

Chapter 3

Psalms 119:172 “My tongue shall speak of thy word:
for all thy commandments are righteousness.”

1John 4:6 “We are of YHWH: he that knows YHWH
hears us; he that is not of YHWH hears not us.
Hereby know we the spirit of truth, and the
spirit of error”.

Biblical Faith and the Snarled Bird's Nest of Law

Among Sins driven by “the spirit of error” the most grievous are the ones that misrepresent Yahweh ... His plan and His purpose through the mission and ministry of His Son Yahshua. This is exactly what Satan did at the 'Tree of Knowledge'. This is exactly what Satan did, does and is still doing. YHWH's plan, purpose, mission and ministry includes redeeming the repentant as His Covenant Family. That Family Covenant has Covenant Laws i.e. the Laws - Statutes and Judgments of Yahweh that govern His Family, that we are to agree to; that Yahshua mediates as our High Priest.

I have been accused by those (of the Denominated Churches) who teach against the Law (including Law that is actually Covenant) that; “you love the law”. Even though this is meant as a slight ... Psalms 119:172 shows that I'm in good company. I have also had this Bible verse aimed at the foundation of my personal salvation in Yahshua

Gal.5:4 “Christ is become of no effect unto you, whoso-
ever of you are justified by the law; ye are fallen
from grace.”

Notice: This verse says nothing against being justified by the New Covenant that we can all agree was provided by the love and grace of YHWH. It should be becoming clear by now that Paul understood that Covenants have Covenant Law; and that this distinction cannot be intelligently ignored. If not; that fact by the end of this book will become crystal clear.

Before we go any further again I want to make it very very plain; again ... I do not want to dis-believe the truth; I would not want you to dis-believe the truth, but I certainly do *not* want to believe a lie, and for that reason; I would rather be found guilty of over testing what is ultimately the truth than under testing what is ultimately a lie.

James 3:1 “Let not many of you become teachers, ...
for you know that those who teach shall be
judged with greater strictness.”

I have asked the following question repeatedly, and I guess I will never fully know the answer this side of Heaven; ... How it could possibly be that any man claiming authentically to be called of “God” can go to a credentialing body; be it seminary or otherwise; study the Bible at the University level; and at that for years, and come out propagating the same ‘status-quo’ stuff of what has always been handed down ... missing the point of what has always been right there in the Bible all along?

Ezekiel 33:6 “But if the watchman see the sword come,
and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not
warned; if the sword come, and take any person
from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity;
but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand.”

I certainly have not been taught the topic-point of this Book in any Church, Assembly or Synagogue. But I have glaringly been taught by experience and have witnessed the toll on people's lives. I have seen the chaos of confusion of what the lack of discerning this knowledge from these said same institutions have and continue to cause. To speak 'Love and Grace' sounds real sweet, but the rubber better meet the road on the foundation of Truth filling in the cracks. For just that reason I realize that, if I don't speak this, no one else will ... Ezekiel 33:6 is very sobering ... I choose to take it seriously. My Bible also tells me that “to whom much has been given much will be required” (Luke 12:48). I have been forgiven much and have been lead to and shown some very key points.

I do strongly sense that I am on that “watchman” hook, that the fingers of that verse are pointed at me and ones like me. I wanted to know the Truth and I will not turn away from it. This research has been rewarding. This research has cost me and no doubt the findings of this research will continue to cost me, but I will not turn away, and I pray that, we will all allow the integrity of YHWH's Truth to guide and change us, for that reason I will to;

Isaiah 58:1 “Cry aloud, (and) spare not ...”

Even so just as in Bible times there were those that if they didn't like the message they would just kill the messenger. Fortunately for many of us, those that don't like the message have more sophisticated means of alterations; just attack the character of the message and the messenger. All of us have an original sin nature, is it any wonder that most of us would feel the most comfortable not doing what we're supposed to? After all, just as it has been said before, ‘it is easier to excuse the presence of sin than to anguish over it’, let alone take steps to correct it. It then becomes clearer why we major in minor considerations while we minor in major issues, seeking the path of least resistance, of least compliance, of least inconvenience; many times becoming defensibly hostile when

the surety of our 'Blessed Security' faces a valid set of questions based on valid considerations never before considered, overlooked in the Bible or worse hidden by previous generations.

It is obvious that these 'previous generations' have considered some of these issues and concluded an error. But to not consider what can be known, that is ... to willfully close your eyes to revealed Truth, especially one you don't like is the most insidious form of self inflicted ignorance. For it is self-deception insuring a very real slavery that will affect you, and will no doubt cycle into your own family and circle of influence; for which we will all give an account of. In a real way ... in the saddest dimension of comedy possible ... yet frequently displayed is the self-assured 'arrogance' oblivious to the deception ... and therefore preserving thus further insuring ... ignorance.

I am not celebrated, pedigreed, or academically credentialed or accredited in any way. That may yet be another Blessing from what I have seen. This has been confirmed; being sighted and stated by others several times; however my best Biblical Credential is:

Eccl. 8:16 "When I applied my heart to know wisdom and to see the business that is done on earth, even though one sees no sleep day or night,¹⁷ then I saw all the work of YHWH, that a man cannot find out the work that is done under the sun. For though a man labors to discover it, yet he will not find it; moreover, though a wise man attempts to know it, he will not be able to find it."

When I think back to my earliest remembrances of religious church things, I can draw no other conclusion than at some early beginning point I had been innately given enough discernment to know something was not quite right, that was only to be validated as the years, experiences, events, and hard lessons went by. That little bit of unguided early discernment ultimately got me into a lot of trouble, and caused a lot of internal and external turmoil that I did not have enough experience or knowledge to avoid or intelligently define let alone communicate. The motto of My Father's Senior High School's Graduating Class was; "It is better to sit and look dumb that to speak and prove it". For many years I wasn't able to prove much of anything and I certainly didn't do much speaking up because of it.

When I was about 9, I was under what was most assuredly a demonic siege. I remember not being able to stop the endless thoughts of profanity; I finally garnered the courage to tell my mother about it. She told me to read the Bible ... Yeah-Right ... this was barely out of the 1950's, and she's the one by her corporal methods 4 to 5 years earlier taught me to hate to read, and I'm gonna read this 'who begat who', 'thee unto thou' King James Bible? ... and at that by myself? As you can guess this was not a viable option. When I was about 10, the preacher's sermon mentioned the Sabbath. I later asked my father about what 'Sabbath' was, and after he told me, I asked why we weren't keeping it. He gave me the standard 'Jesus rose on Easter Sunday story'. Of course that

was my 1st encounter questioning these traditions and I didn't know enough then to say anything different. On my 13th birthday they gave me my very own Bible, o joy of joys ... it was all I could do to hold back the rage. The first chance I got I went to the back yard and threw it with all the force I could muster, across the yard up against the block wall fence. Luckily it was a zipped black leather bound ... no torn pages ... a little spit on the scuffs ... it was good to go ... they never knew it. But what is saddest of all, is that they never detected what all this contradicting church/Bible/family stuff was doing to me, to the rest of their own family or to their own offspring's future families that would ultimately come back to impact them in ways no one would envy.

I certainly had no hope to identify any of this Bible error stuff ... and at that ... mixed into the personal and family turmoil stuff on my own. As an adult for many years 'forgiveness' was not an option ... and was a bitter lesson for me to learn, I didn't get it and I certainly did not get it out of the Bible or church, although someone did. I got the definition I could understand and accept out of a discarded religious section of a newspaper. It mirrored exactly what YHWH does for us after repentance; ... YHWH gives up His right to get even. Whether the ones that have hurt us repent to us or not, we can give it to YHWH, and He will repay ... remember 'repay' and 'reward' does not have to be good. I could let go, I needed to let go, I was being destroyed from the inside ... I was being consumed. I've heard other similar stories ... and the endings are not always happy. I'm very glad and thankful that YHWH has intervened in my life ... However, from time to time; I do still grieve over all the things ... over all that is family ... over all that could have been a lot more right.

What has been amazing to me is that I had heard over the years, that 'God' had a plan and gifts for every body. I've remained largely undefined most of my life, sure I've done different things but past picking up a guitar at age 14, nothing has been as constant. But even though I hated to read and at that most of my adult life; it has turned out that I have (unpolished as it is) a Literary Gift. I have also learned that Satan will attack you at your point of purpose, of maximum effectiveness, using the people around you (even family) to do to you what he is trying to do to you. I am constantly reading and writing now. I do however wish I could do it faster. Some complain of repetition and run-on sentences, but read your Bible ... I'm in good company.

