Church that adamantly/ardently asserts to not be under Old Testament Law; that if you take any part of the so-called 'Old Law' forward you have to keep the whole Law. Yet tithing is Old Testament Levitical Law. Huh? ... So which way does it go? I hope you can distinguish this as being a 'Red Flag' ... an alert to a problem among a set of problems which includes 'inconsistency'.

I remember the continually reinforced rhetorical phrases and questions like: "Are you prayed up and paid up?" "I know how spiritual you are by the entries in your checkbook", "will a man rob God?", etc. I remember the pastor actually directing the ushers (including my father) to physically close the doors, not letting anyone in or out, having a type of forced pledge-a-thon resembling a cattle auction in this marshaled setting until the desired dollar amount was reached. That included a type of 'brain wash' 'mind massage' to outright audience badgering and character type assassinations to evoke feelings of plus if you did, especially minus if you did not cash out, thus robbing 'God' (Matt. 17:25-27; I Peter 5:2; I Tim.: 6:5).

The Nazarene Church cannot be singled out on this and other issues, sadly many of our churches, religious venues and religious icon personalities have become 'money munching machines' (2Peter 2:1-3), some more notorious than others. The Nazarene Church's foremost-asserted claim is to be a "Holiness" church. Yet the first thing you see made "Holy" in the Bible is the 7th Day Sabbath (Gen.2:3) ... another 'Red Flag'. As you can see, what little of the Bible I would read didn't sound much like what I was hearing.

Many things did not make sense or add up to integrity in my life. As a result I did not believe much or really trust a 'God' I really didn't understand. I did not walk in integrity, finally leaving anything related to Religion, Bible or Church for many years. However; I believe that the One I was taught to call 'God' used those years of not going to church to bring me to a place that I was willing ... insistent to channel the rage of my the past to search, test and know the truth; defining everything, defending nothing that went before. Certainly not believing any man's word simply by virtue of physical relation, position or title or the number of ABC's attached to his or her name.

I wrestled back then, as you, as many still ponder, and I have had this question repeatedly thrown in my face; ... How in the world can all of the Christian Church be wrong? Nevertheless that fact remains on many issues! Causing me (back then) and

countless others when searching through this convoluted mess to either legitimize it or leave. It is no secret that as a whole, in comparison to population growth many are leaving or have already left "the Church" As you can probably sense; I've learned my lesson; when it comes to Yahweh's Word; I am not going to legitimize anything without searching it out first, and it had better turn up as Truth no matter how many times I choose to test it, regardless of how many times it is challenged by others. Either it's the Truth or it's **not**. Either it's Scriptural Truth or it's **not**. Either it is so or it is **not**.

I have come to realize that just as vile words profane and compromise the integrity of an otherwise pure conversation, so a mix ...any mix of lie, error, imagination, idolatry, classic or neo-paganism, etc., profanes what should be the purity of reverence, praise and worship offered to Yahweh/YHWH ('God'). I have also come to realize that there is a difference between those going to church seeking truth and those administrating church that are trusted ... appearing to dispense 'the Truth' ... saying, 'this is the Truth'.

Many Church People, including those of the Nazarene Church, are good sincere people by any of the world's standard... but the Messiah did not die to make people we would consider 'good' or 'sincere' (Isa.64:6) ... Yahshua, the Messiah ('Jesus'), died to free people from lies and sin (Rev.22:15), to become the expressed image of His Character ... the Character of His Father (Lev.11:44-45, 1Peter 1:16). To die to themselves, submitted to His example and instruction, ultimately to be reckoned His Very Family through Covenant, the very same 'New Covenant' that <u>Yah</u>-weh's only begotten Son; <u>Yah</u>-shua Ha Meshiach ... Yahshua the Messiah , mediates as High Priest (Heb.6:20, 8:6).

My renewing Truth-quest started one Saturday morning in June of 1995. By this time I had already made a mess of my life, and had been divorced approximately 5 ¹/₂ years, certainly affecting my own family. I vividly remember my ex-father-in-law trying to speak to me about religion and the Bible. I looked at the man and said; with all the honesty I could muster, "Jason ... it's like a fairy tale that's never come true", I didn't completely realize it then, but I was speaking directly to what I have now come to know as 'Spiritual Schizophrenia'. But on this particular Saturday morning I was eating breakfast while watching T.V. The program changed and I changed with it, basically because this 'yard-sale' T.V. wasn't remote controlled, and I was too lazy to get up and change the channel. It was a 'Tomorrows World' telecast from the 7th Day Believing 'Living Church of God' faction of the now splintered World Wide Church of God. They were hard-hitting, no nonsense, take no prisoners without the money grab nonsense. They taught that the Bible will define itself. This has turned out to be very 'key'. I have found that the Bible very much defines itself.

