

Chapter 5

Amos 8:11 “Behold, the days come, saith Yahweh, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of YHWH”.

Revelation 3:18 “I counsel thee to buy of me gold tried in the fire, that you may be rich; and white raiment, that you may be clothed, that the shame of your nakedness does not appear; And anoint your eyes with eye salve, that you may see”.

The Evidence of Paul’s Understanding

Paul points to the example of the Bereans, searching the scripture daily (Acts 17:10-11). Isn’t it interesting that the Bereans were Hebrews and that the Scripture they were searching to check out Paul’s ideas that would become the New Testament was the Old Testament? This is not an either or situation or a cut and paste. It is the ‘purpose essence’ integrity of “both” the original covenant (Old Testament), flowing into ... defining the renewed covenant (New Testament) with the ‘purpose essence’ integrity of both (Ephesians 2:12) fully represented (re-presented). That ‘purpose’ was, is and always will be to both save us by bringing us in as family (Ephesians 2:19) ... YHWH’s Family.

Remember from Chapter 2 ... “It is of critical discernment here to note that while the term ‘<B’rit Chadasha>’ lodged as the ‘New Testament’ which can actually mean the re-New-ed Covenant’; that we realize there is an issue to consider here. This issue at this point of this book that has not been (as of yet) fully developed; is that we must critically remember (as this presentation develops) to discern **which** Covenant is being “re-New-ed” and **which** Covenant is wholly “new” (Jer.31:31-33).

Among the evidences left by Paul to enlighten our understanding; Romans 7 and 8 stands in particular defiance against those who would have others believe: “Paul makes no distinction of the Law”, let alone “did away with it”. These distinctions are clear enough that even a casual glance should alert critical concern. Paul states at, Rom.7:22 “I delight in the Law of YHWH” , which agrees directly with Psalms 1:2, written by King David the man after YHWH’s own Heart. Paul then states at Rom.7:23 “But I see another

law” (law of Moses; John 7:23, 1Cor. 9:9) ... warring against the “law of my mind”, and bringing me into captivity to the “law of sin” (sin or rebellion).

As presented by Dr. E. W. Bean¹ on this subject of Rom.7 and 8, we have at least 5 categories of ‘law’:

- 1] The Law of “God” - (YHWH’s) Torah,
- 2] The Law of Moses; that all had to go through to get to ‘1’ (Pre-New Covenant),
- 3] The Law of Sin & Death,
- 4] The Law of the Mind, and
- 5] The Law of the Spirit.

Under the New Covenant, simply stated Dr. Bean’s conclusion assesses that it is; 5] The Law of the Spirit that brings us directly to; 1] The Law of “God” - (YHWH’s Torah-insertion mine); bypassing ‘2’, ‘3’ & ‘4’. Concluding in essence that through the Hebrew word <arah> the ‘aim’ of Torah is a continued future instruction. In much the same way as the archer like aim of a mindfully circumspect father ... raising his son ... is a future target.

Continuing with Paul’s Last verse of Rom. 7 into the first verse of Rom. 8

Rom. 7:25 I thank YHWH through Yahshua Messiah.
So then with the mind I myself serve the law of YHWH; but with the flesh the law of sin.

Rom.8:1 There is therefore now no condemnation to them which are in Messiah Yahshua, **who walk not after the flesh** (law of sin), but **after the Spirit** (law of YHWH).

So we see that we are to walk in Spiritual Law that brings us to YHWH’s Law that is how we can be free from; Rom. 8:2 “...the law of sin and death”. We must remember that, it just so happened; as written by Paul’s own hand in the same book that;

Rom.7:12 “Wherefore **the law is holy**, and **the commandment holy**, and **just**, and **good** 13 Was then that which is Good made death unto me? YHWH forbid. But **sin, that it might appear sin, working death** in me by that which is good; that sin **by** the commandment might become exceeding sinful. 14 For we know that **the law is spiritual: but** I am carnal, sold under sin”.

Which dovetails right into;

Rom.8:3 For what the law could not do, in that it was **weak through the flesh**, YHWH sending His own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, **condemned sin (not the Covenant Law of YHWH Rom.7:25) in the flesh:**

The Covenant Law of YHWH was not weak ... man (**the flesh**) was and still is the weak link. Yahshua came in human-form to live out a Covenant Observant Life to be eligible ... able to die for ... instead of ... in the place of ... to save ... a Covenant Breached mankind ... us ... you and me.

Rom 8:4 That the righteousness of (that same Covenant law of YHWH, that Yahshua kept) might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit

Rom 8:7 Because the carnal **mind** is enmity against YHWH: for it is not subject to the (Spiritual) law of YHWH (Rom.7:25) , neither indeed can be.

That is why Paul later exhorts at;

Rom 12:2 “ ... but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that **ye may prove** what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, (Covenant) **will** of YHWH.” (Ezekiel 36:26-27, Psalms 103:17-18, 111:10)

Rom.8:8 “So then they that are in (a walk after the law of) the flesh cannot please YHWH ... 13 For if ye live after the flesh, ye shall die: but if ye through (the law of) the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the body, ye shall live.”

Rom.8:14 “For as many as are led by the Spirit of YHWH, they are the **sons** of YHWH.15 For ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but ye have received the Spirit of **adoption**, whereby **we** cry, Abba, **Father**.16 The Spirit itself bears witness with **our** spirit, that we are the **children** of YHWH: 17 And if **children**, then **heirs**; heirs of YHWH, and **joint-heirs** with Yahshua; if so be that we suffer with him, that we may be also glorified **together**.”

(Parenthetical insertions mine)

Notice all the above terms that convey Family. This Family walks in the "Law of the Spirit" A.K.A. i.e. the Covenant "Law of YHWH"

Rom.7:11 "For **sin**, taking occasion by the commandment, deceived me, and by it (**sin**) slew me.
12 Wherefore the law is holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good. 13 Was then that which is good made death unto me? God forbid. But **sin**, that it might appear **sin**, working death in me by that which is good; that **sin** by the commandment might become **exceeding sinful**. 14 For we know that **the law is spiritual**: but I am carnal, sold under sin.
15 For that which I do I allow not: for what I would, that do I not; but what I hate, that do I."

Rom.7:16 If then I do that which I would not, I **consent** unto the (Covenant) law (of the Spirit)that **it is good**.

Why else would Paul labor over this exposed struggle? Wouldn't it have been infinitely more simple to actually do away with the law as most assert? If there was no law this struggle, explanation and statement is a needless exercise in futility. However if there still is law, this struggle, explanation and statement is most certainly valid. That validity is squarely centered in Covenant Law.

Rom.7:1 ... "(for I speak to them that know the law,)" ... stands as a distinctive awareness; ... For most of us are not taught or versed in "The Law" ... most of us have never had a question about, let alone pull apart "The Law". We've been told it's 'Old' and 'done away with', and in our liberated non-distinctive ignorant modern exuberance of not having to know or do anything else, we're more than happy to go with it; with the attitude ... 'works for me' ... untested ... unstudied ... sight unseen ... unknown and assumedly unaffected ... after all isn't that what 'faith' is all about? Not by Yahshua or any other of the apostles, including Paul! Most of these guys knew Torah inside and out, being able to quote whole sections as teenagers.

Who would doubt that the dedicated phrase 'Law of YHWH' (i.e. G-d) ... Would not of a certainty include ... encompass the dedicated phrase "My Laws", which would further include the dedicated phrase "word/s of YHWH" i.e. 'the Word', which discernibly includes the dedicated phrase "voice of YHWH" i.e. "My Voice" or the many variances thereof i.e. "have/has spoken it", etc.? These 'dedicated phrases' are many times directly attachable to Abraham's Covenant of Promise, the 'Inheritance - Book of the Covenant' and thereby (by Inheritance) extendedly attached to the Calvary New Covenant ... But we remain, for the most part unaware of it. For instance; the Charismatic faction of Christianity have capitalized on the use of the Greek word <rhema> to the extent that to currently use the word 'rhema', with out any explanation conjures an instant mental imagery of those that claim a quote-unquote; 'Full Gospel', but like the rest ignore Covenant.

This so-called 'Full Gospel' is preached as a way to health and prosperity ...believing in ... many things specifically as 'Charismatic or Pentecostal' including name and claim, uttered groanings, unknown tongues, word of knowledge, slain in the spirit and the like. Never once teaching or considering that verses like: ... Eph 5:26 "That he might sanctify and cleanse it (the <ekklesia>) with the washing of water by the word <rhema>" ... have directly to do with the <dabar>/<logos> 'word' i.e. the Covenant Commandment that was physically 'uttered'/spoken <'amar>/<rhema> word by/of YHWH (from Eph.4), at the Mt. Sinai giving of the Book of the Covenant.

Psalms 89:34 "My covenant I will not break, Nor alter
the **word** that has gone out of *My lips*."

Notice what Psalms 89:34 does not say ... it does not say 'Our covenant'. Remember the 'We' at this point that would be included in 'Our' have already breeched 'Our covenant'. The Bible speaks of Gold refined in the fire (Rev.3:18) and Silver that has been refined 7 times by fire (Ps.12:6). Proverbs 2 is all about seeking wisdom, knowledge, understanding and discretion. The Scriptures instruct us many times in various ways to test everything including the (Holy) Set-Apart Spirit. I have said many times if the truth is the Truth it will stand no matter who says what. Either it is or it isn't ... let's put what you believe and I believe on the table, turn up the blowtorches and see what stands. We have nothing to defend; I didn't write it, its YHWH's Word, certainly not mine and certainly not yours. I don't have to like it or defend it, I do have to test it and define it ... and if proven true, use it to discern everything that would guide my thoughts shaping me and the beliefs I accept and the beliefs I reject that would permeate any information, advise or teaching shared with others.

There is a fundamental difference between defending a belief and supporting a belief. If either goes in to a fact finding study defending, we're already done ... honesty will be compromised and the point of finding the Truth (for one) will be forfeited. If you or I initiate any search defending a belief based on biased, untested or faulty support, we will soon find out which way it goes. All the pieces must rightly fit, even the pieces you or I may not happen to like, have never been taught, or consistently been led to dismiss.

As stated even after all this that has been presented thus far and more, it still remains that the Denominated Churches are half right. There definitely WAS a "change of the law", and that specific component of that law did 'pass away' was 'set aside' was 'reconciled'. When those of the church crowd assert that 'Christ is my rest ... in Him I have kept the law perfectly'; this sound great, but usually is attempting to negate any Old Testament responsibility, yet they make a specific valid point concerning the question; ... Which Law? That specific component is identifiable and is the source of valid contention between the Denominated Churches and the Torah Observant Assemblies. Flat out, plainly stated ... the Torah Observant Assemblies especially those that identify closely with Orthodox Judaism, stress keeping many laws as being 'Torah Observant' (to them) synonymously being 'Covenant Observant'. This would include laws that are in actuality 'Non-Covenant' prescriptive laws (the Covenant being that principle Law 'Script').