I would like to share with you a statement I needed to hear as a little guy growing up. I am so thankful I did come across it at some point in my life when it could still make a difference. It was from a book at a Bible bookstore it basically is from the idea that YHWH is not the author of confusion. "*You will never have the desire to do anything without the talent or the ability to back it up*". Later I discovered the Bible verse that backs this statement up:

Php.2:13 "for it is YHWH who works in you both to will and to do for His good pleasure."

I am almost certain I would have never tackled this book or had the moxy ... the self-confidence ... the intestinal fortitude to tackle, address, persist and endure the things

that have lead up to this book without that simple one line statement and the fact of it being true.

Through my not so honorary PhD of Hard Knocks, I have made several observational connections. First and foremost is the principle of 'Affirm and Apply', some have called it 'Follow-Through' which does not fully capture quite the same meaning; being that you can 'Follow-Through' in sports, you can 'Follow-Through' on a promise, but an accomplished task or a delivered promise is not the on-going continued life experience of increasing understanding plugged into the determined sustained action of 'Apply'. I have seen the principle of 'Affirm and Apply' continually violated in many ways, personally being at the brunt of it for many years. It is, from annoying to dangerous to devastating, to do 'lip service' without 'road service'. It is the hidden covertly adjusted form of saying one thing and doing another. Deceiving even the one's that will come close to correctly identifying this principle. This further crystallizes those that would be audience to this, being taught in various presenters' error of 'affirmation and application'. This situation has continually occurred and will continue to occur, especially when the full meaning of 'application meeting affirmation' remains ignored and is not brought to light. Yahshua put it this way:

Mt 23:3 "Therefore whatever they tell you to observe,
that observe and do, but do not do according to
their works; for they say, and do not do".

In other words - What they affirm is correct ... What they apply is Not! Reportedly; from Hebrew scrolls smuggled out of Iraq, it has recently come to light that this verse in Hebrew should actually read ... "Therefore whatever 'he' tells you to observe ...", which would make more sense, for the preceding verse states at Mt 23:2 saying, "The scribes and the Pharisees sit in Moses' seat". These Pharisees would speak the words of Moses, but they would not do the words they speak. This verse showcases the incongruent action of speaking the 'Truth' while still involved in the application of error. Certainly evidencing not fully and/or not applying Truth at all; this reflects much of what we increasingly see today. (Note: 'Moses' certainly would include the Covenant, the Commandments, the Sabbaths and the Annual Feasts).

One outstanding example that aptly showcases exactly this 'Affirm and Apply', above mentioned concept; that has and remains to be continually played out in various ways, happened this way. A Sabbath considering Sunday Keeping friend of mine invited me to a musician's fellowship at an area high profile Sunday church. The presenter used the analogy of tuning a guitar, for those of you who do not play an instrument; the heavy 'E' sting is tuned to the 440 MHz frequency. He went on to explain that you could tune it by ear and be very close but 439 MHz or 441 MHz while sounding like "E" is not "E". This is aptly that same Bible message, who has not heard the assertion that 'Sin is missing the Mark'? No matter how close! That is precisely the point. I have explained it using this example; ... We are told at:

John 14:6 "I am the way, the truth, and the life. No
one comes to the Father except through Me."

Would there be any doubt that this statement only leaves room for 'ONE WAY'? Among all the New Testament Believing Churches and Assemblies, among all the wranglings and arguments, there would (or should) be no descent that the crucified Messiah is that 'ONE WAY'. If we drew a vertical 360o (degree) circle on the wall with TDC - (top dead center) being that 'ONE WAY', that would mean that all other 359o's of that circle would be Satan's territory. This is also aptly that same 'E' sting Bible message! Satan does not care if you turn right or left, up or down or side to side, just as long as you do. Just as long as you do not find or fully follow ... integrating that 'ONE WAY', He doesn't really have a care how close you get, until you find that 'ONE WAY'.

Deut.5:32 "Ye shall observe to do therefore as YHWH
your Elohim hath commanded you: ye shall not turn
aside to the right hand or to the left"

If you really look at it, Satan's got it goin' on. First of all He's got most believing he doesn't even exist, then he's got his ministers playing church (2 Cor.11:13-15) while perverting righteousness in person and on all the air way medias (Eph.2:2). He's got all the religious groups fragmented from each other. All these groups tend to oppose each other, protecting themselves from each other, all vying for the same religious Dollar; with the obscene hardships and crystallized bitterness in and out of church that can bring. He's got Millions of children that have been the direct recipients of the unseen irreligious side of all of this. He's got Millions out side these ranks watching this, ridiculing and scorning with disdain and contempt anything called 'Religious or Christian' that have opted to non-Biblical alternates up to and including outright Satanism. With Satan manifesting himself in any number of alternate appetites up to outright criminal behavior from every class or category you could name from the local neighborhood to the highest office; in and out of so-called 'church' ... every point on that 359o circle. You could expand that same example to that of a sphere being 359o x 359o, to broaden that same picture. Directly because the message and the precise understanding of that message, have been perverted and remain perverted and for most undetectably so, because the explanation the religious ones give 'sounds' so fully explained, when it actually is not. For example; the Protestant sermon apologetics explaining to Sunday Keepers why they keep Sunday and not the Sabbath contain variances of some few main points; including:

1] "Sabbath was only to the Jews" ... Going on to either imply by omission or to directly state that; "we're not Jews".

What they do not tell you is ...

A] That the Sabbaths and Feasts were Declared, Ordained and Blessed at Creation (Gen.1:14, 2:3). We all know exactly how many Jews were there ... Right?

B] That the Sabbath was not Blessed because it was commanded in Covenant ... the Sabbath was commanded in Covenant because it was Blessed at Creation (Gen. 2:3).

C] That is why the 4th Commandment can intelligently start with the word ... "Remember"

D] This Sinai Covenant command was given to all 12 tribes of Israel (not just the Jews) **AND** the Gentile Egyptian convert believers that were at the base of that same Sinai Mountain.

E] That there are many New Testament verses that identify those that accept the New Covenant Blood covering of a Hebrew/Israelite/Jewish Messiah become what ...?... among other things; part of the “commonwealth of Israel” and party to the Covenants thereof !!! (Eph.2:12, 19)

F] That this would include the weekly Sabbath and the Annual Sabbaths.

2] “There is no New Testament command to Keep Sabbath.” ... (Please refer to 1E) ...
What they do not tell you is ...

A] There is no mention of ‘Sunday’ in the entire Bible... Therefore

B] There is no New Testament command to Keep ‘Sunday’

C] There are many direct and indirect New Testament commands to “Walk as He walked” and “Keep the Commandments” that contain these Sabbaths and that the Sabbath “remains” to be Kept by YHWH’s people (Heb 4:9-11 NIV)

D] That equating the Greco-Roman ‘Sunday’ to the Biblical Creation “evening - morning” ‘1st Day’ is theologically and accurately dishonest ... Therefore

E] The preaching of Paul “until mid-night” on the ‘1st Day’ (Acts 20:7) was neither on the Biblical Creation Sabbath nor what we call ‘Sunday’ actually being on what we would call Saturday Night ... and at that after attending synagogue that very Sabbath! (Acts 15:19-21) ... Therefore

F] In any case not a ‘Sunday’ command ... neither direct, implied or correct.

3] “Christ rose on Sunday” ... (Please refer to 2D) ...
What they do not tell you is ...

A] That finding an empty tomb is not a time and date-stamp proof of when life reentered Messiah.

B] That the angel told Mary at the beginning of that 1st Day to go to Galilee because the risen Messiah was ‘already on His way’ (Mt 28:7) ...?... (Again no Sunday/1st day proof of 3A)

C] That the 1st Day of the week would start at sundown of the Sabbath.

D] That ‘day’ is a supplied word in these Gospel resurrection accounts not found in the original text.

E] That this particular 1st Day was after the crucifixion ... the point of when the Old Testament Feast system had ceased supposedly ... the very day (Lev.23:15) of the “Wave Sheaf” commanded by YHWH through Moses i.e. Old Testament system that is arguably evidenced as being preformed by the risen Yahshua as John 20:15 would allude to... and at that;

F] The day of the “Wave Sheaf” started the count to ‘Shavout’ (Pentecost) also commanded by YHWH i.e. also a so-called Old Testament system command.

G] That 'Shavout' (Pentecost) happened *'fully'* on the very "Day" (Acts 2:1) commanded by YHWH (Lev 23:16) through Moses i.e. Old Testament system.

H] So ... if it (YHWH's Feast System) was "Finished" ... "At the Cross" ...What's This? ... a Mistake?

4] "Because Christ rose on Easter" ...

What they do not tell you is ...

A] The word "Easter" does Not appear in any original manuscript translating any Bible.

B] The word "Easter" is translator willful error in the KJV.