I found myself realizing that the Bible could make sense. So I got their literature and started reading and studying my Bible, comparing what it said, with what they said it said, and that against what I remembered having been taught it said. I found myself really wanting to know and daring to have a belief I could understand and point to, but remembering all the crazy-making stuff, I prayed a less-than respectful bone-honest inyour-face prayer that if "I'm gonna do this, it'd better be the truth". I wanted to know --- I wanted to know which way it went, the truth of what is and if it was mine. I wanted the truth, knowledge and wisdom of a God I didn't really know or trust to make sense, the absolute truth of what to believe and do, "without the crap". [I apologize only that this is the truth, I would ask that you remember Paul's Php.3:8] ... I later filled in this ... what became/evolved as a colorful anachronism C.R.A.P. as... Christianized Replica Adulterating Praise; which like it or not, accurately captures what has happened in the 'religio-chris-ti-an' arena.

I unknowingly was standing directly in Proverbs 2:1-11. YHWH has revealed his word as promised; but it's like you'd better be careful of what you pray for, you just might get it. I have found that the closer you determine to walk by what the Bible actually says, the more you are out of step with most everyone else claiming a 'Bible' belief, and that includes friends, family, people in the church, right up to and in most cases especially the deified clergy. I knew there had to be a 'God', but the sum total of all the "mixed stuff" in and out of church did not add up (Jer.16:19). I knew if the Bible were truly YHWH's word, it would have to add up in all areas all the time *and* that there would have to be continuity and/or continuities that held YHWH's Authentic Truth together, without this shifting, disjointed, contradicting hodge-podge, willy-nilly misrepresented paganistic theological "mix".

I still didn't know how this all really worked or who to turn to. Certainly turning to the very ones spouting this 'Mix' stuff was not an option. Ultimately however, after much reading and study, that's exactly what I eventually did. I went back to the Sunday Churches armed with some very pointed questions ... and got some very conflicting answers. I was and still am determined that it had better be the Truth or nothing. In the Bible arena, I would rather the truth be the truth, and me look bad, than to believe a lie and think that I'm OK. Back then, at that "Truth-quest" point in my life I didn't have much to be proud of anyway. In reality, none of us really ever do (1Peter 4:18).

After reading this book, should you remember or read this Introduction again, I am certain you will find this observation amusing. This seems amusing even to me being that because of past confusion, I try to keep things simple. But what was simple has now turned into a complete exposé of what can only be termed as a paradigm shift. Still the core of this presentation is simple.

[["This insertion comes after having completed this book three days ago 10-15 -05. For that reason I am leaving this footnoted quote out of sequence. After reading another book on a different, yet somewhat related topic (of my wife's choice); we came across a profound statement that as I thought of it later, I realized that this was exactly what had happened with my struggle that has lead to the writing of this book and my fumbling previous explanation of simplicity; quote:

"The former Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holms said, 'I would not give a fig for the simplicity this side of complexity, but I would give my life for the simplicity this side of complexity.' In other words, the most difficult questions usually have simple answers, but you can't understand how profound the simple answer is unless you struggle with the complexity of the question. For example, you can't get any simpler than E=mc2, but there are very few people with enough knowledge of physics who are able to grasp the brilliance of Einstein's discovery⁴."

This above quote as I read it again leaves a certain overage ... a 'morethan' implication overtone in the word 'brilliance' that I personally cannot enjoy, I'm just not that quick and I certainly am not the sharpest pencil in the box. But gradually I have grown wise enough over the years to learn what is knowable from things left to be as they are saying what they say.]]

Continuing with the previous paragraph; "Still the core of this presentation is simple." The Salvation Covenants of Promise (Eph.2:12) are not part of Torah Law (Gal.3:18), in any Bible, Christian or Messianic. But the ramifications of this simple find, once understood and if ever enacted are fundamentally far-reaching and potentially decisively devastating to the status quo traditional knowledge on both sides of the Judeo-Christian hierarchy. This hierarchy has and will most certainly staunchly defend both the brand of the so-called 'truth' that is dispensed and the legitimacy of that particular 'franchised dispenser-ship' popularly known as 'Denomination'; and therein lays the multi-facetted complexities.