These “pre-‘script’-ive” laws therefore are Not Covenant at all, and for that half, validly the Torah Observant Assemblies are in error. The very same half that the Denominated Churches are right about. {Be it known my use of the word ‘Half’ is ‘tongue in cheek’; whether lesser or greater it still remains ‘that portion’, specifically ‘that portion’ which is **not** Covenant.}

There are several types of covenants mentioned in Scripture; Solitary, Unilateral and Multi-party covenants, being; YHWH to man (inclusive), man to man (specific), YHWH ‘to’ a particular man or tribe, YHWH ‘with’ a particular man, tribe or nation, YHWH to Himself concerning a matter, man to himself concerning a matter; that were ‘ratified’ in various ways; by: Shoe (Ruth 4:7), Salt (Num.18:19), Oath (2Kings 11:4) or Blood (Gen.15., Ex. 12:13, 24:7-8, Lk.22:20). These ratifications also have rich New Testament significance; we are to wipe the dust off our feet (Mt 10:14, Mark 6:11, Lu 9:5), we are to be as ‘Salt’ (Mt 5:13, Mk 9:49- Lev 2:13), we are recipients by virtue of YHWH’s Oath (Heb 6:16, 17- Gen.26:3; Psalms 105:9; Heb 11:9) ,we are to be covered by ‘the Blood of Yahshua’ i.e. “the Blood of the Covenant” (Lk.22:20, Heb 10:29, 12:24, 13:20 -Ex.24:7-8).

Paul gives many evidences throughout his writings on various topics. On the issue of Covenant, namely the issue of the division between ‘What is Law’ and ‘What is Covenant’, Galatians 3 will remain huge, Paul identifies many pieces of the Law puzzle not necessarily in order, but ultimately well defined, that demands a working Torah knowledge of the Old Testament. If you know what you’re looking at, Paul defines a distinction between Laws, between what is Law and Covenant and most notably a distinction between the various Covenants.

Let’s identify all the pieces. First and foremost is the fact that out of all the (lesser and) major Covenants: the Creation Declaration (Gen.1:14 - Jer.33:20,25), the Creation Dominion (Gen.1:26-28), the Adamic (Gen.3:15), the Noatic (Gen.9:6-17), the Abrahamic (Gen.15:1-18), the Mosaic/Sinai (Ex.19:5-24:8), the Davidic (2Chron.21:7) and the Calvary New Covenant (Jer.31:31-33, Lk.22:20, Heb.8:8-10), etc. ... Paul’s Gal.3 focus is singled out on 2 specific Covenants evidenced in V:18, The Sinai ‘Inheritance’ Covenant and the Abraham ‘Promise’ Covenant, that ultimately, logically, legitimately expands to 3, to ultimately include the Calvary New Covenant (Eph.2:12). Before we go too much further; Please take note and lock this Biblical disclosure concerning Paul into your remembered mental awareness:

2 Peter 3:15 “And account that the longsuffering of YHWH is salvation; even as our beloved brother Paul also according to the wisdom given unto him hath written unto you; 16 As also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things **hard to be understood**, which they that are unlearned and unstable twist, [as they do also the other scriptures, unto their own destruction.](#)
17 You therefore, beloved, since you know this

beforehand, beware lest you **also** fall from your own steadfastness, being led away with the error of the wicked;”

Realize that the quote ‘wicked’ are not limited to psychopaths, hoodlums, criminals, serial deviates, thugs, gang activity, thieves, murderers and the immoral. The quote ‘wicked’ include those that claim and defend what they hold to is the truth that actually is not. Remember ‘wicker’ by definition and action includes the word ‘twist’

Please ... Turn to Galatians 3. Please use a ASV, KJV, NKJV, RNKJV, ‘Scriptures’, ‘The Complete Jewish Bible’ or possibly another Restored Name Bible. If you use the NIV ... Please cross-reference to these others at any questionable spot. Under no circumstance would I advise or attempt to use any Paraphrased Edition to try and decisively prove or disprove anything. It is always an excellent idea to compare these different versions while searching the Hebrew and Greek.

Be Advised - Directly involving verse **17**, evidenced by verse **18** .. Paul is talking of THREE distinct inter-connected yet separate issues.

Gal.3:17 "And this I say, that the (inherited Sinai) **covenant** [Ex.19:5-24: 8], that was confirmed (/ratified Ex.24:7-8)

before (... ,) the law [Ex.24:12], (**BOTH**)which were four hundred and thirty years **after** (the promise Gen.13:14-16, **15:1-18**, 26:4-5),

cannot disannul (the promise), that it (the law) should make the promise (hence; it’s delivered [at Mt. Sinai] Covenant inheritance) of none effect."

***18** "For if the (1) **inheritance** (it) be of the (2) **law**, ‘it’ (1 - the **inheritance**)’ is no more of (3) **promise**: but YHWH gave ‘it (1)’ to Abraham by (3) **promise**.

Now; if- YHWH gave **it** (1) **inheritance** to Abraham by (3) **promise** ... which numbered factor is left out? “The Complete Jewish Bible says it best ... most clearly ... most unmistakably ... with the most undiminished force ... being number ‘(2)’ ... Quote; “Torah Law” or more correctly the prescriptive Law (Not the Covenant) contained in the Torah.. (Note: in no way does this negate Covenant or the Covenant Law found it ‘the’ Torah).

Paul is NOT saying that the Inheritance Covenant IS this law, anymore than he is saying the law IS the Promise. What he IS saying is that the Sinai Katubah-Marriage Covenant is the delivered Inheritance Promise realized by/given to Abraham’s Descendants; promised to Abraham 430 years ‘prior’ (Gen.15:13) AND was RATIFIED **“before”** (Ex.24:8); meaning ‘it’ (the Sinai Inheritance Katubah-Marriage Covenant) was, NOT **‘of the law’** (Ex.24:12). Paul is also saying that this “added” law

(Ex.24:12/Gal.3:10, **19**) was laterally/**also** 430 years 'after' the Covenant physically cut with Abraham in Gen.15. Putting these 2 Covenants on the same footing as Melchizedek Priesthood Covenants evidenced by the afore mentioned Book of the Covenant Ratification of Ex.24:7 - 8 vs.: the "law" (Gal.3:10) immediately "added" (a long side) ... mentioned at Ex.24:12; "**AFTER**" the Book of the Covenant Ratification ... because of (in the event of/anticipating) "transgression" (Gal.3:19).

This "transgression" happened (again 'the law of first mention') less than 40 days later as recorded in Exodus 32. [Note: Gal.3:10 - (the Levitical) "Book of the Law" ... "Added (by Transgression) v:19] !!! Notice v:17 is drawing a 'covenant-law' separation conclusion from v:10, that plainly identifies "the Book of the Law", clearly showing that 'the law' specifically 'the law' from "the Levitical Book of the Law" is Not Covenant nor can it be construed as any 'confirmed /ratified' part thereof. Remember in a Hebrew-mindset that would include Paul's mindset; the Levitical Priesthood (Heb.7:11) and its Laws **began with the law that brought it** at Ex.24:12. Only a two or multi party Covenant can be Proposed, Agreed upon and Ratified, and as such according to Paul in this same Galatians 3 chapter at v:15 upon being ratified "no one annuls or adds to it." Having said that; we must remember that this Book of the Covenant (ratified at Ex.24:8) is in direct/answer succession to Abraham's Covenant of Promise. These Covenants collectively include their own Covenant Law, which is distinct from all other.

1Chr16:16 Even of the **covenant** which he made with **Abraham**, and of his **oath** unto **Isaac**; 17 And hath confirmed the same to **Jacob** for **a law**, and to **Israel** for an everlasting **covenant**,

It is of interest to note that of the persons that will vehemently assert that only the Tablets of the 10 Commandants are the Covenant, to the exclusion of the Annual Sabbath Feasts seem to forget that these Abraham successional Covenants have to be Blood Ratified. When pressed to find a blood-ratification for only the 10 Commandants as Covenant, after some study these persons will invariably defer, however unaware to Ex.24:7-8 that actually is the Blood Ratification of the entire Book of the Covenant (Ex.19:5-24:8) that among other things includes BOTH the 10 Commandants at Exodus 20 and the Annual Sabbath Feasts at Exodus 23; there is NO other! 1Chron.16:15-17 very clearly evidences; stating as much that the Covenant to Israel (Eph.2:12) will include Covenant Law. The SAME Law that Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and therefore Jacob's/Israel's Physical 12 Son's knew. The SAME Covenant Law we are to know!

Gal. 3:29 "And if ye be Messiah's, then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to **the promise**".

Note: Messiah is the "seed" (Gen.3:15, Gal.3:16). As stated these Covenants contain Covenant Law that He most certainly 'brought to fullness' and 'kept'. The pertinent question then is; what did Yahshua do and ... what did Abraham know?

1Chr.16:15 Be ye mindful always of His Covenant; the

word which he commanded to a thousand generations; 16 **Even** of the Covenant which he made with Abraham, **and** of His oath unto Isaac; 17 **And** hath confirmed the same to Jacob for a law, **and** to Israel for an everlasting covenant,

Gen.26:5 “Because that Abraham obeyed **My voice**, and kept **My charge**, **My commandments**, **My statutes**, and **My laws**.”

AND ... How did Abraham know to ‘obey’ all of this? ...

Gen.18:19 “For I **know** him, that he will command his children and his household after him, and they shall keep the way of YHWH, **to do justice and judgment**; that YHWH may bring upon Abraham that which he hath spoken of him.”

Hopefully you will remember that the word ‘**know**’ here is the Hebrew <yada`> which again can also mean to teach or instruct. Which opens this awareness; namely that ‘conscience’ defined is the moral awareness of right and wrong. Heavily indicating that ‘moral awareness’ must be known by being either shared or taught. Gen.26:5 shows us many things that we can now readily identify as in fact Covenant issues. This is the Biblical basis for ‘conscience’; a right ‘conscience’ is the basis for ‘confidence’, “the answer of a good ‘conscience’ toward YHWH” (1Peter 3:21); which includes things like trust, faith and therefore in a very real sense, in the truest definition; ‘fidelity’. The word structure of ‘Confidence’ very heavily confirms the Covenant tie as well. ‘Con’ from the Latin means ‘with’ - ‘fidence’ comes from the origin of ‘fidelity’; ‘confidence’ then meaning ‘with fidelity’. In this life and the next; there will be no divestment in any form divorcing ‘fidelity’ from Covenant obedient adherence.

1Tim.3:9 “Holding the mystery of the faith (<pistis> ‘fidelity’) in a pure conscience.”

1John 3:21 “Beloved, if our heart condemn us not, then have we **confidence** toward YHWH.”

How could our heart condemn us if we walk in Covenant Fidelity?