C] The word "Easter" comes from the pagan fertility goddess "Astarta or Eastre" (Check any 'older' dictionary or encyclopedia)

D] The 3 day 'Good Friday crucifixion Easter Sunday sunrise resurrection story does Not fit ...it is not 3 days at all ... (Do the math).

E] Messiah specifically said "3 days AND 3 nights" (Mat.12:40) ... that would fit;

F] YHWH's "covenant of the day" and "covenant of the night" (Jer.33:20) that would strongly indicate,

G] A 4th day (Wednesday) Passover crucifixion with the 5th day (Thursday) Annual Sabbath being the 1st (beginning) Day of the 7 day Feast of Unleavened Bread (Lev.23:6-7, Jn.19:31), being the 'Annual Sabbath' reason why the body had to be taken down; concluding that the weekly 7th day Sabbath was Not that reason.

H] This would fit Dan. 9:27 (midst of the week) which would

I] Indicate that Messiah actually Resurrected at the end of the Weekly 7th day Sabbath as it was coming to the 1st Day (Note: there is still some 1½ hours of light at sundown that would be Biblically the 'Dawning' of the new day ... and in this case ... concurrently the new week).

J] That there is more Biblical Facts and Evidences both direct and peripheral, that support a Sabbath Resurrection than the runaway supposition of a Sunday Resurrection.

5] "Sunday is the Lord's Day" ...

What they do not tell you is ...

A] That Yahshua declared that He was Master ('Lord') of the 7th Day Sabbath

B] That Yahshua declared that He was to be about His Father business that would include the Very Covenant 'Sign' (Ex.31:13) of the Sabbath.

C] That Yahshua declared that He did nothing on His own (John 8:28, 54).

D] That Yahshua declared that He did *not* do away with (Torah) the Law (Mat.5:17); note this would definitely include Covenant and Covenant Law!

E] That Yahshua Commanded us directly and indirectly to "Keep the Commandments" (Mat.19:17) that would of necessity include the Sabbath.

F] Rev.1:10 - 'Lords Day' vs. day of YHWH's wrath i.e. 'day of the Lord' are one in the same references and have nothing to do with any day of the week, what is more neither of these phrases reference Sunday what so ever!

G] That any directives of 1st day deeds have nothing to do with 7th day rest.

6] 'Christ Himself broke the Sabbath with His disciples as a example to us, when they went through the cornfield picking and eating on the Sabbath Day (Mat.12:1; Mrk.2:23; Luk.6:1). ...

What they do not tell you is ...

Deut.23:25 When thou comest into the standing corn of thy neighbour, then thou mayest pluck the ears with thine hand; but thou shalt not move a sickle unto thy neighbour's standing corn.

Lev.25:6 "And the Sabbath produce of the land shall be food for you: for you, your male and female servants, your hired man, and the stranger who dwells with you"

7] "There are only 4 things that 'Christians' are commanded to do according to the Book of Acts and they do not include the Sabbath." ...

What they do not tell you is ...

A] **This is a direct Lie!** (Acts 15:19-21)

B] That ALL the Apostles including Paul very much knew the Gentile converts were learning Moses and worshiping in synagogue on the Sabbath

C] There are only 4 things that Gentile converts are to observe in addition to ... not in replacement of ... what these Gentile converts were already learning and observing every Sabbath in Synagogue according to Torah i.e. "Moses"

To say that Acts 15:19-21 somehow releases a Gentile (the formerly uncovenanted person that is now turning to YHWH's Covenanted Salvation) from the Covenant Commandments they are to be saved by, married by, live by ... the exemplified evidence of being saved i.e. being in Covenant, is as ridiculous as saying; 1] That we should not put on to the Single (the Unmarried) entering marriage the laws of marriage that they are to be married by, that any of us are to be married by. 2] That this Acts 15 Salvational Marriage Covenant Alien is to enter the Salvational Marriage Covenant by **not** keeping the Salvational Marriage Covenant or its Laws? That does not make any sense in any ones economy especially YHWH's.

Some try to assert that if you're going to keep the Biblical 7th day Sabbath that are tied to the Annual Feasts, you have to go to Jerusalem 3 times a year to do it. What they are doing is confusing and mis-stating Covenant by trying to appeal to Levitical law as leverage to legitimize/prove their Feast abandonment position that also asserts that; 'to

keep Feasts you have to have a Temple' which according to Torah specifically the 'Book of the Covenant' contained in Torah is plainly not there. The words of the Book of the Covenant state:

Ex. 20:24 An altar of earth thou shalt make unto me, and shalt sacrifice thereon thy burnt offerings, and thy peace offerings, thy sheep, and thine oxen: in **all places** where I record my name I will come unto thee, and I will bless thee.

And at:

Ex.23:14 Three times thou shalt keep a feast unto me in the year.

These preceding are very clear commands of this Melkezidec Priesthood Covenant. The words after this Covenant was first broken at Ex.32 were arguably already under the Levitical Priesthood that was first alluded to at Ex.28 directly tied to 'the law' that was "added" (Gal.3:19) at Ex.24:12. That is, in a Hebrew-mindset that would include Paul's mindset; the Levitical Priesthood (Heb.7:11) **began with the law that brought it** at Ex.24:12; AFTER the Book of the (Marriage) Covenant had been ratified (Ex.24:8). In the same way that in a Hebrew-mindset that a human life does not begin at birth, an infant begins life at conception.

This brings up another concept; that the start of life and the start of death start at the start. Just like we understand hearing a term such as 'dead man walking' speaks of the certainty of a sentence that is yet to be carried out, in the same way it is said of some situations that; 'its an accident waiting to happen'. In other words there are those that point to YHWH pronouncement to Adam and Eve.

Gen.2:17 But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die. {thou shalt surely ... Heb. **dying** thou shalt die }

Saying; 'but they didn't die that day' ... insinuating that YHWH wasn't truthful and/or somehow didn't tell it straight. Then we get the apologetics about a 'day' being as a thousand years and no man in the Biblical record lived out this thousand year 'day', which is true enough. However did you notice the Hebrew commentary of this verse? The '**dying**' process started the very minute that fruit was eaten. By example; in the same way that some Victorian Monarch of the world might say:

In the hour thou removest thine ice cream from thine freezer it shalt surely melt. (it shalt surely...: Victorian Monarch - melting it shalt melt)

Once taken out of the freezer that ice cream might look pretty good for the next 30 minutes or so, but at the end of the hour you'll be able to physically detect the melting process that started the very second you pulled it from the freezer. Just like the pronouncement upon original sin, once that fruit was eaten; dying would start and there was no going back ... applying the same 'point of no return' property once that ice cream was pulled from the freezer it will start a process whereby what would once bend a spoon, by the end of the day you'll need a straw, sponge or a mop.

Continuing with the 3 Feasts in Jerusalem issue:

Ex.34:23 "Thrice in the year shall all your men children appear before YHWH Elohim of Israel."

With this Deut.16:16 restated injunction being directly under the Levitical Priesthood (as is Ex 34:23 by virtue of the added law of Ex 24:12) that was conclusively installed at Ex.40.

Deut.16:16 Three times in a year shall all thy males appear before YHWH thy Elohim in **the place** which he shall choose; in the feast of unleavened bread, and in the feast of weeks, and in the feast of tabernacles: and they shall not appear before YHWH empty:

This squarely reiterates the words of the Book of the Covenant that remains squarely **not** under the Levitical Priesthood:

Ex.23:17 "Three times in the year all thy males shall appear before YHWH Elohim."

Notice that the seemingly singular '**the place**' and the plural '**all place_s**' (Ex 20:24 or in some translations '**every place**') do not cancel out or negate each other but in fact (applying Hebrew 'Block Logic') are the same. But to appeal to just the singular definitely frustrates the plural and hides a more complete understanding.

The point that is most notable that is common to all these verses is that at the time of these Torah writings there was no country called "Israel" which necessarily means that there were no cities in this non- country let alone one called "Jerusalem". What is more Yahshua Himself definitely alludes to the Book of the Covenant stating to the woman at the well:

John 4:21 Yahshua saith unto her, "Woman, believe me, the hour cometh, when ye shall neither in this mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem, worship the Father".

Compare this to the 'Book of the Covenant' reference at:

Ex.20:24 " ... in **all places** (or every place) where I record my name I will come unto thee, and I will bless thee"

Now back to John 4;

John 4:23 "But the hour cometh, and **now is**, when the true worshippers shall worship the Father **in spirit and in truth**: for the Father seeketh such to worship him.