I'm writing this book, of which the whole premise of which is based on the observation of the simplicity of the New Testament, being that there is only two basic issues going on as it relates to sinful humankind (us); 1] an issue of Salvation, 2] an issue of Reward. Everything else is just something to argue about. For example where does the Bible ever say believe correctly about: the Trinity and you will be saved and/or have this or that reward; or the: Rapture, or the: State of the Dead, Gifts of the Spirit, Eternal Security, Dispensationalism, Hermeneutics, Exegetics, etc. and you will be saved and have this or that reward? No place! These things will be what they are going to be no matter who thinks what to the contrary. No matter who believes what. On the other hand the Bible does say at:

Rom.10:9-10 "That if

(A) thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Messiah Yahshua [Jesus], and shalt
(B) believe in thine heart that Yahweh [God] hath raised him from the dead,
(C) thou shalt be saved".

In all the years that I got away from things confusingly religious, this is the one verse I could remember and understand, very simply A+B = C. I've never forgotten that lesson and, as stated, I have come to the conclusion that YHWH/Yahweh has used all the years I was away, losing what was; having been steeped, stoked, soaked and coated in the religious stuff, to bring me to a place that I was willing to test the Truth defending nothing, which would have never happened if I had of stayed stoked in the church stuff

that was before. For whatever reason, YHWH has used that ten plus years of **not** being "steeped in the stuff," bringing me to a place where I was ready to see, hear, and accept more Truth than I have ever known, to the point of initially realizing the scope of Satan's deceiving the whole world, and not certain that I really want to know any more.

You start to see that the "mix" is exactly what 'Satan' "the god of this world" (2Cor.4:4) would initiate, introduce, maintain and add to: deceiving what is godly, compromising the church that buys into and subsequently enlarges the mix. The church many times then assumes a defending position to persuade converts ... being careful to not offend converts ... to keep the 'faithful' un-offended ... to fund the church through uninterrupted "ca\$h" contributions. Satan is then able to point out this same hypocritical fiasco circus ... that same "mix" inconsistency, and appeal to every stratum of intellect and belief mode, adjusting the "mix" from the most religious to the most atheistic, with all pointing fingers at each other, with an "us and they" mentality, oblivious to the plain word of YHWH.

Isaiah 28:10 states that "For precept must be upon precept, precept upon precept, line upon line, line upon line, here a little, there a little."

This book is my evidence to you that YHWH's Word is true, for He has added much more Knowledge, Wisdom and Remembrance than I ever had before. Revealing to me what I must present to you. I realize that in the majority of circles, the majority of times, what I'm about to present will not be popular, but I also realize that opposition to the Truth will never change the Truth. However I do know that if you are honest, you can agree with me that the Bible shows that going with the crowd is not that 'straight and narrow' way of Mt.7:13-23, which happens to be the gospel in miniature.

Mt.7:13-23 "Enter by the narrow gate; for wide is the gate and broad is the way that leads to destruction, and there are many who go in by it. 14 "Because narrow is the gate and straight is the way which leads to life, and there are few who find it.
15 "Beware of false prophets, who come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravenous wolves. 16 "You will know them by their fruits ... 21 "Not everyone who says to Me, 'Lord, Lord,' shall enter the kingdom of heaven, but he who does the will of My Father in heaven. ... 22 "Many will say to Me in that day, 'Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in Your Name, cast out demons in Your Name?'

... (Note: This group thinks they're in!)

23 "And then I will declare to them, 'I never knew you; depart from Me, you who practice lawlessness!"

Please remember these are Yahshua's own words and ask yourself:

1) What group would call this Messiah, 'Lord' or 'Master' ... haven't we done these wonderful things for you? ... "in your NAME!"- Hindus, Buddhists, Muslims, Orthodox Jews, Pagans, Wiccans, Satanists, Atheists, Taoists, etc.? It is a certainty that what ever 'Christ' claiming group you could conceive of, it would definitely have to include...the nominal -'not under the Law' - Judeo-Christian Church! Then ask yourself:

2) If the 'straight and narrow way' 'the few' find is the 'only way' to life, and assuming we would want to avoid Yahshua's "depart you who practice LAWLESSNESS" (NKJV) ... wouldn't the exact opposite ... 'Law-*ful*-ness' ... be truly what the few have found and practice? (Mt.19:17, 1Jn.2:3-6, Rev.12:17, 14:12, 22:14)

This would include many things we have been taught to ignore, including the realization that we are taught the importance of the 'Matchless Name', but we have actually been taught to ignore the Covenant and thereby the 'Matchless' Name of Scriptural Commandment contained immutably in Covenant.