Now from your Bible let’s put together what Abraham knew, did, obeyed and...what YHWH taught him. This turns out to be quite the list ... “1] my voice, 2] my charge, 3] my commandments, 4] my statutes, 5] **my laws**, to 6] “to command his children ... and ... 7] his household after him”, 8] to “keep the way of YHWH” 9] **to do justice and 10] judgment**”. Only 10 things? Wait till we see where this leads. There would not be too much agreement dissention at this point that this would include the ‘10 Commandants’. We might even agree at this point; being this far into this book, that these

points can be tied to the Covenant; maybe even agreeing with the Torah Observant Assemblies concerning their New Covenant connection. For the Denominated Churches however we probably have already past the point of agreement; especially on finding out what 'doing YHWH's **judgments**' include.

If you will find Exodus 21:1 and read the opening sentence; you will find the following words ... "These are the **judgments**". As an awareness alert I must remind you that this is the 3rd chapter of the Book of the Covenant (Ex.19:5-24:8). This section extends through chapter 23 of Exodus; which includes the Weekly and Annual Sabbath Feasts i.e. the Creation Appointed Times (Gen.1:14 'seasons' <now`ed> Appointed Times i.e. Feasts Lev.23), this of necessity also includes the 7th Day Sabbath Weekly Appointed Time i.e. Feast, both by virtue of this Lev.23:1-3 'Feast' list (Lev.23:1-44) and also being the 4th of the unaltered (non-catechism) '10 Commandants' of the Book of the Covenant as stated at Ex.20.

From Hebrew this is what the word **judgment** means:

judgment <mishpat>

04941. jpv̄m mishpat, mish-paw't

from 8199; properly, a verdict (favorable or unfavorable) pronounced judicially, especially a sentence or **formal decree** (human or (participant's) **divine law**, individual or collective), including **the act**, the place, the suit, the crime, and the penalty; abstractly, justice, **including a participant's right or privilege** (statutory or customary), or even a style:--+ adversary, ceremony, charge, X crime, **custom**, desert, **determination**, discretion, disposing, due, fashion, form, to be judged, **judgment**, just(-ice, -ly), (manner of) **law**(-ful), **manner**, measure, (due) order, **ordinance**, right, sentence, usest, X worthy, + wrong.

See Hebrew 08199 (shaphat)

Kinda tends to give ya a different spin on Greek New Testament verses like ... :

Luke 2:42 "And when he (Yahshua) was twelve years old, they went up to Jerusalem after the **custom** of the feast."

Luke 4:16 "And he (Yahshua) came to Nazareth, where He had been brought up: and, as His **custom** was, He went into the synagogue on the Sabbath day, and stood up to read."

... don't it?

NOTE: As a point of interest; it is this New Testament passage in Luke 4:16 that is genuinely of interest to none other than Orthodox Judaism for they have 'stood up' to

read the Torah since ancient times, however they can point to no proof or instruction to do so anywhere in their own accepted writings.

It is further enlightening to discover the extended use of the word 'custom' in the New Testament;

Acts 6:13 (At the trial of Stephen) “And set up **false** witnesses, which said, This man ceaseth not to speak blasphemous words against this holy place, and the law: 14 For we have heard him say, that this Yahshua of Nazareth shall destroy this place, and shall **change the customs** which Moses **delivered** us”.

Acts 16:20 “And brought them (Paul and Silas) to the magistrates, saying, These men, being Jews, do exceedingly trouble our city, 21 And teach **customs**, which are not lawful for us to receive, neither to observe, being Romans.

Notice these comparable false accusations and Paul's defense reply;

Acts 28:17 “ Paul called the leaders of the Jews together ... he said to them: "Men and brethren, though I have done nothing against our people **or** the **customs** of our fathers, yet I was delivered as a prisoner from Jerusalem into the hands of the Romans,”

Notice: "the **customs** of our fathers" that is to say Abraham, Isaac and Jacob would have most certainly NOT included anything newly initiated by the 'Book of the Law' that none of them knew about.

Note: In Acts 6:13, Moses **delivered** what YHWH wrote (Ex.31:18). Further be aware that Deut.9:10 heavily indicates more than just 10 Commandments on those tablets;

Deut.9:10 “And YHWH delivered unto me two tables of stone written with the finger of YHWH; and on them was written according to **all** the words (Ex.19:17-24:3), which YHWH spake with you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in the **day of the assembly**”

Carefully notice that this verse clearly indicates that "ALL" the words of the (Book of the) Covenant spoken to and agreed upon by the 'assembly' in the "day" 'of the assembly' "in the mount out of the midst of the fire". Carefully notice that this verse :10 evidenced by the word 'day' is in stark contrast to Duet. 9:9 and 9:11 that clearly

reference the 40 days and nights. Again for crystal clarity, in the "day" 'of the assembly' can in no way be confused with the 40 days and nights of the one man Moses.

Notice: This verbiage "in the **day of the assembly**" also effectively excludes any chance to confuse the fact that the so-called Levitical 'Book of the Law' in fact had no part of this specific Sinai "**day of the assembly** "

Remember 'The Book of the Covenant' was blood ratified before Moses ever went to the top of the the mountain for the infamous 40 days and nights. Please read Ex.24 and verify this for yourselves. Please see the Covenant ratification at verses 7 and 8, the Covenant Dinner at verses 9 thru 11 and the announcement of the('added' Gal.3:19, 'imposed' Heb.9:10) law at verse 12 that had **not** been agreed upon as Covenant.

Deut.9:11 "And it came to pass at the end of forty days and forty nights, that YHWH gave me the two tables of stone, even the tables of the covenant.
12 And YHWH said unto me, Arise, get thee down quickly from hence; for thy people which thou hast brought forth out of Egypt have corrupted themselves; they are quickly turned aside out of the way which I commanded them; they have made them a molten image."

Ex.32:15 "And Moses turned and went down from the mountain, and the two tablets of the Testimony were in his hand. The tablets were written on **both** sides; on the one side **and** on the other they were written."

2 Chron.6:11 "And in it have I put the ark, wherein is the Covenant of YHWH, that He made with the children of Israel".

As stated; "**all** the words" of the "Covenant of YHWH" (Ex19:5 -24:8) "in the **day of the assembly**" ; included the 'Commandments' of chapter 20, the 'Judgments' of chapter 21 that include the Annual 'Feasts' of chapter 23; ratified at 24:7 and 8.

Now; Let's look at an Old Testament verse used (I believe accurately) to expose the pre-origin of the modern 'Christmas Tree'.

Jer.10:3 "For the **customs** of the people are vain: for one cutteth a tree out of the forest, the work of the hands of the workman, with the axe" **{customs - Heb. statutes, or, ordinances}**

Notice; “the **customs** of the people” whether you believe this verse speaks of ‘Christmas Trees’, ‘Idols’ or ‘totem poles’ are NOT in compliance with the **statutes** of YHWH

It is here I would like to address another well-known apologetic (argument) used to indicate Paul saying one thing (teaching against Yahweh’s Feasts) when he most emphatically did not. Please honestly look at the ‘reverse projection’ of this reasoning.

Gal.4:9 But now, **after** that ye have known YHWH, or rather are known of YHWH, how turn ye again to the weak and beggarly elements, whereunto ye desire **again** to be in bondage?

{ **turn ye again: or, turn ye back** }

10 Ye observe days, and months, and times, and years.

11 I am afraid of you, lest I have bestowed upon you labour in vain. (Lev.19:26; Duet.18:10, 14)

It is openly apparent that YHWH has commanded His ‘Feasts’ ... His ‘Appointed Times’ (Ex.23; Lev.23). But ‘some’ being ignorant of wherewith they speak teach ‘many’ to twist Gal.4 to “prove” a position abolishing Yahweh’s ‘Appointed Times’. Please notice what Lev.19:26 and Duet.18:10, 14 (KJV) actually say;

Lev.19:26 “Ye shall not eat any thing with the blood: neither shall ye use enchantment, nor observe times.”

Deut.18:10 “There shall not be found among you any one that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, or that useth divination, or an observer of times, or an enchanter, or a witch,”

Deut.18:14 “For these nations, which thou shalt possess, hearkened unto observers of times, and unto diviners: but as for thee, YHWH thy Elohim hath not suffered thee so to do.”

Again; “It is openly apparent that YHWH has commanded His ‘Feasts’ ... His ‘Appointed Times’ (Ex.23; Lev.23)”. Now square/substantiate/prove that the Torah passages of Lev. and Deut. against observing pagan ‘times’ somehow blotted out YHWH’s ‘Feasts’ ... His Torah ‘Appointed Times’. (Ex.23; Lev.23)

Ex.20:8 “Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy.
9 Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:
10 But the seventh day is the Sabbath of Yahweh thy Elohim: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that

is within thy gates:”

Ex 23:12 “Six days thou shalt do thy work, and on the seventh day thou shalt rest: that thine ox and thine ass may rest, and the son of thy handmaid, and the stranger, may be refreshed.”

Ex 23:14 "Three times thou shalt keep a feast unto me in the year.15 Thou shalt keep the feast of unleavened bread: (thou shalt eat unleavened bread seven days, as I commanded thee, in the time appointed of the month Abib; for in it thou camest out from Egypt: and none shall appear before me empty:) 16 And the feast of harvest, the firstfruits of thy labours, which thou hast sown in the field: and the feast of ingathering, which is in the end of the year, when thou hast gathered in thy labours out of the field.17 Three times in the year all thy males shall appear before Yahweh."

Lev.23:1 "And YHWH spake unto Moses, saying,
2 Speak unto the children of Israel, and say unto them, Concerning the feasts of YHWH, which ye shall proclaim to be holy convocations, **even these are My feasts.** 3 Six days shall work be done: but the seventh day is the (weekly) Sabbath of rest, an holy convocation; ye shall do no work therein: it is the Sabbath of YHWH in all your dwellings."

Lev.23:4 “These are the (Annual) feasts of YHWH, even holy convocations, which ye shall proclaim in their (yearly) **seasons.**” (Lev.23: 5 - 44)

First of all the Galatians (arguably forerunners of the Celtic/Gaelic) were pagans. Meaning the Galatian Believers were pagan converts with pagan backgrounds. These pagan backgrounds had Satanic/demonic pagan origins; idol worship and special days to do that. Satan does not create anything except confusion and counterfeits; to confound YHWH’s true plan. We, as Believers all can agree on that. All religions ... Biblical, non-Biblical, pagan, satanic or otherwise have their special days ... including/excluding various weekly, monthly and yearly ‘days’. No doubt borrowed and polluted from a very early common source ... Creation (Gen.1:14, 2:3).

It is openly apparent by merely looking at your kitchen calendar that the Weekly/Annual Structure of Creation ... of Scriptural Torah has been replaced. Ponder this question ... the religious argument here causing millions to ignore YHWH’s Feasts is

that Paul told these Galatians that they were turning 'again/back' to the Torah Feast Economy i.e. 'bondage'. Now ask yourself ... Self; how could these Galatians possibly do that? Now let's backup one verse that should by all means be ignored if you're trying to prove these Galatians returning to the 'weak and beggarly' elements of observing Torah accentuated by keeping the Feasts of YHWH.