The arguments to justify abandoning the Sabbath and Feasts become more ridiculously incredulous from here, appealing to such things as; 'Paul not directly cautioning against Sunday keeping or Sabbath abandonment' ... which again is not true (Heb.4:9-10, 1Cor.5:7-8, etc.); Deferring to the writings of the so-called Early Church Fathers which has gotten us in the non-distinct mess we now face. Supposing all the post-crucifixion appearances of Yahshua were on Sundays; which is flatly incorrect. The ascension alone was 10 days (Acts 1:2-3) before Shavuot (Acts 2:1) ('Pentecost') ... Shavuot ('Pentecost') is annually always on the 1st Day of the week (Lev. 23:16) ... Here's the Challenge; ... Do the Math! This list is tiring and resiliently endless, with a zillion caveats, nuances and recipe combinations thereof. But it does not change the Truth. The Sabbath is Commandment ... the Commandments are Covenant ... Covenants have Covenant Law ergo the Sabbath and Feasts are Covenant Commandment Law, which is very much different from all other law categories and considerations.

Satan's main contending issue with YHWH is a legal one. We (the human race) are in the middle of that 'issue' ... that legal contest. Satan is 'the accuser' (legal term) of the brethren (Rev.12:10) and deals with legal points as well, especially when they can be distorted to his advantage. What this glaringly identifies is that nuggets of absolute truth are touched on, by every group, not enough to spell-out the actual situation, but enough to actually legally condemn every one of us because we use our 'free-will-choice' to make decisions even though we don't know all the facts. This is just as Satan has always done from the beginning at the 'forbidden tree' incident in Eden.

After all; Satan did not pontificate every nuanced caveat plainly evidencing were sin would lead or what it would cost; he just tricked them into certain death. We continue to be able to be tricked even with our Bibles readily available; after all we are instructed from our own Bibles to read, pray, study, prove all things and test all spirits. Most of us don't ... we just do not ... instead we are satisfied to be spoon fed the 'Mix' at the hands of our 'vocational clergy' ... seeking the lowest form of perceived (imagined) religious compliance that will still 'assumedly' net Heaven ... in other words ... 'squeaking in by the skin of our teeth' ... I did the same for many years.

Another example of this breeched 'Affirm an Apply' issue happened on my way to work one morning; I was listening to the radio and the pastor (Greg Laurie) of this

same “area high profile Sunday church”, was, to my amazement, very powerfully affirming the 10 Commandments. If you hadn't of known any better you would have thought he was a Sabbath keeper. That same evening, on the way home, one of the high profile founders (Chuck Smith) of that same “non-denomination” was on that same station. It was a ‘call-in’ answer show, so ... when I got home I called in. I told of that morning’s presentation, and after reminding this host that the 10 Commandments included the Sabbath Day, I pointed to Mt.19:17:

Mt 19:17 And he said unto him, Why callest thou me good? there is none good but one, that is, YHWH: but if thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments.

I asked him to explain that for everybody, ... after cutting me off and not thanking me for my question like he had for everyone else individually before me, he explained that, Quote - “Jesus was speaking to the second half of the Decalogue”; sighting Mt.19:18-19:

(Note: "Decalogue" - ‘The 10 Commandments’ ... These Sunday Theologians seem to love to use these academically 'Greek' terms to explain a Hebrew Bible.)

Mt.19:18 “He saith unto him, which? Yahshua said, Thou shalt do no murder, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness,19 Honour thy father and thy mother: and, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.”

First of all; “Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself” is specifically not part of the ‘Decalogue’ (10 Commandments), but it does strongly relate. How else would you love your neighbor as yourself? However; what this ‘patriarch’ of this same “non-denomination” was saying in direct contradiction of Yahshua, the Covenant and of that Morning message, and would effectively teach the world, through broadcast syndication is; that we now only have to keep what? ... 5 commandments? After all how would you interpret when Yahshua also said, "You shall love YHWH ... with all your heart, with all your soul, and with all your strength. (Dt.6:5, 10:12; 2Kgs.23:25; Mt.22:1-46; 23:37; Mk12:30; Lk.10:27). How else would you love Yahweh with all your soul, mind and strength? This directly relates to the 1st half of that same so-called “Decalogue” of Exodus 20. Read ‘em, look up what the translated word choices are actually hiding and ponder what you would have to do to break them, and compare that to what most of us have been taught and what we as a church, as a society are actually doing.

Mt.11:15 He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.
(Mt.13:9; Luke 8:8; Rev. 2:7,11,17,29; 3:6,13,22)

I am certain there are thousands of people in much the same spot I originally found myself in, responding in much the same way of blowing off everything religious

with all its lies, confusion, twisted half-truths and reconstruction of the truth and the misrepresentations thereof, not knowing who to turn to, how to unravel, unscramble, or sort it all out. Realizing the futile impasse of questioning what's been said by those saying it, only to further defend and substantiate what you suspect and/or know can't be true (Titus 1:14). It was the indifference of "not caring" that was the rational response and closing down to a 'God' I didn't understand and didn't trust based on how I'd been treated by "the professing" family, church members, and deified church clergy, who were all supposed to be there to show and teach me about 'God'.

That left me in a surreal world of opposing abstracts, concepts, ideologies, and subjective reasoning that if not understandable were to be taken on 'faith' ... ? ... which many times is actually the counterfeit of 'religious assumption' that often passes for Biblical 'Faith'. As stated previously, 'Faith' has substance ... it is based in fact - "the word of YHWH" (Romans 12:17; Hebrews 11:1). It is not pretend, or make-believe, or mindless assumption, blindly supposing any dubious component of worship, praise, or Bible-like adherence, let alone this counterfeit "mix," dominating most churches as genuine.

This further complicates the fact that there has been and continues to be a not popularly recognized major 'reverse projection' mindset that acts on and many times seeks to enforce alternate 'Bible-like' and/or changed Bible observances as if it were authentic Biblical law. And this simultaneously while seeking to legitimize that replacement by labeling authentic Scriptural Covenant Adherence as 'Legalistic'. Again as stated in chapter 1, the Covenant has been removed, yet they seek to fill the Covenant Law Void while ignoring/denying Covenant law. Plainly what is Law is legal, what is like the law is a parallel mocking paraphrase legalism, mocking what is authentically Covenant Law.

But remember the Denominated Churches are half right, there was a law that was 'nailed', 'set-aside', 'changed', 'replaced' - but there was also that Law which "remains" (2Cor.3:11); the problem then is to identify and define the words, terms, meanings and concepts finding what can be known about a Truth that has been long categorically dismissed just because it was superficially identifiable as law and/or Jewish. That truth if confirmed and enacted would then re-open a whole vista ... adjusting the eyes of our reversed 'Rubik's Cube' mind-set to a more proper perspective; that of more revealed Truth of that which has been bundled together and called "Law" tossed aside and/or thrown out.

We must consider many factors including many that have been in place before we ever came along. YHWH's Word is inerrant; but many times those translating His Word were/are not and were not without error, bias or agenda. Originally YHWH's Word (Torah) was written in paleo-Hebrew. Moses didn't use 'the Jewish writing' we think of as Hebrew because he could not have known the Babylonian script we see currently and think of as Hebrew. Those translating Hebrew into Greek had to make word definition choices. Those translating Hebrew into English also had to make word definition choices. These 'definition choices' are a valid source of critical concern. Not only that, there is no

punctuation in Hebrew, or verse breaks, or chapter breaks; and there are some of these same considerations to realize about Greek.

So much can be changed, hidden or lost by the use, change, omission or wrong word choice and/or placement of the smallest detail; be it a comma, question-mark, a word or a phrase. In other words even supposing unbiased knowledgeable translator integrity (which is plainly not always the case); these translators had to make interpretive decisions. These 'interpretive decisions' even supposing purity of intent; were to no doubt bring clarity, which more times than just a few did anything **but** bring clarity. We must remember this 'clarity' is based many times on personal persuasion of either the translators themselves or the ones they defer to, or the ones they work for. In our modern day anyone can copy the King James Bible, but no one can copywrite it as theirs. You can only copy-write an original work. For an 'original work' to be 'original' (so as to make money) you cannot use many of the same words ... this certainly would include many of the best words. That is why modern paraphrases are so potentially dangerous; instead of becoming more clear they become more vague with a 'clear' potential for increased error.