As will be addressed in this book with increasing frequency, the 'Marriage Covenant' and the Revelation 'Marriage "Supper/Bride" of the Lamb' touches on many Hebraic origins, concepts and issues that are direct concerns for the New Testament believer that we must realize and avail ourselves to. It is fairly well known among avid Bible Students that when the word 'woman' is mentioned Biblically it many times symbolizes a religious system, be it true or corrupt. For example compare the 'woman' that escapes Satan into the wilderness, (Rev.12:6) to the direct opposite of the 'woman' that is "drunken with the blood of the saints" (Rev.17:6). Consider this telling quote:

"The marriage metaphor helps us to understand these contrasts. The Old Testament, as we have already mentioned, often portrayed Israel as God's Bride and compares its (Israel's) infidelity to adultery or prostitution. The Apocalypse (Revelation) employs the same language. The identity of the prostitute is certain. She is neither pagan nor a political power. In the line of Biblical tradition the prostitute of the Apocalypse embodies the infidelity of God's people, and in the perspective of the New Testament, she represents the church who has flirted and succumbed to worldly lovers. The Apocalypse identifies the prostitute with the power of Babel. Called "BABYLON THE GREAT, she incarnates both religiosity and Satan's desire to usurp God's role⁵."

It is a most sobering realization to ponder who this "BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS" (Rev.17:5) is in this Revelation passage. Realizing who this "MOTHER" still is and these daughters are. It is very disturbing to realize who these 'daughter harlots' include ... none other than the churches that would claim Christianity. The same New Testament Christian Church that claims **not** to be under quote-unquote 'The Law'. The same New Testament Christian Church that makes no distinction of 'The Law' or Covenant and therefore has 'done away with the law' and thereby does not walk in obedience to either. Instead claiming an allusion of 'faith' that both came from Abraham and "Christ" ignoring the Biblical **fact** that they both walked in a 'faith' that included Covenant Law (Gen. 26:5 - Mat. 7:23, 19:17; Rev. 12:17, 14:12, 22:14 KJV).

The intent of this book is to show that there is a set of Laws that are distinctively Covenant Law, that are set apart from all other categories and other considerations definable specifically and collaterally by the term "Law". A 'covenant' is an agreement ... to observe, abide by, and conduct ourselves according to the 'conditions' of that agreement; in this case Biblical Covenant. That being so; upon confirmation/ratification these agreed 'conditions' then become 'Covenant/Agreement Law'.

Many times when I ask ... "What Laws do the New Covenant contain?" ... "What have we agreed to?" ... "What are we to agree to?" ... I usually get an initial blank stare. The number one answer of the ones that will answer is "Love". While being a good answer, it is not a complete answer. The Beetles gave us 'All ya need is Love' ... there is not a pastor on the face of the earth worth his salt that would say the Beetles had the Biblical answer. This also reveals a tendency in the church culture towards what I can only describe as a 'Flash Card' mentality.

These 'Flash Cards' are at the moments ready to be the end all of any unanswerable or undesirable question, avoiding many times the only logical answer they do not want to give, and are usually peppered throughout conversations, discussions, teachings, presentations and sermons. They are the jargon, the buzzwords, the catch phrases, the labels, the banners, the avoidance deflector shields, the accepted knowledge, the axioms, etc. accepted as answers that don't answer anything completely enough and/or deeply enough and many times not correctly enough or in too many cases not even answers at all. These dis-information 'non-answers' inhibit the discovery of what can be discovered, insuring the uncompromised integrity of an unknowable knowledge therefore remaining unknown. We cannot hang everything on 'Love' for 'Love' is not the only fish in the net. While 'Love' is an integral main part of Scriptural Covenant, it is **not** the only issue.

Scriptural 'Love' is not some impassioned emotional ooey-gooey syrupy-dreamstate candy-coated warm and fuzzy feeling ; Scriptural 'Love' has its own issue ... that in Yahweh's economy; Scriptural 'Love' is truly Scriptural 'Love' only when it is Scripturally defined. We can learn many things if we will learn to unpack these labeled banners. From the Old Testament and the New Testament, we are told to ".. **love** YHWH thy Elohim with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. This is the first and great commandment" ({Deut.6:5} - Mt 22:37-38). And the second is like unto it, "Thou shalt **love** thy neighbour <u>as thyself</u>" ({Lev.19:18}- Mt 22:39). These are not new, these are direct quotes from Torah; the first five Books of the Old Testament. Many will then go on to misquote Mt.22:40 'On these two commandments <u>hang</u> all the law and the prophets'. But what they really wish to assert is that somehow these two *replaced* 'all the law and the prophets'. Further; insinuating that 'Love' is the purpose, the all-inclusive purpose imploded self feeding reason, the pinnacle, and the consuming apex of all. Instead of 'Love' being the expanded foundation of all, it now somehow is the imploded *replacement* of all. To the point - How would you **love** Yahweh with all your heart, soul, and mind? Would you worship another? Worship an Idol? Profane His Name? Forget His Name? Forget His Day?, Dishonor or not honor Yahweh as your Heavenly Father in anyway? Now how would you love your neighbour as yourself? Would you willingly not honor your own parents? Would you murder? Take the neighbor's spouse? Falsely witness against them? Knowingly covet or steal their actual belongings? No? Plainly unpacked this reveals 'Love' as part and parcel of the 10 Commandments uncut ... unaltered. The same Commandments from the beginning (2 John 1:6) ... re-stated in Covenant at Sinai ... re-stated in the New Testament - the same Commandments that are also part and parcel of the New Covenant.