Gal. 4:8 "Howbeit then, **when ye knew not** YHWH, ye did service unto them which by nature are **no gods.**"

Now ask yourself what good little Jewish boy growing up with Torah ... following Torah from birth could ever be accused of **not** knowing the YHWH of Torah? ... and that by doing the service YHWH had set can now be further accused that by doing that service ... it was done to 'other gods'? ... and worse to those that "by nature are no gods" at all? Notice this service to the "no gods" included; days, times and years ... Your current choice of Religious observance includes; days, times and years. In that same vein also be aware that the Book of the Covenant service to Yahweh includes; days, times and years. The difference is Yahweh instructed service to Yahweh.

It is evident then that these pagan converts from Galatia could've no more spiritually "re-turned" to Torah, doing Torah service to the 'no gods' any more than they could've physically "returned" to being Jewish. Which means; there was not an ice cube's chance in the 'Lake of Fire' for these former pagans to "**return**" to the Covenants and Feastdays of Torah; which laterally means that Paul never once taught or attached the term 'weak and beggarly' to Torah or any Torah consideration; specifically to the Feasts of Covenant contained in Torah. He had just taught in Gal.3 that the "Levitical Book of the Law" (v:10, 19) contained in Torah was the "Tutor" (v:24). Was this to the 'no gods'? To bring us to Christ/Messiah? ... Absurd!

Col.2:8 Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ.
{rudiments: or, elements} {make a prey: or, seduce you, or, lead you astray}

Notice: that "the rudiments of the world" and "the tradition of men" have nothing to do with the Levitical 'tutor' lessons of Scriptural Torah to correct us into Covenant Keeping. The same 'Covenant Keeping' that typified the life, mission and message of Messiah/Christ.

Torah was never these Galatians' 'return' point of origin background. Some go so far as to weave the tale that these 'Foolish Galatians' had actually converted to (Orthodox) Judaism then reconverted to Messianic Christianity and therefore could revert to Orthodox Jewish practices. Jewish practices from Torah to the 'no gods'? Yeah Right. Twisting the narratives of Paul to dismantle, defame and ignore Torah; rather than 'rightly divide' has been repeatedly used by the religious and the religious administrators

to assure millions to ignore and not do what the 'Christ' they (with tissue in hand) tearfully attest to confess, worship and adore; said and did.

Mat. 28:18 "And **Yah`shua** came and spake unto them, saying, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. 19 Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the **name** of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Set-Apart Spirit 20 Teaching them to observe **all things** whatsoever I have **commanded** you: and, lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world. Amen."

John 7:37 "On the last day (an Annual Sabbath), that great day of the **feast** (Sukkot i.e. the Feast of Tabernacles - Lev 23:34-39), Yahshua stood and cried out, saying, "If anyone thirsts, let him come to Me and drink."

John 14:24 "He who does not love Me does not keep My words; and the word which you hear is **not Mine** but the Father's who sent Me.

Mat. 19:17 "And he said unto him, ... but if thou wilt enter into life, **keep the commandments**".

Also Note: That the Covenant/Commandment Name ... was, is, still and still to come is ... YHWH- (**Yah`weh/Yah`shua**). The Covenant/Commandment Days of Worship and Observance include the 7th Day Sabbath ... The Covenant/Commandment Annuals are the Feasts. There is a fundamental difference between what is the "Law of Moses" and what is the 'Covenant Commandments of YHWH'/Law of G_d.

My Friend, beyond Reward and anyone's 'pie in the sky', 'sweet rapture by and by', 'happy place' concept of Salvation; Paul also believed that there is a very negative reason why we should be **doing** all these from Scripture ... Covenant positive things.

2 Thess. 1:8 "In flaming fire taking vengeance on them that know not YHWH, and that obey not the gospel of our Sovereign Yahshua the Messiah (Christ):"

Moving on; although this next verse of itself offers less-than 'iron clad' proof of without a doubt Covenant Feast certainty, there is enough circumstantial and peripheral evidence to suggest the viability of its <mow`ed> inference. It is of pertinent interest here to alert you the reader to the possibility of this further potential evidence of Abraham's Feast knowledge;

Gen. 17:21 "But my covenant will I establish with Isaac, which Sarah shall bear unto thee at this **set time**

<mow`ed> in the next year.”

Notice the phrase “set time”; this is odd, for if it meant ‘this approximate time’ or ‘any ole time’, He (Generally accepted as the pre-incarnate Messiah) could of said ‘this time’ from the Hebrew <pa`am>, <`ad> or <`eth> or ... ‘in 9 months’ or any thing else but “set time”. The phrase “set time” is again translated from the Hebrew <mow`ed>, that I have not been able to find any other instance in Torah of its use other than to convey the Assembly of Feast Keepers or explain a ‘Feast’ i.e. an “Appointed Time” other than one time to designate a miracle to benefit the Israelites (Ex 9:5). It is of further interest to recall that many of us have been taught the parallels of the life and near sacrifice of Isaac being compared in various ways to the life and crucifixion of the Messiah.

We know that the Messiah was crucified and died on ‘Passover’, an “Appointed Time” a <mow`ed> (Ex.12:13-14, Lev. 23:5), with a little research and calculation it is provable from Biblical accounts including; Luke 1:5 and 1Chron. 24:10,19, that Yahshua was in all probability born on the first day (an Annual Sabbath) of ‘Sukkot’ the “Feast of Tabernacles”², a <mow`ed> “Appointed Set Time. If this is so, and Isaac was the foreshadowing parallel; all of these factors together heavily indicate Isaac’s ‘Feastday’ birth and at that on the same first day of “Tabernacles”, a <mow`ed>. Wouldn’t it make sense that YHWH would perform His Sovereign acts on the times that He Himself has ‘Appointed’ (Gen.1:14)? All these things considered together coupled with Abraham being taught (by YHWH) for the expressed purpose of teaching his family at Gen.18:19, heavily lead us in the direction of Abraham having a working knowledge of YHWH’s “Appointed Times”.

While we’re on the subject of Feasts and “Appointed Times”, it turns out that the New Testament laboring in Greek seeks to convey a tangent on this same Idea:

Gal. 4:4 But when the **fullness of the time** <chronos>
had come, YHWH sent forth His Son, born of a
woman, born under the law,

Eph 1:10 “... as a plan for the **fullness of time** <kairos>,
to unite all things in him, things in heaven and things
on earth. (RSV)

Please realize that this precise point of the unity that ‘united all things’ happened on/at Yahshua’s “it is finished” Passover Crucifixion. Wouldn’t this “unite all things” verbiage of a necessity include His Appointed Times <mow`ed> and the ‘Gen.1:14 Declaration’ of them from Creation? Let’s see what these Greek words mean.

5550. cronov chronos, khron'-os
of uncertain derivation; a space of time (in general, and thus properly distinguished from 2540, which designates a **fixed** or **special occasion**; and from 165, which denotes a

particular period) or interval; by extension, an individual opportunity; by implication, delay:--+ years old, **season**, space, (X often-)time(-s), (a) while.

2540. kairov kairos, kahee-ros'

of uncertain affinity; an occasion, i.e. **set** or **proper time**:--X always, opportunity, (convenient, **due**) **season**, (due, short, while) time, a while. Compare 5550.

This dedicated phrase 'fullness of time' of necessity encompasses yet another dedicated phrase 'the fullness of the gentiles' that again has the dedicated purpose to "unite all things".

Rom. 11:25 "...that blindness in part has happened to Israel until 'the **fullness** <pleroma>of the **Gentiles** <ethnos>' has come in."

4138. plhrwma pleroma, play'-ro-mah

from 4137; repletion or completion, i.e. (subjectively) what fills (as contents, supplement, copiousness, **multitude**), or (objectively) what is filled (as container, performance, period):--which is put in to fill up, piece that filled up, fulfilling, full, **fullness**.

See Greek 4137 (pleroo)

1484. eynov ethnos, eth'-nos

probably from 1486; a race (as of the same habit), i.e. a tribe; specially, a foreign (non-Jewish) one (usually, by implication, pagan):--**Gentile**, heathen, **nation**, people.

Come in to what? ... Israel and the Covenant promises thereof (Eph.2:12) ... directly from the blessing that Jacob/Israel placed on Ephraim, the younger of Joseph's two sons; Manasseh and Ephraim. Ultimately adopting them both (his grandsons) as his own son's, with the full rights as sons saying:

Gen.48:19 "... but truly his younger brother shall be greater than he, and his seed shall become a multitude <m@lo'> (**fullness**) of nations <gowy> (**gentiles**).
{multitude: Heb. fullness}

Again this 'fullness' of the 'gentiles' of Rom. 11:25 therefore of prophetic necessity involves all the Covenants of Israel in particular the "Covenants of Promise" (Eph.2:12). Although it is not the direct focus of this book, this 'fullness of the Gentiles' raises an interesting awareness. Again; the blessing of the 'double portion' was usually given to the eldest of the family for the dedicated purpose of being the directing head of that family; conducting/mediating family business /affairs, especially in regards of the aged Patriarch and Matriarch(s). We see many instances that YHWH has picked a youngest over an oldest; Abel over Cain, Isaac over Ishmael, Jacob over Esau, Joseph over his brothers, Ephraim over Manasseh, David over his brothers, etc. What is of supreme interest here is that the same younger Ephraim blessed as being 'the fullness of the gentiles' was given through Joseph with his brother Manasseh that same 'double

portion' to be that directing 'Tribe of Joseph' head of the 'family' meaning the Whole House of Israel ... All 12 Tribes. I am certain this has far reaching implications beyond the confines of our topic. However, I will venture that; it would be precious if those that dominate the Scriptural 'dispensership' of Messianic Judaism would lead out in such a revealing investigative non-biased fact-finding pursuit.

Rom.11:23 "And they also, if they do not continue in unbelief, will be grafted in, for YHWH is able to graft them in again."

Rom.11:29 "For the gifts and the calling of YHWH are irrevocable".

Now that we have a pretty good idea what Abraham in all probability of Scriptural evidence actually knew and did including keeping and teaching the Appointed Times and what that of necessity includes. We must realize that the Sinai Book of the Covenant was the 'Inheritance Marriage Covenant' to Abraham's, Isaac's and Jacob's/Israel's descendants; the Direct Fulfilling Answer to Abraham's 'Covenant of Promise'. Abraham would then have recognized every thing in that 'Inheritance Covenant' as being what he was promised and why he was promised it i.e. his taught faithful acts of learned/taught 'Fidelity' faithfulness (Gen.18:19, 26:5).

We now need to focus a critical eye on what Abraham did **not** know, could not have known and therefore did not know to do it and therefore did not do it. Much of this will be answered by the summary outline of evidences Paul left us in the following. It is notable to realize and make this association, that Abraham in his time, except for animal sacrifices was arguably more similar than not to our own New Covenant times. Abraham was obviously walking in the Grace, Spirit, Love and Law of YHWH. Abraham obviously did **not** walk in the shadow of a Levitical Priesthood or the 'Law' that Paul evidences came under the Levitical Priesthood at Heb.7:11. We need to make this association for we have ignored many things because of the lack of it.