There are factors that must be considered that are never taught at the church level, such as Hebrew 'Block Logic' being that all considerations are the collective Truth. These Hebrew considerations must be employed when looking at the New Testament Greek text; for it is the prophesy of a Hebrew Covenant economy that the New Testament Greek is laboring to convey. In the truest sense of Hebrew 'Block Logic' and one of the finest examples in the New Testament is the use of the phrases 'faith **of** and faith **in** Christ'. The faith 'of' Christ and faith 'in' Christ are functionally ... Scripturally in fact by Hebrew 'Block Logic' the same. But without validating this factor interpretively possess the potential to be very different. There are almost 3 times the verses telling us to have the 'faith <pistis>' (fidelity) 'of' Yahshua as there is indicating a faith 'in' Yahshua. To only focus on 'faith "in" Christ' (Ac 24:24, Gal.3:26, Eph.1:15, Col 1:4, 2:5) carries the thought to rely effortlessly ... that is without personal responsibility in what He did; which is a large part of the 'faith alone' message which is clearly a distortion. However; 'the faith "of" Christ' (Rom.3:22, 4:12, 4:16; Gal.2:16, 2:20, 3:22; Eph.3:12; Php.1:27, 3:9; Col.2:12; Jas.2:1; Rev.13:10, 14:12) begs the emulation of the exact 'fidelity' which is the exact 'faith' that Christ exemplified for the exact purpose that we should "walk even as He walked" (1Jn.2:6). To base a Theological premise favoring one (usually 'in') to the exclusion of the other (usually 'of') is biased 'vain imagination' ... it is clearly error.

Notice this telling verse and commentary;

Mark 11:22 And Yahshua answering saith unto them,
Have faith in YHWH. **{Have...: or, Have the
faith of God}**

Which verifies that the Greek word <en> translated 'in' by definition could have also been translated 'of'. It is very different to have a faith 'in' Christ while not doing what He did ... not doing what He said, than it is to have the faith 'of' Christ the

Messiah; doing what He did ... doing what He said (Mat.19:17) ... the same fidelity to a Covenant faith that Yahshua Himself lived out and lived by (1 Peter 2:21) expecting us to do the same (1 John 2: 3-6).

We should also realize that there are those on both sides of the Assembly/Church line that love to use subjective supposition postulations to cloud an issue. Sermons, Presentations and Teachings can hide many errors. If you are able to catch a concern and question the one presenting it; they many times will become more subjective, especially if they don't know and/or like the answer to a question. Such as; we can't know, or comprehend based on other unknowable factors or multi-dimensions outside our own space and time vortex continuum - blah, blah, blah or some other nether world 'outer limits' type reasoning taking one verse or some few verses and away we go to fantasyland. If they can't verify their postulations out of the Bible there seems to be no limit to the direction or the lengths they will go.

Let's deal with the Definition of the word 'Law'. If I walked up to you and said, "Let's talk about law," ... Would you know what to think? You wouldn't know which law or category of law, until I was more specific or started talking about the topic ... The State vs. John Doe, Senate Bill # xyz, corporate, cannon, common, civil, criminal, maritime, marshal, municipal, state, federal, family, financial, real-estate, traffic, international, gravity, physics, thermal, inertia, math, etc. If all of YHWH's laws were nailed to the cross, shouldn't you be floating and/or burned to a crisp? The 'Grace-Faith Alone' proponents lodge ... 'But the law was a "school master," bringing us to the knowledge of Christ'. We no longer need the school master (Gal. 3:24-25). That maybe so but those lessons are to be life lessons for your entire life. Clearly whether you grew up to be a Contractor, Corporate Executive, or King of the world. No matter how far out of school you are, $2 + 2 = 4$ "yesterday, today and forever." The answer to the Gal.3's "V:1 bewitched, V:10 book of the, V:24 school master," - 'law' riddle, in fact all Scriptural Law including the relationship between Law and Covenant right up to the proper divisioning of Torah itself is in V:18

Gal.3:18 "For if the inheritance be of the law, it is no more of promise: but YHWH (God) gave it to Abraham by promise."

[Note: Messianics, etc. - Read Gal.3:18 from the "Scriptures" or better yet from the "Complete Jewish" Bible]

Gal.3:18 "For if the inheritance be of **Torah** law ... " ... quote-unquote

As will be shown in Chapters 4 & 5 'the inheritance' and the 'promise' refer to two specifically related Covenants. Galatians 3:24 shows us that that 'the Law' (Not the Covenant) was the "schoolmaster/tutor" before accepting the Messiah. Beyond contest; Covenants have 'Covenant Law'. As stated and as further will be conclusively shown; 'the (Sinai) inheritance' was a Marriage Covenant in answer to the 'promise' Covenant made with Abraham. You still, even in this modern day would not go to the local

‘Unified School District’ to get a marriage license, get married, have a wedding, or retrieve your ‘Marriage Certificate’ for that matter; or in any way arbitrate or negotiate your marriage. Yet it is obvious that marriage as a ‘covenant’ has terms/vows (laws), obligations, responsibilities, fidelities that are to be ... must be observed. In that respect Your/Our Spiritual Family Marriage Covenant is no different. You wouldn’t go to school to define your marriage but we all needed to go to school to be able to understand all concepts including marriage.

We should then also not be surprised that the ‘New Testament/New Covenant’ although definitely being new (or more accurately ‘re-New-ed’) is nonetheless essentially the same Sinai ‘Marriage-Katubah’ covenantal-agreement in that we all understand that when we re-marry for whatever reason; be it divorce or death of a spouse, we will re-marry by even our own same laws. Plainly our current ‘here and now’ modern re-marriage will be so in the same law framework of our previous original marriage. The Biblical concept of ‘re-New-ed’ Covenant is no different. The Sabbath Feasts both Weekly and Annual are part and parcel of the heart of that same Biblical Marriage Law framework (Please; feel free and compare to the weekly/annual framework structure you and/or most of the whole world, have been taught to follow). The Levitical ‘prescriptive’ “tutor/school-master” Laws were in place to inform, instill, integrate the proper (redeemed) standing, conduct, understanding and attitude necessary to enter and maintain the YHWH Marriage Covenant relationship. But the Levitical ‘prescriptive’ “tutor/schoolmaster” Laws were not the Marriage ... were not that ‘Spiritual’ relationship.

We would do well to internalize and understand that there will always be a depth of value in understanding what these laws were and what they were not, why they were in place, what that distinctive placement was, and why they were kept, regardless of the issue of current viability. Translating the obvious parallels to what the concept of /and the reality of what ‘law’ is today. We many times just assume and/or are taught directly or by continual omission that because some-thing is conveyed to us in the ‘past-tense’ especially in the Biblical arena, that it has no current reference value. This is clearly incorrect.

What do the scriptures say about scriptural law? We can learn a lot about Biblical integrity concerning ‘law’ through the instructions and examples of Yahshua. One such instruction is Yahshua telling us, “Moses said, ‘Do not murder.’ But I say to you (adding intent to the letter of the Covenant/Commandments), ‘if you hate your brother you are in danger of judgment.’ (Matt. 5:21-22)”, The **Objective** intent here is (physically) ‘Do not murder’, while the **Subjective** intent is (mentally) ‘Do not hate’. You cannot negate (do away with) the ‘objective’ act by replacing it only with a ‘subjective’ thought. This is a replacement theological reasoning that can have no other logical conclusion than to allow for the possibility that you could logically murder your brother, so long as you didn’t hate him. That of course would be Absurd! Grace is the full-integrated freedom to do as we ought; word, thought and deed ... as YHWH defines, **not as we define**. Spiritual Integrity is; our correct Spiritual Judgment matching our conduct and actions; directing our Spiritual walk.

Again Mt.7:13-23 holds more conclusions that we can deduce, namely what “law” was Yahshua talking about when He will say at Mt 7:23 “...depart from Me, you who practice lawlessness!”? He never repented; He was Sinless. He himself never sacrificed or used any “Book of the Law” remedy to atone for His personal Sin i.e. His personal Covenant Law breaking. After all, it is not Sin **not** to do sin remedies used to atone for (that is ‘cover’) sin where there is no sin. It was His Covenant Lawfulness to Covenant Law that openly confirmed His eligibility to be that ‘spotless lamb’ Messiah - Mediator of the New Covenant (1Tim. 2:5 Heb. 8:6). Not for His benefit but for ours. That SAME example we are to be changed by and walk in. Who has not heard, used, or conversely had this verse used on them as a defense against any message deemed ‘pro-law’?

Mt 11:13/Luke 16:16 “The law and the prophets were until John: ...”

Notice; What this verse did not say ... this verse does not say anything about the ‘Covenant’ or ‘Covenant Commandments’ or Yahweh’s “My Laws” being “until John”. Yahshua Himself makes that distinction. The sacrificial ‘law’ pointed to Yahshua Himself to be the ‘end all’ sacrificial Lamb of YHWH. The Covenant Commandment Laws picture His Person ... His Character, The Covenant Feasts picture His Purpose and Plan of Redeeming Salvation, the ‘prophets’ foretold both the particulars surrounding His Birth, Death and Resurrection ... and ... the coming ‘New’ Covenant He would mediate (Jer.31:31-33/Heb. 8:10, Heb 12:24); that incidentally would include Yahweh’s “My Laws”.