1John 5:2 "By this we know that we love the children of YHWH, when we love YHWH, and keep His commandments.:3 For this is the love of YHWH, that we keep His commandments: and His commandments are <u>not</u> grievous." (Heb.4:2)

Nothing can replace or be instead of Covenant obedience; Nothing! ALL the hype, emotion, antics, sincerity, affirmations, volunteering, rigor, tithing, offerings, givings, avoidance, denial, hand raising, word repeating, flag waving, shouting, sanctuary running, tongue talking, floor flopping, forehead shoving, tape & book buying, response readings, naming and claiming, fever pitched fervor, ministry involvements, etc. in place of Truth will save No One! - And it will never fill the Covenant Law Void that has been willfully created by the historic so-called 'Church Fathers' albeit unknown to subsequent generations including this one. Nevertheless this has been accepted, built on and perpetuated as authentic 'Church'... You can be as sincere as you can imagine and still be sincerely deceived! Either we will be Scripturally Observant or just some sort of scattered 'Bible ascent religious associations'. Pretty much as we already have.

It should raise our critical interest that the premier showcase Old Testament Book of Malachi, used universally at Chapter 3 to "prove" Levitical tithing as being spuriously valid in the New Testament; at Chapter 4 (the last chapter of the last book of the Old Testament that give us the prophesies of Messiah) charges us **directly** to "Remember the law of Moses", specifically the "statutes and judgments" agreed to in Covenant at Mt. Sinai (in Horeb) in the Exodus Chapter of 'Torah' (The First 5 Bible Books of Moses).

Mal.4:4 "Remember ye the law of Moses my servant, which I commanded unto him in Horeb for **all** Israel, with the statutes and judgments." (Heb.4:2)

This is very reminiscent of Psalms 111:9-10; for these 'statutes and judgments' are Covenanted as will be shown.

Psalm 111:9 "He sent redemption unto his people: he hath commanded His **covenant** for ever: holy and

reverend is His <u>Name</u>.10 The fear of YHWH is the beginning of wisdom: a <u>good understanding</u> have all they that **do** His commandments: His praise endureth for ever."

This 'good understanding' certainly has to do with how we in integrity to this verse conduct our daily lives and seek to teach our own family and others. But first and foremost is how we apply this 'Covenant-Commandment' 'wisdom' and 'good understanding' to the 'rightly dividing' of His instruction and Truth to be able to conduct our daily lives and teach others true to this same integrity.

Some stress the idea that "<u>you</u> must have" a "personal relationship" with 'Jesus Christ'. Where is this found in the Bible? This idea leaves you with an inferred sense that *you* must cultivate a personal (intimate, fuzzy) relationship in order to achieve or receive ... somehow confirming us to be accepted? We have become dispensationally mesmerized, euphorically transfixed on an auto-pilot, free skate, pie in the sky, sweet rapture by and by mentality, where we don't have to BE or DO anything except cultivate this warm, fuzzy, spiritual RELATIONSHIP base on ... what? ... ANYTHING **BUT** the *LEGAL* side of YHWH's Word! I am not saying that the 'Covenant Relationship' does not have 'Personal Relationship' aspects or qualities, it is after all the Family Covenant, but it is based on YHWH's Actual Word not on our subjective individual suppositions or interpretive imaginations of "personal relationship". Scriptural Covenant as a Family collective is a one on one relationship, there are no side bets ... there are no other ways.

John 10:1 "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that enters **not** by the door into the sheepfold, but climbs up some other way, the same is a thief and a robber."