The above cited Gal. 3:17, states the answer out-right about as clearly as you will find. I have added and omitted in prentices and brackets for the sake of clarifying what Paul is trying to convey:

Gal. 3:17 "And this I say, that the (inherited Sinai) covenant [Ex.19:5-24: 8], that was confirmed (/ratified Ex.24:7-8) before (... ,) the law [Ex.24:12], (**BOTH**)which was four hundred and thirty years **after** ..."

Paul evidences that '**both**' the Sinai Inheritance Covenant **and** the 'added' Law (Gal.3:10, 19), were 430 yrs. after Abraham's Promise. This is verifiably Scripturally correct; for the law that is mentioned at Ex.24:12 came immediately (successionally speaking) "after" the 'Blood Ratification' of the Sinai Book of the Covenant (Ex.24:7-8). Precisely because this 'added Law' came "after"the Covenant 'Blood Ratification'; it (the 'added Law') therefore can Not be construed as Covenant. Which is decisively

further emphasized by Paul's own hand at Gal.3:15 which prefaced the Gal.3:17-18 explanation in the same chapter and book, which notably eliminates any out of context cut and paste objection.

Gal.3:15 "Brethren, I speak in the manner of men:
Though it is only a man's covenant, yet if it is
confirmed (/ratified), no one annuls or adds to it."

Now that we have established at Paul's hand, the verifiable origin of the precise division between what is Law and what is Covenant, we can now explore the many other evidences Paul has left us confirming the exact same thing. Please remember that from Torah itself ... stated at:

Dt.19:15 "... at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the
mouth of three witnesses, shall the matter be
established."

You have been given much evidence already, I will total over 30 additional evidences that in no way precludes there being more. For the sake of clarity, as stated before, the intent of this presentation is to decisively show that the 'Book of the Covenant' i.e.: Ex.19:5 - 24:8 spoken of in Torah is of Scriptural evidence **NOT** the same as the (Levitical) 'Book of the Law' (Dt.31:26) also spoken of in the same 5 book Torah. Again ... Most Correctly 'Torah' defined means the 'Successional Light of Teaching and Instruction', which among other things would educate us to discernment. The kind of 'discernment' needed to actually and correctly 'rightly divide the word of Truth'. It is with this understanding we can know with increased certainty what the Apostle Paul was in earnest trying to convey, (dealing directly with Torah) as to what was 'changed' as apposed to what 'remains'. Paul continually refers to the Sinai period using words like; 'the fall, the rebellion, 430 yrs after, Covenants of promise', etc., yet we have all heard those that teach Paul 'did away with the law', 'makes NO distinction of law', etc. This is a HUGE error ... Let the Good Rabbi/Teacher Speak.

1Cor.14:37 "If any man think himself to be a prophet, or
spiritual, let him acknowledge that the things that I
write unto you are the commandments of Yahweh."

Isaiah 8:20 "To the law (Torah) and to the testimony
(Covenant): if they speak not according to this word,
it is because there is no light in them."

Rom.12:2 And do not be conformed to this world, but be
transformed by the renewing of your mind, that
you may prove what is that good and acceptable
and perfect (Covenant) will of YHWH

Note - Please compare the following numbered article with the correspondent opposing numbered 'a' article.

1] The **Book of the Covenant** - Hereafter stated as "**Bo/tC**", contained "Covenanted Law", as evidenced by its Proposal, Agreement and Ratification of Ex.19:5 - 24:8, contained No subsequent/later additions or subtractions being plus or minus anything (Gal. 3:15). However that being so, the only provision are those things connected to the Covenant by virtue of the 5th Commandment being directly stated 'My'. 'My' Sign, 'My' Sabbaths, 'My' Feasts, 'My' Statutes, 'My' Judgments, 'My' Laws, 'My' Precepts, 'My' Voice, 'My' Oath, 'My' Charge, 'My' People, etc., of which we are to surely 'Honor' YHWH as our Heavenly Father.

1a] The **Levitical Book of the Law** - Hereafter stated as "**Bo/tL**", contained "Added 'prescriptive' (maintenancing) Law". First announced at Ex.24:12, first Coined "**Book of the Law**" at Dt.29:21, which included several subsequent 'Multi-Additions'.

2] The **Bo/tC** was 'Agreed' upon and 'Ratified', therefore as such in the truest sense of Covenant 'a ratified agreement' - (Ex.19:8, 24:3)

2a] The **Bo/tL** was 'Added' because of Transgression (Gal. 3:10, 19) and as such was 'Imposed' - (Heb.9:10)

Note: Pardon my stating the obvious ... but ... 'Agreement' irrevocably will never equal ... and ... is in fact the direct opposite of ... 'Imposed'.

3] The **Bo/tC** was the Promised Inheritance (Gal.3:18) through "... the seed..." (Gal.3:19), [To "whosoever will" Lk.9:23]

3a] The **Bo/tL** was 'Added' because of Transgression (Gal. 3:10, 19) '... "till" the seed should come...' (Gal.3:19, 24)

4] The **Bo/tC** was 'Received, Agreed and Ratified' with the Israelite people. These people already/initially being made Set-Apart (Sanctified) - (Ex.19:9-11); which ran concurrent through to its ratification (Ex.24:7-8).

4a] This 'added' law (Ex.24:12) that brought the destined **Bo/tL** subsequently containing "the curses of the Covenant" (Dt.29:21 that are not stated in the Ex.19:5-24:8 Bo/tC) was initially evoked in Ex.32 marking the first breaking (Transgression Gal.3:19) of the Covenant less than 40 days after its 'Agreed-Ratification'.

Note: Pardon my stating the obvious again ...but ... 'Set-Apart (Sanctification)' irrevocably will never equal ... and ... in fact is the direct opposite of 'Transgression'

Alsö - Notice that the rapid succession/close proximity of these events (Covenant Acceptance of Ex.24 vs Covenant Breaking of Ex.32 ... being only 40 days) coupled

with the centuries removed that has lent itself to the ignorance and confusion we now face on this and related issues.

5] The **Bo/tC** is one of 3 specifically connected “Abraham” designated “... covenants of promise ...” (Eph.2:12), that contains/evidences/connects the Covenant Law that is ‘Set-Apart’ (‘Holy’ - Rom.7:12), that is ‘Spiritual’ (Rom.7:14, Jms.2:12), that YHWH designates as "**My**" i.e. "The Law of YHWH ('God')"

5a] The **Bo/tL** contained the ‘enmity law’, ‘contained in ordinances’ (Eph.2:15); note: which also included the Heb 7:16 ‘carnal commandments’ and the Heb 9:10 ‘carnal ordinances’ that were ‘imposed’ compare with above (2/2a)‘agreed promise’ of Eph.2:12.

6] The **Bo/tC** of itself contained one specific limited family curse (Ex.20:5).

6a] The **Bo/tL** contained “All” other Curses (Dt.29:21).

.....

7] The **Bo/tC** is the Sinai fulfillment of the Abraham Promise Covenant that Abraham would have most assuredly recognized (Gal.3:18).

7a] The **Bo/tL** was unknown to Abraham - Gal.3:17 ... Example: How to Build an Ark, Tabernacle, Tabernacle Items, the specific placement of those items, the order of service, how to put a Levitical Priesthood together let alone have a Levi and at that enough of them to pull it off, etc.

Note: As well as this being unknown to Abraham, the **Bo/tL** would have equally been unknown to Isaac, Jacob/Israel and his 12 sons the patriarchs of each of the 12 tribes of the house of Israel (themselves also some 300+ years removed from the Mt. Sinai event).

8] **Bo/tC** relevance and New Covenant continuity (Ex.19:6; 1Pt.2:9) ... Abraham ‘obeyed’ all “**My** Laws” - **Gen.26:5/Ex.24:8/Jer.31:33/Heb.8:10**

8a] The **Bo/tL** - Unknown to Abraham - Gal.3:17 (See 7a above)

9] **Bo/tC** - Ratified - Ex.24:7-8 directly linked by Paul to Gal.3:15 ... No plus or minus - No Additions, No Subtractions.

9a] **Bo/tL** is the Added Law - Ex.24:12 (Gal.3:10, 19) ... Not received by the people till Ex.32 (Never consented/agreed to/or ratified by or with the people and as such ‘imposed’ Heb.9:10) that Includes Multi-later Additions found in Exodus 25 and on, Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy.

10] **Bo/tC** - 'Nomos/Torah' - as 'Principal law' ... Kept in/thru Pre-Maintained 'Set-Apart' Holiness Ex.19: 9-11 (Just as you keep 'well' thru Pre-Maintained Health).

10a] **Bo/tL** - 'nomos/torah' - as 'prescriptive law' ... to reinstate 'Set-Apart' Holiness, (Just as 'prescriptive medicine' is implemented/used to reinstate Health).

11] The Greek word 'Dikaiosune' translated Righteousness ... Laboring to explain the (Old Testament) Hebrew 'Tsadaqah' , 'tsedeq'- "legal - moral - Righteous" **Bo/tC** '<emunah> Fidelity'; ergo Covenant Righteousness throughout the entire Bible (Dt.6:25).

11a] 'Faith'<pistis>Fidelity -(as in Marriage Covenant Fidelity) ... is **not** through (**Bo/tL**) prescriptive law - Rm.5:20-21

12] **Rm.5:20** "Because of * '[A] the Offense'... (A ie. Breaking Covenant Law .. Ex.32)

12a] **Rm.5:20** "this law" <nomos> * (B) "entered" <pareiserchomai>" (B "came in along side") ... [not added into ... Covenant]

13] Everything 'In' the Ark - Ex.25:16/Deut.9:10 /Heb.9:4 ...was Set-apart to YHWH ... (**Dt.4:13** "perform" <asah> defined includes **Feasts** Ex.20:8/23:14-16)

13a] Everything **Bo/tL** - 'By' the Ark - As 'witness' against us not a promise to or for us. (Duet.31:26/Col.2:14) Accessible for reference and additions.

14] **Commandments** Written in YHWH's own "finger" Ex.31:18 ... compare to the YHWH Voice spoken **Bo/tC Commandments** of Ex.20.