The most core of themes in YHWH’s scriptures is: an unbroken law (Matt. 5:18) and an unchanging YHWH (Heb. 13:8) resting on the 7th day (Genesis 2:3, Heb.4:9), stating the same thing through the length and breadth of the Scriptures to the back of the book (Revelations 12:17, 14:12, 22:14 KJV), via the Covenant/Commandments. Any ‘assumption’ of replacing Sabbath to a weekly Sunday ... And replacing Annual Sabbaths with the annual calendar holidays is just that; ‘assumption’; lacking the “Creation to Apocalypse” (Isaiah 66:22-23) continuity of an undestroyed Creation Covenant Character Law, fueled by an authentic faithful fidelity to an unchanging YHWH and His Covenant! Period! That “unbroken law” is unbroken Covenant Law. But how do you know the difference? What is the dividing line? This is the exact focus of this book specifically Chapter 5.

This question has been raised several times to justify a continued covenant noncompliance ... ‘Are you saying that keeping the Sabbath or keeping Feast Days saves us?’ In the example of Yahshua Himself this question is best answered with a question. Those of you who are married ... ‘Are you married by remembering your wedding day? ... or ... by not dating other women? ... No? But which of one of you would disagree that if you are married and would like to remain happily so; you will remember your anniversary and you will not date other women or honor other women’s birthdays ... right ladies? If you remain confused on this concept; go ahead, ask your wife. To carry

this out further; you can cheat on your wife and play house with another woman, but acting as if you are married with this other woman will not make you married i.e. is not a marriage covenant (Biblically speaking). In the same way you are not married by doing the acts of marriage ... but if you are married you will do the acts of marriage. In like kind; you are not 'saved' by doing the acts of Covenant but if you are 'saved' you will do the acts of Biblical Salvation Marriage Family Covenant that includes accepting Yahshua's Covenant Blood ... covering us and our sin i.e. past Covenant non-compliance. That include the 7th Day Sabbath and the Annual Appointed times.

Then there are those that love to defer to the 'thief on the cross' argument as some kind of end all disclaimer ... a sort of hands down 'gotcha' position of right. This tactic is a pathetic attempt to prove a 'Born Again' grace plus nothing position. The Bible tells each one of us to do many things this 'thief' could not do with his back pinned to a cross; like 'add to your love; joy', 'add to your knowledge; wisdom', 'love your neighbor as yourself', 'put on the mind of Christ', 'be Baptized', 'walk as He walked', etc. all this 'thief' could do is die as He died. This 'thief' had the benefit of being obedient in the last moments of his life. Neither you or I can claim or hide behind such a situation. We are not nailed to a cross; if you are reading this book you have the benefit of having a Bible in front of your face. This benefit is only a benefit if we do what the Bible says, it most certainly will be to our judgment if we do not.

No, we are not saved by the Old Testament Law or Levitical law, any more than we are saved by New Testament law or its directives alone. We are saved through the New Covenant Blood of Yahshua that is His 'finished work'. This 'finished work' is on His part **not** on ours, after all we still have our physical and spiritual lives to lead. Once we are "Born Again" we are to 'put on' the mind of Messiah ... we are to walk 'as' this Israelite Messiah walked ... that same pre-crucifix walk; that most of us have been taught to dismiss let alone consider to actually contend earnestly in. We cannot contend in what we do not know or worse we will not contend in what we have been taught to dismiss. We must educate ourselves to the fact that these Salvational Covenants Old and New Testament have Covenant Law continuity Old and New Testament.

Consider this thought: We are all aliens before accepting Salvation (Eph.2:12) ... if an alien comes to the U.S.A.; they can not become a citizen just by virtue of any personal decision to start keeping any State's law. However all 50 States defer to Federal Law. Federal Law has the provisions needed to become a citizen ... this being stated, it is also interesting that Paul uses Human Marriage to explain an aspect of the YHWH Family Spiritual Marriage Covenant (Rom.7:1-4). In the Biblical arena Marriage is a Covenant provision that fits within the provision of Salvation Covenant; supported by ... connected to other non-Covenant laws. That is; married couples (as Biblically defined) may express themselves physically without violating the Biblical Salvation Covenant that we all must be 'Saved' by. That also of necessity Biblically governs the conduct of the unmarried and Biblically governs the status (including outcome) of the unrepentant.

Every country has their own Sovereign Confederation of laws defining themselves as a Nation much as Federal Law defines the U.S.A.. However Marriage is a

Covenant, with Marriage Covenant Laws that are in a category unlike any other, in that no matter what state, country or nation you marry in, you remain married in all countries ... in all nations, especially before the unchanging Eternal YHWH. Within the confines of that Marriage Covenant, no one in the whole world can justifiably call you a fornicator or in an other way sexually immoral, or call your children bastards or in any other way justifiably cast a disparaging doubt on that Family Union. Therefore in a very real way Marriage is a Family Covenant 'apart from/ unlike/not of' any other law, yet is itself a separate category of law. This is exactly Paul's Gal 3:18 point. But as traditionally understood (making no law distinction) Gal 3:18 would seemingly fit right into another very selectively much quoted Grace-Faith Alone crowd championed verse: Eph.2:8-9.

Eph.2:8 "For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of YHWH
:9 Not of works, lest any man should boast."

Again; Stopping short of v:10 which reads;

Eph.2:10 "For we are his workmanship, created in Yahshua unto - **good works** -, which YHWH hath before ordained that we should walk in (i.e.do) them."

With verse: 12 being the crux of the whole matter, showing who is an Israelite, how and why we got that way, and that there is a specific succession of specific Covenants that extend Promise to us, through all that is called 'Israel'. When Ephesians 2 is accounted for correctly it will show that these Covenants have Covenant Law that reveal the 'good works' that none of us can 'boast' about walking in. For we of ourselves did not devise any of these works, the Bible says YHWH did; only YHWH can 'Glory' in our doing as we always should have done. Again the Bible is a Hebrew-Israelite book of Hebrew-Israelite Authors as YHWH who chose the Hebrew-Israelites inspired them to chronicle Hebrew-Israelite content including Hebrew-Israelite Understanding, Hebrew-Israelite Laws, Hebrew-Israelite Covenants, Hebrew-Israelite Prophecies of the Hebrew-Israelite Messiah no matter how Greek any would like the New Testament to be.

Remember an Orthodox Jewish definition of 'faith' is the 'confirmation of fact' (see Introduction) which is Identical to Heb 11:1 'faith is substance' and 'evidence' which corresponds to what the Greek word <pistis> translated faith could have been translated to ... 'fidelity' ... fully bringing the concept of what the entire Bible has always labored to convey. Renewed Personal Covenant Integrity. After all 'justification by fidelity' (faithfulness) sounds a whole lot different in terms of increased personal responsibility than 'justification by faith' that is 'justification by not having to do anything but believe really really hard to keep the good thoughts in'.

So again let's unpack Eph.2:8-9 and factor back in what is usually avoided: (Remember our journey in grace starts with unmerited pardon; and pardon is an aspect of law; [see Introduction]).

Eph.2:8 For by grace (unmerited pardon) are ye saved through faith <pistis> (Fidelity) ...;

(Covenant Fidelity - includes YHWH extending faith in us to walk in that Covenant Fidelity)

... and that not of yourselves: it is the gift (unmerited opportunity) of YHWH :9 Not of works, lest any man should boast. ---

This is why it is ...:9 Not of works, lest any man should boast;

Eph.2:10 For we are His (YHWH's not our own) workmanship, created in Yahshua unto good works, which YHWH hath before (Creation) ordained that we should walk in them. ---

How then can we boast about doing those things we should have always done ... like keeping Family Covenant Fidelity? You do not earn 'unmerited favor' or 'unmerited pardon' any more than you earn 'Covenant Fidelity'. You are given the favor of pardon, entrusted to give fidelity; **you** must ask for and walk in both 'befitting repentance'. You can even ask for strength and wisdom to do so; still **you** can earn none of these things. We are to be, "contending earnestly" (Jude 1:3) ... Working out your own Salvation (Php.2:12); the very picture of Justification which is a two way street; for Satan is the 'accuser of the brethren'; Yahshua Himself is the head of that brethren. Satan would love nothing better than to catch YHWH ... the Ultimate Law Giver/Keeper ... outside of His own Covenant Laws with the host of the universe as audience (1Co 4:9).