The fact that this "personal relationship" is even being lodged reveals the sense of loss, therefore the need to fill the Scriptural Family Covenant bond divested of its Scriptural Family Relationship Laws. More to the point, this Covenant with its Covenant Mediator mediating its Covenant Laws are the bond. Clearly we are to accept the Covenant Blood of this Covenant Mediator and the Covenant with its Covenant Laws He mediates. That is every Relationship; Love or otherwise has parameters. The Bible shows that we become "sons of YHWH" (Romans 10:9-10; John 1:12). The love relationship parameter is "keeping the commandments" (I John 5:2-3). We have Covenant Relationship with YHWH on YHWH's terms because of what He and His Son Yahshua have already **Lovingly** - *legally* done, through and by Covenant.

Doing anything beyond <u>believing acceptant observant obedience</u> smacks of attainment by non-covenant/non-scriptural works even if it is sincere "personal relationship" love works. We cannot side step the Covenant by a warm, fuzzy, lovey, happy place, religious, mantra focused mindset. No matter how focused or self assured. In the Biblical economy, truly in any thinking concept, love without obedience is not love at all. (Please contact me if you can Scripturally explain how to show sustained love through sustained disobedience.) If we could only work harder, wish harder, want harder,

believe harder, be better or be like Joe Christian and pray better... this is all "Gnostic" subjective imagination. Doing good deeds and being a better person are good, but Spiritual 'Fruit' is only an outgrowth of ... the direct response to ... having accepted thus **already** received ... YHWH's already given gift of Covenant.

That 'Gift' must be nurtured and maintained through Fidelity and Obedience, bringing increased awareness thus increased Fidelity and Obedience - an upwardly mobile constructive spiral. Covenant of itself is not the means '<u>to get</u>' some yet unattained "relationship" or an aspect thereof; it is the "relationship". And we supremely need to understand that the Salvation "Covenants of Promise" (Eph.2:12) contain Covenant Laws that are and always will be eternally and/or everlastingly current. If you ask about or question any of these things, (which you very much should) the 'clergy teachers' will probably (because their Biblical support is weak or non-existent) tell you to "pray about it."...Watch out! YHWH will never answer prayer or give direction that goes against His written Scriptures. Never! Not even in the smallest detail or thing. Just because we do not initially understand something and it can be seemingly explained away (initially) does not mean it is unknowable or irrelevant.

In the same vein ...one thing that should be remembered is that; 'Reward' does not have to be to our benefit and 'Judgment' does not have to be to our detriment. In the same way that; New does not have to mean Good, Old does not have to mean Bad, Progress does not have to mean Improved, Improved does not have to mean Better. Case in point ... look at the gasoline prices ... remember what they use to be? In this current greed trend of 'hostile take overs', 'job exporting', 'corporate downsizing' and aggressive 'mercenary marketing' always pushing the "what the market will bare" envelope ... as economic trends go; ... How many of you have noticed that the quote "New Low Price" is inevitably always higher than the 'Old low Price'? Which would you rather have? We must realize that we have been conditioned to act on ... respond to ... think of various things and issues in certain ways that are not always fully considered, explained, truthful or correct.

What do the scriptures say about law? A good example is Yahshua (Christ) telling us, "Moses said, 'Do not murder' But I say to you (adding intent to the letter of the Covenant Commandment Law), 'if you hate your brother you are in danger of judgment.' (Mat. 5:21-22)". The **Objective** physical action is: 'Do not murder', while the **Subjective** mental intent is: 'Do not hate'. Integrity is 'the action matching the judgment'. You cannot negate or replace the objective with the subjective in an 'either/or' replacement theological reasoning or else you could logically murder your brother, so long as you didn't hate him. That my friend is Absurd! To be in harmony with Yahweh's written word **both** must be in place, your actions must match your intent i.e. your judgment must match YHWH's judgment … the sum total of your Integrity. There is No Authentic Biblical Integrity otherwise.

When you agree with a Set-Apart (Holy) YHWH, you have no intelligent choice but to agree with His written, objective word. Some say...admitting they cannot prove everything from Sunday worship to Calendar Holidays by any one thing ... 'but' ... (and here it is), "If you take the whole of the Bible, it is clear" ... Huh? I submit this is an astute, academic sounding attempt to do the same thing, negating the objective word with a subjective supposition (an unproved scholarly sounding guess). You cannot rely on this ... you would be foolish to do so, no matter how intelligent it may sound or how badly you want to believe it. You need to be very clear of your knowledge of what is objective and what is subjective, and walk in the integrity of YHWH's word. Having decided that... personally incorporating the same in your own integrity (Job 31:5-6).