14a] **Bo/tL** - Man's - "handwriting of ordinances" Dt.31:26/Col.2:14 (Note - **Bo/tL** was not voice spoken or finger of "YHWH" written)

15] **Bo/tC** "Covenants of Promise" Eph.2:12, 19

15a] **Bo/tL** - "witness against" Dt.31:26/Col.2:14

16] **Bo/tC** "Covenants of Promise" Eph.2:12, 19

16a] **Bo/tL** - "Nailed" taken out of the way Col.2:14

17] **Bo/tC** “Covenants of Promise” Eph.2:12, 19

17a] **Bo/tL** - ‘Reconciled-abolished enmity law’ Eph.2:15-16

18] **Bo/tC** - Commandments contained in Covenant - Ex.19:5-24:8 Mt.7:23/19:17,
1Jn.2:3-6, Rev.12:17, 14:12, 22:14 - (Rev.21:9)

18a] 17] **Bo/tL** - ...‘commandments contained in ordinances’ at ‘enmity’ Eph.2:15-16
..... (Note: ‘enmity’ Eph.2:15-16 is in Direct **Contrast** to Eph. 2:12 “Promise”)

19] “depart you who practice lawlessness” Mt.7:23 NKJV What Law? “My Law” ...
Gen.26:5-Ex.24:8-Jer.31:31-33-Heb.8:10 ...Covenant Law!

19a] Did Yahshua ever out of the **Levitical Book of the Law** sacrifice for His sin? NO!

Note: to the point ... “Sin” ... is the transgression/rebellion of ‘Covenant’ Law ...
(1 John.3:4)

20] Yahshua walked sinless in Covenant; we are to “walk as He walked” 1Jn.2:3-6

20a] ‘the offense’ Rm.5:20 ..‘lapse’ of what? ... Covenant! (‘Sins’ Col.2:13)

21] **Bo/tC** served as the Katubah -the Marriage Covenant - Jer.31:31-33/Lk.22:20 ...
Bride of the Lamb? ... Rev.19:7 ... By what Covenant? ... Answer ... the ‘New
Covenant’ ... the renewed Katubah Marriage Covenant.

21a] Yahshua replaced...**Bo/tL** - (prescriptive law that atoned for Covenant breaking
NOT the reverse) Heb.7:12. Neither Righteousness nor Marriage comes by the Levitical
law (Heb7:11).

22] The Sinai **Bo/tC** and the Calvary New Covenant are basically the same ‘Katubah-
Marriage’ (Jer.31:32) Abraham Promised/Received Covenants ... (Eph.2:12) ... the
direct answer to the Gen.15 ‘Covenant cutting’ and the Gen.12 YHWH of Himself given
‘oath’

22a] Yahshua replaced the **Bo/tL** - (prescriptive law that atoned for Covenant breaking
that supported Covenant NOT the reverse) Heb.7:12 Neither Righteousness nor Marriage
comes by the Levitical law.

23] Yahshua is our 'Goel' Kinsman Redeemer/Reconciler [Note] Only to Legally Redeem Kin thru Marriage Family Covenant. Please note all the New Testament 'Family' terms 'Bride, Son, Heir, Adopted, Child, Brother, Father, etc.' (Gal.4:7)

23a] **Bo/tL** - 'Tutor' till Messiah (Christ/Kinsman Redeemer) Gal.3:23-24. This tutor law is one in the same as the Gal.3:1 and Gal.3:10,19.

24] Gal.4:23-26 '...the 2 Covenants ...' - 1 of 'the free' (Jms.2:12) 'above', 'promise', 'spiritual' - "My Law" - Rom.7:14, 8 :7 .. Katubah - Covenant with No **Bo/tL**.

24a] 1 of the bond, slave, flesh "cast out" Gal.4:30 ("imposed", "carnal" - Heb.9:10) ... **with** the 'added' **Bo/tL** (Gal.3: 10, 19).

25] **Bo/tC** - Purpose - to foster Free-will, Heart-felt, in the image of YHWH Family Relationship ... (Covenant) Fidelity (Gal.4:1-5).

25a] **Bo/tL** - Enforced Fidelity "slave" Gal.4:24 (Gal.3:10) (Note: Paul Evidencing Ex.24:12 'law' as being the origin of the **Bo/tL** yet un-received until Ex.32).

26] "that which **remains** (Note: Not replaces) is more Glorious" 2Cor.3:11 ... What Remains? Among other considerations is the Covenant 'My Law' of Gen.26:5 contained in both the ...**Abrahamic, Bo/tC** and Calvary New Covenant (Jer.31:31-33/Heb.8:8-10).

26a] **Bo/tL** - 2Cr.3:9 'ministry of condemnation' .. Condemning what? ... Sin i.e. Covenant Breaking/Infidelity!

27] "Blood of the 'NEW' Covenant (Lk.22:20/ Heb.10:29) ... Spirit of Grace" ... (Zec.12:10; Heb.10:29)

27a] Note the definition of 'despise' ... 'counted as common' (Heb.10:29) i.e. unholy, Paul contrasting the 'Spirit of Grace' (Heb.10:29) to **Bo/tL** Sin atonements of Heb.10:1.

28] 'New Covenant in Yahshua's Blood' Lk.22:20 (1Cor.10:16).

28a] Yahshua replaced... **Bo/tL**- (prescriptive law that atoned for Covenant breaking that supported Covenant NOT the reverse) Heb.7:12. Neither Righteousness nor Marriage comes by the Levitical law.

29] 'And they overcame [Satan] by the blood of the Lamb and by the word of their testimony (Covenant)' Rev.12:11 ... Remember: "the blood of the Lamb" is also the 'ratifying' Blood of the New Covenant (Heb 10:29).

29a] Yahshua's 'Blood Atonement' is the prescriptive **Bo/tL** 'Blood-covering' replacement... - (that atoned for Covenant breaking that supported Covenant NOT the reverse) Heb.7:12

(Example: State Law supports Marriage ... Marriage does Not support State Law).

30] Rev.14:12 'Here is the patience of the saints: here are they that **keep** the commandments of YHWH, and the **faith** of Yahshua' **Bo/tC**...&...New Covenant-Commandments (**Dt.4:13**/Mt.19:17/Rev.22:14 .."perform" <`asah> - includes Feasts ... **Faith** <pistis> **Fidelity** ... as in Marriage Covenant Fidelity.

30a] Yahshua replaced... **Bo/tL**- (prescriptive law that atoned for Covenant breaking that supported Covenant NOT the reverse) Heb.7:12 ... To atone for Sin ie. Breaking Covenant ... the purpose being, to reinstate Set-Apart Holiness to be able to Keep the Covenant and have it be honored by the One who's always kept Covenant ... (Ex.19:10) ... YHWH in a state of Set-Apart Holiness .

31] Heb.8:6 'He (Yahshua) is the mediator of a better covenant, which was ... established upon better promises'.

31a] Yahshua replaced... **Bo/tL**- (prescriptive law that atoned for Covenant breaking that supported Covenant NOT the reverse) Heb.7:12. Neither Righteousness nor Marriage comes by the Levitical law. Note these 'better promises' are equally not of the **Bo/tL** Law.

32] The **Abraham, Sinai and Calvary Covenants** are ALL **Melchizedec** Priesthood Covenants Heb.7:1-3, 11-12/Gen.15:17-18/Ex.19:5-24:8, **Lk22:20**. **Note:** the "**Covenant .. Law**" <nomos> Heb.8:10 ... is equal to the "**Covenant .. Law**" <torah> of Jer.31:33; just as the "carnal ... law" <nomos> Heb 7:16 - is equal to the "carnal.... law" <torah> Heb 9:10-Lev.11 (and the like) Despite the FACT that <nomos> (as does torah/yarah) ... has many definitions including "animal feed"- it's New Testament. use as "law" whether 'carnal' or 'Covenant' must be determined by the intent of application **and** the prevailing thought surrounding it **and** the alternate verses defining the same issue.

32a] Heb 7:11..."If therefore perfection were by the Levitical priesthood" (Ex.40:13-15), ... "**for under it the people received the law,**" ... **!! Clearly 'the law'** Ex.24:12 was '**received**' AFTER **the Book of the Covenant's** Ratification of Ex.24:8 ... '&' ... Abraham's Covenant of Gen.15 ... Clearly '**the Levitical law and its Priesthood**' were formally installed at Ex.40 after the **Bo/tC** was formally ratified at Ex.24 ... Clearly '**the Bo/tL law**' was 'Reconciled' Eph.2:16 ... At the point of the Crucifixion that 'Blood Ratified' the New Covenant Lk.22/Heb.8 (Note: the 'Melchizedek/ Melchisedec' language of the book of Hebrews, Chapters 5, 6 &7).

33] Heb 7:11 “ If therefore perfection were by the **Levitical priesthood, (for under it the people received the law,)** what further need was there that another priest should rise after the order of **Melchizedec, and not be called after the order of Aaron (Ex.40:13-15)?”** ... [Melchizedek/ Melchizedec (Ps.110:4 - Heb.7:17)]. Note: The only Covenants of any significance legitimately struck under the Levitical priesthood such as the Levitical priest specific ‘Phinehas’ family line Covenant; “I give (not make or agree with) unto him my covenant of peace (<shalowm> salute):” (Num.25:10-13) and the specific family line Davidic Covenant (2Chr.13:5, Ps 89:3, Jer.33:21). Note; these were ‘Oath’ bestowments not in the traditional sense of Agreed Covenant i.e. <b@riyth> i.e. ‘to cut a Covenant’ i.e. Blood Ratified.

33a] What this plainly means is that the Abraham and Sinai Book of the Covenant, are ‘Melchizedek’ Priesthood Covenants; being both proposed, agreed upon and ratified before the Levitical Priesthood formally (Ex.40: 13-15) ever came into existence. Further of imperative necessity these ‘Melchizedek’ Priesthood Covenants are not part of the Levitical Priesthood law that Paul speaks of at Heb.7:11 and evidences else where in the proofs already given within this book. At that further evidencing the re-New-ed Covenant of the same ‘Melchizedek’ succession; obviously being mediated by Yahshua our High Priest after the order of ‘Melchizedek’, therefore also not of, the Levitical Priesthood. (Heb 7:12-16)

Paul ... Not bad for a guy who supposedly made No DISTINCTION of the Law; wouldn’t you agree? If these findings are consistent, it’s past time to re-evaluate many things that we have assumed, have been lead or have been taught by the teaching of error to ignore. For Scripture has clearly spoken.

It is here I would like to present research done on ... and the Covenant connection of the Hebrew word ‘<`asah>’. Which you might have noticed a few entries ago (#30); which generally means to ‘perform’. This <`asah>’ as an imperative to ‘perform’ is uniquely awkward if you really think about it. How do you do or ‘perform’ not ‘stealing’? Or ... How do you do or ‘perform’ not committing ‘adultery’, or ... How do you do or ‘perform’ not coveting? etc. By being Honest, Faithful and Content ... Right?

There is a positive and a negative way to state everything. Example: most of the time generally speaking the most common thing you hear from someone who is depending on you to do or bring this or that is - “don’t forget” ... which is the ‘negative’ way of stating what they actually want you to do, which is ‘remember’. Of course you would now understand that the ‘positive’ way to state the same thing is ‘do remember’. Most of the so-called 10 Commandments are stated in a negative case; ‘Thou shalt not’ this or that, etc. which is of interest when you consider and match the 10 Commandments to the Hebrew word ‘<`asah>’ of Deut.4:13 which clearly is one of many commands to ‘keep’ YHWH’s Covenant.