In that real sense we justify/bless YHWH in having given us pardon through Yahshua's Covenant Blood Atonement when we of our own free-will deny ourselves and endeavor to walk just as Yahshua walked ... in Covenant. Thereby evidencing a heart-felt life-changing submission which openly validates and confirms YHWH's legal right to declare such a one justified. Covenant obedience of itself, by itself, is not the means 'to'; it is the evidence 'of' repentant justifying Grace and the Pardon for past Covenant Lawlessness thereby gained and applied. After all justifying Forgiveness without authentic Covenant repentant Fidelity makes YHWH an accomplice to ... an enabler of ... the Covenant breaking evil of lawlessness that made the rebellion of sin and the subsequent death of His Son an issue in the first place.

As a child I certainly did not have an accurate understanding of either Salvation, Justification or what was termed as 'Sanctification' (or more accurately the process of becoming 'Set-Apart') meant. What they did, how they worked, only that you were suppose to some how 'pray through' to get them ... that for me left the idea of a one time for all time fully functioning bestowment, kind`a like a magic wand; Poof ... you had it. That some how it happened to you ... quote 'for' you, all you had to do is just believe.

This process doesn't just happen ... You become as you submit and grow. As an adult I have come to realize that there is a lot of apposing viewpoints that instill even more confusion about the interaction of Salvation, Justification, Sanctification, Faith and Grace.

Remember the example of the map? The mental ascent of acceptance is not the repentant conviction to actually conduct your life accordingly. Pick any destination on the map; you can see which way to go, you can plot your course, but until you turn around and go that way you will certainly not be found going that way, which insures you're **not** going to get there. Another good example that people seem to respond to, is that of a caterpillar. At a point in that worm's life it submits to the change of becoming a butterfly, this submission is like our point of repentance, without humbly submitting to it there will be no change. Yes; YHWH's plan of salvation has been purchased in His Son's Sinless Blood, something we could not have devised, asked for or paid for, and we are totally helpless except to accept. This is the picture of Grace, but remember Grace also means 'unmerited pardon' you cannot gain this pardon except to repent, turning from your past Covenant breaking. The caterpillar then starts the process of changing into a butterfly, inside a cocoon. This changing process is like the process of 'Sanctification' (or more accurately the process of becoming 'Set-Apart'), renewing your mind inside the cocoon covering of 'Justification'.

You are 'Justified' when you repent *and* walk in 'Faithful Covenant Fidelity' that will certainly begin to re-new your mind, that will 'Sanctify' (Set-Apart) the very 'being' you are in the process of becoming. This covering of Justification will last as long as you walk in the Set-Apart cleansing process of renewing your mind washed through the cleansing water of the 'washing of the word' (Eph 5:26). That being so, it would follow that in the same way that should death over take that cocoon/butterfly process, that worm will have died submitted to becoming a butterfly. We will not know everything; we will continually be in the process of being perfected. Even so, at the point of death whether soon or later, if authentically submitted that repentant sinner will have died, gaining Salvation while in the 'Covenant Fidelity' process of Sanctification (becoming Set-Apart) in the covering cocoon of Justification. Again, in other words, in Hebrew Scriptural Thought the object of the journey is not the destination, the object of the journey is the process of the journey itself. The Old Testament Hebrew word for 'grace' is <chen>, it is of supreme interest here being that of the root-word base meaning of <chen> is; "the growing to an end". This is the exact New Testament meaning Paul understood and conveyed as well:

Php.1:6 "Being confident of this very thing, that He which hath begun a good work in you will perform it until the day of Messiah Yahshua."

Rom 1:20 "For the invisible things of Him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and deity; so that they are

without excuse:”

Most of us have never been taught this Covenant Meaning, Consideration, Process or the many things that relate directly to it. As a result what we see most often in our churches is varying stages of ‘arrested development’ ... Things evidently haven’t changed a whole lot ... Paul put it this way:

Heb 5:12 “For though by this time you ought to be teachers, you need someone to teach you again the first principles of the oracles of YHWH; and you have come to need milk and not solid food. 13 For everyone who partakes only of milk is unskilled in the word of righteousness, for he is a babe. 14 But solid food belongs to those who are of full age, that is, those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil”.

I would like to ask at this point how many of you have gone to church over the years only to have heard the same sermons or teaching topics over and over again. There is only 52 weeks in a year, a Pastor then would only need 26 different topics cycled every 6 months ... you could get by for years on that, maybe even a whole career especially if you changed church pastor-ships, punctuating the whole process with special speakers that hopefully have a different 26 set. Honestly how many times have you heard about : ‘the prodigal son’, ‘tithing’, ‘the parables’, ‘wise and foolish virgins’, ‘feeding the 5000’, ‘Paul and Silas’, etc. , when I started reading and really studying the Bible for myself, I started seeing gaps that are never covered and connections that are never made. I definitely started seeing how doctrines could be protected by avoiding other texts to the contrary. I would definitely agree with Paul, we all need to pull our heads out of our pews, actually pick up the Bible ‘like the Bereans’, ‘see if these things are so’ and have our “senses exercised to discern both good and evil”.

We were created with and all of us do have our free wills that YHWH will not violate, if there is repentance to walk in Covenant Fidelity, there will be forgiveness. If there is forgiveness, there will be the pardon of Grace, if there is pardon, there will be the favor of Grace, to actually learn the things of YHWH for the purpose of being as His whole Family will be ... Set-Apart (Holy), if there is this change, this certainly will Justify the whole process, both on the part of the repentant sinner of having received pardon, submitting to the process and on the part of YHWH in having granted pardon in a totally inter-dependant process we could have never devised, purchased or been worthy of to receive of ourselves. This could've only happened by His unmerited Love. This Love (Salvationally speaking) however is within the parameter of Family Covenant, which contains Family Covenant Law in the succession of Abraham’s Covenant of Promise (Gen.18:19, 26:5, Eph.2:12).

Let's deal with the issue of legalism. Again, Yes we are saved by YHWH's grace. No, we are not saved by Levitical law. However YHWH's Grace includes Covenanted Salvation, we are saved through Covenant and as will be shown ... and even now is being shown that these Melchizedek Salvational Covenants have Salvational Covenant Laws. Are we to keep them? ... Yes; out of Love. We cannot force YHWH to owe us anything through our law-keeping; even if it is Covenant law keeping. However; YHWH's Covenant law shows us how and who we are like a mirror. It will show us many things about ourselves like ... the presents of Sin, Arrogance, Rebellion and an Unloving Attitude, etc. Take an honest look and you'll start to wonder how YHWH could love us enough to send His Son to die for our sins, which he did not commit, gaining our salvation by paying a price we could never pay.

Out of that realization should come respect, and appreciation. You would then innately realize that YHWH has worth-ship to be honored, praised, and worshipped. Keeping His commandments and every word He ever uttered, becomes an act of love, because He has already paid for and given a Salvation opportunity that we didn't deserve, saving us (that will repent and accept) from what we did deserve *versus* a work of obligation, trying to measure up to YHWH's degree of Righteousness and receiving Salvation by our own merits, which will never happen (Isaiah 64:6, 1John 1:8). It is Covenant law that objectively shows us how sinful we are and how unable we are to pay for it. And this Covenant law then is contrasted against Levitical law that did provide a 'temporary' way to pay for sin; until Yahshua should come ... Hence YHWH's grace.

But just as law without grace (or mercy) is legalistic regimen, so grace without law (including specifically Covenanted Law) is license, equaling lawlessness that the Bible clearly condemns. If we have no law, we have no standard. If we operate totally in a perception of a no-condemnation no-law grace, we are left to subjugate to/define all things by our own vain imaginations ... our own interpretation or adopt someone else's we happen to respect or like. Then we become masters of our own destiny, doing what is right according to our own selves (Hebrews 8:10; 10:6), not fully following YHWH's law that are to be written in tablets of flesh (Proverbs 3:1-4; 7:1-4; II Cor. 3:3) in the heart of every true believer, which according to the Bible, there will be relatively few of (Mat.7:14). The 'Grace-Faith alone' proponents invariably lodge ... 'But the Bible says', "Let every man be convinced in his own mind" (Romans 14:5). Convinced to break YHWH's Covenant Laws? ... Ridiculous! There is no respect or love in disobedience (Ezekiel 20:16; Amos 2:4). There is no contradiction when you stay within the parameters of YHWH's word, which again brings up the 'reverse projection' of the label of 'legalism'.

This branding of 'legalism' used as a 'put down' 'label' as a 'deflector shield'; has enabled our religio-vocational-clergy to keep us ignorant of the pollution we accept, ignorant of Scripture we reject and ignorant of the pollution of Scripture we have been blinded to while causing us to actually believe it while we defend it. We celebrate Christmas in place of Tabernacles, Easter in place of Passover. we call Yahshua the Anointed Messiah ... 'the Lord Jesus Christ'. This pollution extends to why most of us ignore the Sabbath and Annual Sabbaths enduring false teaching on (but not limited to)

Sun-day and calender holidays. It is why Yahweh's **Word** is known the world over by the name of a pagan sun goddess 'Byble' ... (Bible) and why His **ekklesia** i.e. 'the called out ones' have been branded with the moniker label of the name another sun goddess 'Circe or Kirke' ... ('Church' in many Germanic-European languages 'Kyrka' or the variations thereof), There is much we can uncover that has found its way into what most of us have been taught to reverence as sacred².