We hear so much about 'Grace' these days, we cavalierly assume 'Grace' to be the cosmic 'end all'-'get out of jail' card; rarely pondering the gravity of what it means Scripturally to "grow in grace" (2 Peter 3:18). Grace is the freedom to do as we ought, (as YHWH wants) as Scripturally stated, *not as we want* or assume in our imaginations. That being so ... would of necessity involve the Ten Commandments written in "YHWH's own finger" (Exodus 31:18). Some are quick to point out saying, "Only nine of the Ten Commandments are restated in the New Testament, leaving out the 4th Commandment of the 7th Day Sabbath. Really? What about Hebrews 4: 9-10 "as YHWH ('God') rested" (to name one of many references)...where in Scripture do you ever find YHWH resting? Genesis 2:3 ... on the "7th Day"!

Some would like to assert (point out) that the book of Hebrews was written only to the Hebrews, implying this book is not for Christians because we're not Hebrew. Well pardon me but, we're not Romans either...or Ephesians...or Galatians...or Corinthians...or Philippians... or Thessalonians, etc. either. With similar "logic" some even go so far as to say that (because Christ hadn't died yet) the books of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John should "*NOT*" be part of the New Testament. Really? This would exclude most anything Christ ever said! If these situations are both true together, there just ain't much left, is there? Where do we stop with this ridiculous, bizarro thinking? Which is it? "All scripture" (II Tim. 3:16) ... or ... what we like or what doesn't threaten what we choose to defend? Those that are in doubt of who they are ... who Spiritual Israel is ... Please put down this book and actually read Rom.11:25-26 & Eph.2:12 and :19, then compare that to this verse in Jeremiah.

Jer.16:19 "... Gentiles shall ... say, surely our fathers have inherited lies, vanity, and things wherein there is no profit"

We are to "...work out your own salvation with fear and trembling." (Phil. 2:12) Do you? For ... "if the righteous scarcely be saved," (1 Peter 4:18)... how much margin do we actually have? How far are you willing to test that out? Remember, it was the Savior that said, "Why do you call me Master (Lord) and not do the things I say" (Luke 6:46)? That would of necessity include: ... "if you want to enter into life, keep the commandments" (Mt 19:17).

YHWH speaks to all of us through His scriptures. Those who liberally assume a lawless-grace salvation may well face the Savior and hear, "Depart from me; I never knew you ... who practice lawlessness!" (Mat. 7:23) They will stand..."without excuse"

(Rom.1:20). Always remember a text taken out of context becomes a pretext. YHWH is to be taken at His Word. Don't interpret or accept creative or private repackaging that has turned black & white into gray; calling the gray the 'humility or unity of spirit' (2 Pet.1: 20). Remember also Spiritual 'unity' has very little to do with 'religious uniformity'; especially un-Scriptural 'uniformity'.

One question I would ask you to ponder asking yourself as you read this book is: ... "If I believe a lie, do I want to know about it?" I must tell you this question is seriously dangerous, for if you refuse the truth that is revealed and hold on to an exposed lie; you will be accountable. It will affect both your salvation and reward (Rev. 22:15). Remember, 'reward' does not have to be good, 'judgment' does not have to be bad and salvation can be forfeited (Heb 10:26-31). This book is my answer to that question.

I feel ineligible in many respects to even suppose any one would care or regard anything I would have to say. I do not have a degree; I am not formally trained; I do not have a credential of any kind, but then neither did most of the 12 disciples. My only want is to walk in my own integrity (Proverbs 20:7), praying that YHWH would correct and align my integrity to reflect His own in direct response to His word, including the great commission to teach the gospel and make disciples; which goes on stating ... "Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you:" (Mat. 28:19-20). Which of necessity includes "if thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments." (Mat.19:17).

The problem then is; 'How can you make a disciple unless he is taught the truth, in order to teach others the same truth.' (Ecclesiastes 12:13 - John 14:15, 16, 17). I don't understand how people can remain content and say, "I don't think it makes a difference...". Where in the Bible do you get the right to divide YHWH's truth, negating, supplanting, theorizing, altering, fabricating, negotiating or diluting the message and thereby devaluing the intent of His word? It doesn't matter what you think; it doesn't matter what I think. It does matter what YHWH's word defines of itself; and if we truly follow YHWH, we have no choice but to agree with it (Mat.19:17-Rev.22:14 KJV). As stated, the closer you agree with YHWH's word, the more out of step with everyone else you will become, including people in church and pastors who have supposedly been "called," studying the Bible at a college/university/seminary level and coming out spreading the same traditional Christianized Replica Adulterating Praise.