Deut.4:13 “And he declared unto you his covenant,

which he commanded you to perform <`asah>, even ten commandments; and he wrote them upon two tables of stone.”

06213. hse `asah, aw-saw'

a primitive root; **to do** or make, in the broadest sense and widest application (as follows):--**accomplish**, advance, appoint, apt, be at, become, bear, bestow, **bring forth**, bruise, be busy, X certainly, have the charge of, **commit**, deal (with), deck, + displease, do, (ready) dress(-ed), (put in) **execute**(-ion), exercise, fashion, + **feast**, (fight-)ing man, + finish, fit, fly, follow, fulfill, furnish, gather, get, **go about**, govern, grant, great, + hinder, **hold ((a feast))**, X indeed, + be industrious, + journey, keep, labour, maintain, make, be meet, observe, be occupied, offer, + officer, pare, bring (come) to pass, **perform**, practise, prepare, procure, provide, put, requite, X sacrifice, serve, set, shew, X sin, spend, X surely, take, X thoroughly, trim, X very, + vex, be (warr-)ior, **work**(-man), yield, use.

Note; these ‘many commands to ‘keep’ YHWH’s Covenant’ (stated in different complimentary and opposable ways) found in the various chapters of the entire Bible, especially according to the Hebrew mind-set of ‘Block Logic’ do not contradict or somehow void each other as some resiliently assert (forcefully imply). Again notice how awkward it is to ponder how you would actually ‘hands-on’; **accomplish, commit, execute, go about, perform**; not ‘stealing’? Or ... not committing ‘adultery’? Or ... not coveting? etc. How just exactly would you actually physically “do” NOT doing something?

At best this is an awkward way to state a command and an awkward mental exercise (humanly speaking) to ponder just exactly how you would comply. Which clarifies this point: ... being that the awareness ‘alert’ should sound off the chart realizing that we can **accomplish, commit, execute, go about, perform** - ‘remembering’ the 7th Day Sabbath and that we can **accomplish, commit, execute, go about, perform** “**holding a feast**”. Specifically Yahweh’s Commanded **Feasts** as the word <`asah> conclusively indicates, that happen to be part of that same Marriage (Jer.31:32) Family Covenant of Ex.19:5-24:8. Specifically including the so-called 10 Commandments of Ex.20 laterally containing the **Feasts** of chapter Ex. 23 that include the 7th Day Sabbath in both chapters. Basically the Ex. 23 (v:12-17) summation of Feasts is an abbreviated comparative outline in the same order of Lev.23.

It is here that I will plainly submit the explanation of what was first posed from chapter 2 and reasserted from the beginning of this chapter ... “It is of critical discernment here to note that while the term ‘<B’rit Chadasha>’ lodged as the ‘New Testament’ which can actually mean the re-New-ed Covenant’; that we realize there is an issue to consider here. This issue at this point of this book that has not been (as of yet) fully developed; is that we must critically remember (as this presentation develops) to discern that part of **which** Covenant is being “re-New-ed” and discern that part of the New Covenant that is wholly/completely “new” (Jer.31:31-33) yet serving the same basic capacity. We now have enough evidence to fully develop the parallel Truth of the New Testament as defined by the term ‘<B’rit Chadasha>’; which again can mean both ‘new’

and 're-new-ed'. Certainly one of the most notable contrasts is that before Messiah's ministry and crucifixion the remedy for Covenant breaking i.e. 'Sin' could only be found in the Book of the Law. Now Messiah is our advocate (1John 2:1) with the Father replacing 'the Book of the Law'.

The Sinai 'Book of the Covenant' and the Calvary 'New Covenant' are essentially the same (Katubah) Marriage Betrothal Covenant; being comparatively essentially the same in function and purpose. The distinction is that even though the New Covenant is similar; and is successionaly predicated on the Sinai Covenant, however it (the New Covenant) stands alone as the only Valid Remaining Salvational Covenant **in** the specific succession of 'The Covenants of Promise'. The 're-new-ed' 'sameness' is evidenced in several ways (to be addressed) that for one includes the "My Law" of Jer.31:31-33 giving us the continuity of the same "My Law" that Abraham taught and walked in (Gen.18:19, 26:5). The same "My Law" of the Sinai Marriage Covenant (Jer.31:32) ...Ergo the same 'My Law' of the New Covenant (Heb.8:10). In contrast the 'new' of the 'New Covenant' is also evidenced at Jer.31:31-33 in the words "**not according to**" and Ezk.36:26-27 in that these "My Laws" will be the 'Spirit Circumcision' being written internally - on our "heart & mind" instead of externally on 'parchment & stone'. Further; our 'new' 'Prescriptive/Advocate' (1Jn.2:1) is this New Covenant's **Melchizedek** Mediator, King and High Priest -Yahshua (Heb.8:6/12:24).

Incidentally; **Melchizedek** (Hebrew Old Testament) means: the King <melek> of Righteousness <ts@daqah>; who will certainly be King of the Righteous <tsaddiyq>. Who themselves will certainly, as evidenced by Rev.12:17, 14:12 and 22:14 (KJV) be keeping the Covenant Commandments of Yahweh and the very SAME faith/faithfulness/fidelity that this Yahshua - **Melchisedec** (Greek New Testament) High Priest Savior Son of the most High YHWH demonstrated, exemplated and taught.

Thus the contrast of opposing similarities of the Covenants (Mt. Sinai and Mt. Calvary) now and then would look something like this:

~Original~ Sinai Covenant

"Old" in that it was:

A] Externally written on Scroll
Parchment/Book/ Tablets of Stone

B] National Inheritance to the 12
Tribes of Israel **and** Converts

***C]** Sacrifices - Ox, Sheep, etc.
{To cover Sin, To Keep Sabbath,
Keep Feasts/Covenant}

~New~ Covenant

"NEW" in that it IS

A] Internally written on our
Mind and Heart

B] Collective Inheritance
to "Who so ever will"

***C]** Sacrifice to end all
sacrifices- Messiah
{To cover Sin, To Keep Sabbath,
Keep Feasts/Covenant}

D] Circumcision - Flesh

D] Circumcision - Heart

E] Given to/ thru All Israel and
Converts [{Ex.12:48.}](#)

E] Given to/ thru All Israel
and Converts
[Jer.31:31-Heb.8:10-Eph.2:12](#)

We must also remember that it is YHWH's Gen.12 'oath' that has been the continual 're-new-ed' foundation component of all the "Abraham" successive 'covenants of promise' (A, B, C). This 'oath' has been the foundation and is the constant component ... in fact being the driving force, ... the YHWH personal Oath/Covenant reason behind every covenant YHWH has attempted to broker with man (thru Abraham) on man's behalf to benefit man. So it becomes evident that the '<B'rit Chadasha>' (New Covenant) both is 'New and re-New-ed' having components that are both 'New and re-New-ed'. These 'New' aspects render the 'New Covenant' correctly 'New' and;

Jer.31:32 "Not according to the covenant that I made with their father's ..."

This is awesome; can you imagine for a moment that ... THE ... All-Powerful Sovereign Creator of the Entire Universe would choose Abraham to actually 'Family' with? Equating Abraham to also be 'Father' (through Miryam/Mary) of Yahshua. Yahshua (as Abraham) walked in the same YHWH Covenant "My Laws". Yahshua did so...sinlessly to secure the Legal Eligibility to reconcile, replace and BE the 'New' 'prescriptive/remedy' law; AND to - Mediate the New Covenant, BE the '(Go`el) Kinsman Redeemer, Savior, Advocate, Messiah, the Unblemished Passover Lamb of YHWH', the Example for us to follow and mature in. This 'Legal' eligibility is in response to the 'Sin-Law' challenge that 'Satan' the 'Accuser' (Rev.12:10) drew humanity into at the 'Tree of Knowledge' (Gen.2:9), in the first place. In reality this 'challenge' is directed at the Eternal 'My Law'-Yahweh's expressed unchanging Character contained in Covenant that is in stark contrast to the Levitical Book of the Law that was "added" (Gal.3:19), "imposed" (Heb.9:10), "changed" (Heb.7:11-12), 'replaced' (Heb.9:13-14)'.

By way of defining - "Go`el"

01352. lag go'el, go'-el

from 1351; profanation:--**defile**.

See Hebrew 01351 (ga'al)

01351. lag ga'al, gaw-al'

a primitive root, (rather identified with 1350, through the idea of **freeing**, i.e. repudiating); to soil or (figuratively) desecrate:--**defile, pollute, stain**.

See Hebrew 01350 (ga'al)

01350. lag ga'al, gaw-al'

a primitive root, to **redeem** (according to the Oriental **law of kinship**), i.e. to be the **next of kin** (and as such **to buy back** a relative's property, **marry his widow**, etc.):--X in any wise, X at all, **avenger, deliver**, (do, perform the part of near, next) kinsfolk(-man), **purchase, ransom, redeem(-er)**, revenger.

Notice our “Go`el/Kinsman Redeemer” was in fact “**defiled, polluted, and stained**” with our sin; put to death for our sin “**purchasing**” our “**ransom**” “**delivering**” us from sin’s death curse, **freeing** us to **marry** in the Family New Covenant.

Alert: This “**law of kinship**” makes it understandably obvious, that ONLY “the next of kin” could “**purchase, ransom, redeem**” that is “**to buy back**” “a relative's property”, the only way we can be “**kin**” to YHWH thru Yah`Shua is thru Family **Marriage** Covenant! Those that walk outside of Covenant can intelligently expect no benefit from a ‘kinsman redeemer’.

Note: Yahshua would NOT have been Eligible to reconcile or replace, let alone BE the Levitical Book of the Law Sin Sacrifice Replacement, IF He ever had to, at any point, sacrifice according to the Levitical Book of the Law to remedy/atone for any (His Personal) Blemished Covenant BREAKING. If this would have happened, He would then have been our example to keep the Levitical Book of the Law, that evidenced by Gal.3:17 Abraham knew virtually nothing about. For it is logically evident that the Sinai Covenant i.e. the ‘Received Inherited Promise’ received by Abraham’s descendants, is the YHWH given answer ‘Fulfilling’ (that is fully supplying) the Abraham Covenant promising an inheritance. This ‘answer’ is minus the ‘added’ Levitical Book of the Law that Yahshua never employed as a sin remedy to reinstate or regain thus re-maintain a repaired or pardon a previously breeched, compromised or lapsed Covenant Innocence; or in any other way (alternate or varied) retain His demonstrated unbroken ‘Fidelity’ to His Father’s ‘Promised’ Covenant, that evidenced His (Yahshua’s) Eligibility.

Rom. 4:14 “For **if** they which are of the law be heirs,
faith (<pistis>[**Fidelity**]) is made void, and the
promise made of none effect”

Meaning? - If they (Levitical law keepers) are legally owed heir-ship by the added law (the Levitical Book of the Law), Covenant Fidelity is pointless, for then YHWH’s promise (among other considerations) that, “thru his [Abraham’s] seed [Yahshua] the nations [world] would be blessed” (Gen.22:18), would be hollow; for this added law i.e. the added ‘Levitical Book of the Law’ (if ever valid as actual Righteousness) that Abraham knew nothing about would (originally and currently) be blessing the world to an acceptable level of righteousness that accepts ‘stop-gap’ Covenant breeching ‘damage control’ measures as Covenant Righteousness instead.

Now let’s take a look - putting Mt.19:17, Mk.7:9, 2Pt. 3:16 and 1Jn. 2:3-6 together:

Mt 19:17 “ ... but if you would enter into life, **keep**
the commandments.”

Mark 7:9 “ ... you reject the commandment of
YHWH, that ye may keep your **own** tradition”.

2Pt. 3:16 “as also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these

things, in which are some things hard to understand, which untaught and unstable people **twist** to their own destruction, **as** they do also the **rest** of the Scriptures.

1John 2:3-6 “And hereby we do know that we know Him, **if** we keep His commandments. 4 He that says, I know Him, and does not keep His commandments, **is a liar**, and the truth **is not** in him. 5 But **who ever** keeps His word, in him verily is the **love** of YHWH perfected: hereby know we that we are in him. 6 He that says he abides in Him ought himself also so to walk, even **as** He walked.”

Now ponder and compare; how is this any different from Deut.11:1? :

Deut.11:1”Therefore thou shalt **love** YHWH thy Elohim, and keep his charge, and his statutes, and his judgments, and his commandments, alway.”

In direct response to:

Gen. 26:5 “Because that Abraham obeyed my voice, and kept my charge, my commandments, my statutes, and my laws.”

Relevance- We desperately need the proper divisioning of what is ‘Remedy/Redemptive/Prescriptive’ Law and what is Principle Family Covenant Law; with the diligent attention to the terms we hear/use, the terms translated in our Bibles and the terms the translators could have used, cognizant of the ‘bird nest’ blending of non-distinction with which we already obviously face. For with **this** Knowledge we can **know** what was changed and what the New Covenant retains of the preceding others (2Cor.3:11), and WHY. Further understanding that this “My Law” of Gen.26:5 concurrent thru Heb.8:10 includes the expressed Set-Apart (Holy) Character Covenant Will of YHWH, that we (as His Family) should be maturing in. That ‘growth’ will be arrested, limited, &/or NOT possible beyond the Unknown, Explained Away and/or thus Replaced, the very picture of Rm.10:2-3, ‘having a zeal but not after knowledge’.

If these findings are consistent with Scriptural intent, then clearly we need to Seriously Consider reinstating that which we have been taught to dismiss by both Sunday and Sabbath keeping churches, ESPECIALLY regarding Covenant! Which would include:

1] The 7th day Sabbath **with** the knowledge that it IS in fact the Weekly Feast - Gen.1:14, 2:3, Ex.20:8, Lev.23:1-3, Mt.12:8, Lk.4:16 [Note: ‘seasons’ <mow’ed> - Gen.1:14 identical to ‘feasts’ <mow’ed> - Lev. 23, ‘times’ <z@man (Aramaic)>Dan.7:25]

2] Yahweh’s Name **with** the knowledge that YHWH through the pre-incarnate Yah`Shua audibly enunciated the Covenant/Commandment Name YHWH - Ex.19:16, 20:7, 19

Note: That “Yah-shua” (Literally - The Salvation of Yah) His Son, we claim as Savior ‘Came’ both figuratively and literally in that (Ps.68:4 NKJV) Covenant-Commanded Name, the only ‘Name’ given among men (Acts 4:12 = Joel 2:32, Rm.10:13, Acts 2:21, Ex.3:15, 20:7 - ‘YHWH’). Noting these texts do NOT say - the only man given among names - further noting the fact that there never has been “J’s” in either Hebrew or Greek. As many do, you may be asking ... Does it matter? ... I would then ask you ... after reading Hosea 6:6 ... Does knowledgeable obedience matter?

3] The 10 Commandments and the Feasts **with** the knowledge they are in fact concurrent Covenant ‘Statutes **and** Judgments’ - Ex.20-21-23, Lev.26:15, Dt.4:13, Ezk.36:26-27 (Ps.50:16-17, **Rm.11: 22**)

Another realization we seriously need to connect to is that we need to see each one of the 10 Commandments as if they were the individual titles of the individual chapters of the same book of ‘statutes’ and ‘judgments’; realizing that they are the Melchizedek ‘statute’ headings that are more completely explained (before and after Sinai) throughout the rest of the Torah and the Bible itself. Realizing that wherever these ‘statutes’ and ‘judgments’ are found they are more fully explaining each one of those 10 Commandment ‘Statute’ headings including the ‘judgments’ that augment and play back into at least one or more of the 10 respective ‘10 Commandments’ and or the multiples thereof; including the ‘rightly divided’ divisionings of what is Covenant and the understandings thereof that still yet hang under ... are supported by the 2 Commandments that are greatest;

Mat.22:37 “Yahshua said unto him, Thou shalt love YHWH thy Elohim with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind (Deut.6:5). 38 This is the first and great commandment. 39 And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself” (Lev.19:18).

Some have explained it this way; ... ‘the 2 give us the 10 that give us the many’. This is usefully accurate if we will let it remain accurate. That is; these ‘2’ so-called ‘Greatest Commandments’ give us the same ‘10 Commandments’ (not an alternate 10) that must infuse the ‘many’ “Judgments” that themselves serve to only explain and expand the ‘10’ and the ‘2’. They are a self contained linked unit and emanate, support, define, explain and feed from each other. This always was so ... this will always be so ... from pre-Creation to re-Creation.

These next points relate directly to Covenant Commandments 3, 4, & 5 AND the “Covenant My Laws” of Jer.31:31-33/Heb.8:10. Satan is certainly our adversary and as such is diametrically apposed to YHWH, His Covenant and His Covenant Believers at every turn. However neither Satan nor his plan is the opposite of YHWH’s, or even against His Laws per-se. That’s why Satan just pollutes, reinterprets and counterfeits what is already there. Satan wants to be ‘God’, in the very place of YHWH; but Satan wants it done Satan’s way ... Satan’s best tools are to counterfeit, pollute, distort and/or

mislead which is exactly what we see in the inaccurate notion of being ‘not under Law-Grace only’, legitimizing many things including the ‘Weekly/Annual’ replacement parody of Sunday & Annual Holidays, which are not Covenant³ VS: the Covenant Sabbath and Annual Sabbaths/Feasts of Torah Scripture. As stated a counterfeit may look, act, smell, taste, feel like the real thing; but it has no value and will never be the genuine original i.e. ‘the real deal’.

The notion of not being under Law - because of Grace is a distortion; while true at one level, has left us ‘not under Covenant’ Law at another (2Pt.2:19, 1Cr.7:22). For ‘Grace’ defined includes “pardon” ... from ‘Sin’ not in the sense of continued immune ‘Sin Impunity’/‘party-on free-skate’ but from the Penalty of Sin i.e.: “transgression of the Law” 1Jn.3:4 i.e. Breaking Covenant which is Spiritual Death. How then can we legitimately continue to break Covenant and intelligently expect to be spared? (Ps.50:16-17, Ezk.17:15; 44:24-Rm.6:1/ Eph.2: 1-20). To do so is equal to ‘thumbing our noses’ at the pardon of Grace, the purpose of Grace and the One who gave it. If repentance is a turn around what do you think we are to turn around to? Answer: The resolute circumspect Covenant Obedient walk of Yahshua Himself ... It won’t be all at once ... not even close, but instead of deferring to impossibility reasonings and finding reasons ‘not to’, we need to confess and surrender being open to the ways of ‘how to’.

Again ... Realize - The ONLY place in the entire Scripture that the words ‘Faith Alone’ appear together is Jms.2:17 and 24.

James 2:17 “Even so faith, if it hath not works, is
dead, being alone.”

James 2:24 “You see that a man is justified by **works**
and not by faith alone.” (RSV)

Then go back and read James.2:12

James 2:12 “So speak and so **do** as those who **will**
be judged by the (Covenant) law of liberty.”

Note: New Testament words like; Wicked-Licentious/ Licentiously/ Licentiousness, Iniquity, Disobedient, etc. ALL have to do with Lawlessness ... Scriptural Covenant Lawlessness. Faith includes Fidelity, Covenant Fidelity, **Grace** and **Faith** are not merely loose scripture-like assumptions; they include **Pardon** from a past of Covenant Breaking repentant to **Fidelity** ... present and future Covenant **Fidelity**. To continually walk in, conduct our lives in, guide our thoughts by and mature in ... Covenant. Consider; If we will “know as we are known” (1Cor.13:12), know that the Covenant we claim to be Saved by is Hebrew/Israelite (Eph.2:12), “AND” It’s Mediator, the Savior Yahshua who’s Covenant Blood covers our Sin, Living His Covenant Obedient Life in us (as we purpose to yield our own wills), chosen by YHWH, is Hebrew/Israelite/Jewish.

How then can any of us maintain a position outside of the Israelite Commonwealth?; Outside of the Israelite Covenants?; Outside of the Israelite 'Promise'?, when we are covered by His Israelite Covenant **Fidelity** Blood ... and are to 'put on' His very same Israelite Covenant **Fidelity** Mind (Col 3:10, Eph 4:24, Gal. 3:27, Php.2:5, 1Peter 4:1, 1John 2:6). How then can any of us legitimately maintain anything that resembles a not under Covenant-Commandment Law 'for those Jews only' attitude. Is there then any validity to the thought that maintains a Sunday Keeping, Pork eating, Gentile 'mentality' that claims to be saved by and following let alone serve and imitate a Sabbath - Annual Feast - Kosher keeping Israelite Messiah; as I myself once did?

My Church or Torah Friend and co-seeker all groups do lip-service and speak of 'unity'; the only 'Unity' that will truly Scripturally 'unify' in YHWH's Covenant Economy is a 'Unity' based on Truth. Open to/encompassing as much 'from the Word of YHWH' Scriptural Truth as we can find, test and verify. This will be the focus of the next chapter ... chapter 6

John 17:11 "And now I am no more in the world, but these are in the world, and I come to thee. Holy Father, keep through thine **own Name** those whom thou hast given me, that they may be **one**, as we are."

John 17:17 "Sanctify them through thy **truth**: thy word is **truth**."



001221 Official Seal **CopyrightDeposit.com** issued
2005/11/14 at 14:35 (ET). <http://www.copyrightdeposit.com/rep3/001221.htm>

¹ www.csbr.com

² www.wildbranch.org/Articles/sc01birth.htm, tckillian.com/greg/birth.html,
www.nccg.org/239Art-XmasSun.html

³ See - The New Catholic Encyclopedia Pgs. 1052 & 1053 ... Quote: "Rome's customs replaced Heaven's statutes in the 4th century A.D."