Some Clergy types (during a fact finding discussion) attempt to gain the upper hand by pulling rank recoiling with "You dare question God's anointed" ... really? Defining what, a largely compromising clergy, loosely following the Bible? These 'anointed ones' hide behind some supposed self-inflicted anointing that is somehow greater than, beyond or above the commanded Biblical scrutiny ... of the Scripture they supposedly preach (2Tim.2:15) ... and at that of even the (Holy) Set-Apart Spirit itself! (1Jn. 4:1) ... which would include any spirit of error no matter who or how 'anointed' is speaking it! You can give a lie a daily oil bath, but that shiny coat will never make a lie a truth. Plainly if that 'anointed one's' words do not speak, reflect or harmonize with Scripture and Scriptural Torah (Isaiah 8:20); that bannered 'anointing' was nothing more than an oil smudge. My Parents prayed that I would return to 'church', but I remembered all the crazy-making non-sense from before, and when 'God' answered their prayer, I had one of my own; (as stated) to know the truth, defending nothing. I have found numerous inconsistencies with many religious groups and have uncovered by the Grace and the Written Word of YHWH what I am convinced is the crucial tool to rightly divide His Word of Truth. What I am about to present basically comes down to a rightly divided balance, more fully explained ... (speaking of the extremes of the spectrum on the Biblical issue of things Law) - one side wants it all - the other side doesn't want it at all ... with the Apostle Paul evidencing a position somewhere in the middle.

If this has sparked your interest, you obviously are 'open-minded' perhaps questioning, maybe to the point of being cynical and skeptical. You definitely are not 'simple minded' (Prov.1:22). If, on the other hand you are incensed or infuriated, you no doubt have much of the traditional wisdom to defend. There are a host of fallacies on all sides masquerading as Truth ... supplanting the Truth that has been thrown out or at the very least 'side lined'. This Truth 'shell game' is directly and indirectly related to the improper divisioning of what is Law and what is Covenant, that very much do have a direct bearing on both Salvation and Reward. Whether interested or infuriated, grab your "fruit of the Spirit" (Gal. 5:22-23) ... and hang on.

Before Yahweh; I encourage and challenge you. Don't think you are going to be spoon-fed Truth by anyone. Your Pastor or Rabbi will almost certainly only substantiate what you've always heard. This book is not some status-quo touchy feely 'I'm OK- Your OK' skim-able material. This book deals with Biblical evidences and a paradigm perspective that are not currently being considered or taught. Actually crack open your Bible like the 'Bereans' (Acts 17:10 -11) and "see if these things are so". Take the time to thumb through your (or get a) concordance, dust off your dictionary, go to the library look up some of these issues in the encyclopedia and/or get a library-card, borrow what you need or can find and cross-reference. Actually; "prove what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, will of Yahweh" (Rom.12:2).

Ezekiel 33:6 "But if the watchman see the sword come, and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not warned; if the sword come, and take any person from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity (or lawlessness); ... but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand."

Please know I take this very seriously and I intend to sound that 'alarm' for all it's worth!

Isaiah 58:1 "Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew ... 'my people' ... their transgressions <u>and</u> the ... 'house of Jacob' ... their sins." The Intention of this Book is to do just exactly that.

P.S.

If you should actually go to these Churches, Synagogues and groups to investigate these findings for yourself, I would very much STRESS Prayerful Caution and Discernment, for some of these churches, synagogues, groups, etc., can react strongly to even drawing this topic let alone its logical conclusions into the status-quo question. As encouragement I would offer these thoughts; ...

- 1] Opposition to the Truth will never Change the Truth ...
- 2] There is a Huge difference between Supporting Truth and Defending One's Belief (Ps.127: 1, Rm.11: 22)

CopyrightDeposit.com

001221 Official Seal **CopyrightDeposit.com** issued 2005/11/14 at 14:35 (ET). http://www.copyrightdeposit.com/rep3/001221.htm

1- Mt 17:25 ... "What do you think, Simon? From whom do the kings of the earth take customs or taxes, from their sons or from strangers?" 26 Peter said to Him, "From strangers." Yahshua said to him, "Then the sons are free."

1Pe 5:2 "Shepherd the flock of YHWH ..., serving as overseers, not by compulsion but willingly, not for dishonest gain ..."

1Ti 6:5 "useless wranglings of men \dots , who suppose that godliness is a means of gain. From such withdraw yourself."

2 - Gen 2:3 "Then YHWH blessed the seventh day and sanctified it, because in it He rested from all His work

3 - Explained in Chapter 3

4 - Book; "Marriage Fitness" by Mort Fertel - Pg. 45 MarriageMax, Inc. Baltimore, MD

5 "Secrets of Revelation" by Jacques B. Doukhan ... Page 161