Note; the Grecian goddess 'Circe's forte was to change men into swine ... Antiochus Epiphanies defiled the Temple by sacrificing swine; YHWH calls swine unclean ... see the connection yet? Satan knows what he's doing. You might want to know that 'Circe' is also the word of origin for the English word 'Circus' which is not far off the 'church kaleidoscope' mark.

Isaiah 5:20 "Woe unto them that call evil good, and
good evil; that put darkness for light, and
light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet,
and sweet for bitter"!

I have a friend and believing Brother that has taught at the University level and is quite good with the set-up, diagnostics, and programming, etc. of computers³. He has made me aware that even with computers; when a program has been deleted it is still there and is retrievable ... it is not truly deleted until it has been written over. When we repent of an action, that action is still there for us to re-pick up and frequently most of us do. We have to allow YHWH's Word to wash us and 'write over' our hearts. But this writing over process can both be used for good and evil. That is exactly what Satan seeks to do, has done and is still in the process of doing. Satan seeks to write over also; but instead of this writing being what is right and correct, it is the twisting of what is not.

Remember there is a difference between what is 'Biblical Law' and what is 'like' Biblical Law. The Sunday crowd follows, promotes, does service to, and legalistically observes: Sunday and the Calendar Holidays; this is their regimen ... this is what they teach ... as their legal parameters; among other things to prove their 'freedom' ... from the law? In reality; this has become their law, yet it is not Scriptural Covenant Law. Anything that is not Scriptural Law, yet is followed as law or in place of actual authentic law is the parody of that law ... basically a mockery of that authentic law; what any thinking man would call 'legalistic'. We all obey something ... in this life and will in the life to come, there is no such thing as a 'Law Vacuum'.

Those that reject Torah do their best to expose anyone adhering to Biblical Torah Law, specifically the Sabbath and the Annual Feasts as being "legalistic". Yet 'legalistic-ism' is not the same as being 'Biblically legal' or 'Biblically lawful'. In actuality 'legalistic-ism' is the adherence to something that is 'like' Biblical law (a replacing counterfeit) that in reality is NOT true Biblical Law. Remember and always be aware that a 'counterfeit' looks, sounds, feels authentic, but it has no worth. This Biblical law parody seeks to fill the Covenant Void but is not the Covenant and in fact mocks the very

Covenant they (under this reverse-projection), that would ferret out ‘legalism’, must be saved by.

Sadly in the most pathetic terms possible; it is ridiculingly ridiculously absurd, even being mocked by the un-churched, being intermittently uncovered by the secular media that these different ‘Religious Dispenserships’ defend tenaciously to keep the purity of their dispensed version of ... ‘The Gospel’ ... pure ... that is any thing but pure. Excusing every inconsistency with reasoned platitudes ... assuming a postured position of ‘Scriptural Faith’ that is actually ‘religious assumption’, only rarely even considering actual obedience as an option. We must realize that talking obedience and doing obedience are two different things. I personally have come to realize that to authentically obey you must authentically identify what to obey; both what authentic Scriptural obedience is and what it is not. This has turned out to be (not so surprisingly) an ongoing process as awareness increases. But this awareness is relentlessly frustrated in many ways by the continual re-enforcement of what has always been taught by virtually all Denominated Churches - plainly that ‘the LAW can not be kept’.

One of the finer examples of this sophistry (smooth inter-involved reasoning), that I was able to come across came from a book entitled - ‘The Blood’ ... ‘Its Power From Genesis to Jesus to You’⁵ ... by world famous (or in some circles ‘infamous’⁶) pastor Benny Hinn. Initially as I was reading I was surprisingly encouraged, however as I kept reading I realized that the conclusions were no different and very much status-quo. Hinn is not to be singled out on this account there is a myriad of pastors and authors that do exactly the same. Pastor Benny in his book writes,

“You may say, I thought the Old Testament dealt only with law, not love. It doesn’t. Moses told the Israelites: Deut.11:1 ‘Therefore thou shalt **love** the LORD thy God, and keep his charge, and his statutes, and his judgments, and his commandments, alway ...’ (emphasis mine) God gave Israel a condition to His promise that the land would be fruitful for them. This condition was based on **love** - not works.”

Then He (as most) proceeds to reverse Himself taking away all this, by the quoting and commenting on the following:

“Deut 11:13 And it shall come to pass, if ye shall hearken diligently unto my commandments which I command you this day, to love the LORD your God, and to serve him with all your heart and with all your soul, 14 That I will give you the rain of your land in his due season, the first rain and the latter rain, that thou mayest gather in thy corn, and thy wine, and thine oil. 15 And I will send grass in thy fields for thy cattle, that thou mayest eat and be full.”

Stating that ...“God focused on love, not law, because it wasn’t just difficult for the children of Israel to obey the law, it was impossible. For the Scriptures state:

Gal.2:16 Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified.”

Oblivious (as most) to the Covenant “faith of Christ” which includes Covenant Law fidelity not being the same as “the works of the law”. Hinn then goes on to quote his own Father-in-law and comically (as most do) misapply Ezekiel 36:27 obviously not realizing that Ezekiel 36:26-27 in fact proves just the opposite.

“It is impossible to obey the will of God with our own strength. As my Father-in-law, (exclusion mine) used to say, ‘Living the Christian life isn’t difficult; it’s impossible.’

Notice this interesting absolutely correct Benny Hinn quote:

“But God sent the Holy Spirit to live in our hearts and **enable** (emphasis mine) us to obey His commands. God told His people through Ezekiel;”

Ezekiel 36:27 “And I will put my spirit within you, and cause you to walk in my statutes, and ye shall keep my judgments, and **do** them.” (emphasis mine) Pages 127-128

Note; Ezekiel 36:26-27 most certainly speaks of this same ‘Covenant Law fidelity’ Please notice this little word **do** and the far reaching New Testament implications this emphatically has especially when you read verse 26 that Hinn did not site.

Ezekiel 36:26 “A new heart also will I give you, and a new spirit will I put within you: and I will take away the stony heart out of your flesh, and I will give you an heart of flesh.”

Now I ask you; can any one of you credentialed or not, mistake this clear New Testament declaration of intent?

Pastor Benny Hinn (not to be singled out) does as most of the Christian pastors commonly do ...that is going on to give the reverse ‘status quo’ run of the mill, ‘faith’

minus 'Faithfulness ... that is; 'faith' minus Covenant Fidelity' reasonings. This common Christianized apologetics tactic reveals the mis-represented religious arguments popularly understood of what 'faith' is/includes (more accurately excludes) ... being that 'faith' somehow is anything but a Scripturally legal or Covenant legal term!

The point is these Christian pastors will vehemently tell us about the impossibilities of law keeping, but look what the above Scripture quote says ... and realize that ALL Israel did live in the land for many years and the 'Jews' are still with us ... so ... pardon me for pointing out the obvious ... but ... the rain did fall ... the crops did grow ... the cattle did multiply, etc. ... and the Set-part Spirit did fall (Ezekiel 36/Acts 2) and is accessible to all of us; for the expressed purpose of actually doing YHWH's will i.e. Yahweh's Law in both "'judgment' and 'statute'"! The unmistakable conclusion then is that the 'law' (Covenant Law) though ultimately broken; was (for a substantial period of time) kept ... is to be kept for all time ... can be kept especially being Empowered by YHWH in these New Testament times through the Set-Apart Spirit to 'DO' so. That's what all this drama is about and that from the Genesis beginning! But we will not 'DO' so ... we will not allow any Spirit to 'DO' so through us if we are guided away and convinced by these types of convoluted reasonings to the Covenant contrary. If "God" is all powerful which no Judeo-Christian venue will openly dispute, then YHWH has the power to cause His Family to Keep His Covenant out of "Love" ... in Love ... because of Love because they will to. Which was King David's point, that closely agrees with Ezekiel 36:26-27, despite his non-New Testament position in time.

Psalms 40:8 "I delight to **do** thy will, O my Elohim: yea, thy law is within my heart."

Psalms 119:11 "Thy word (Commandment) have I hid in mine heart, that I might not sin against thee."

One thing that I can commend about Pastor Benny Hinn's book is that (as stated in his book) he does not overtly take away from or reverse; that there is 'Power in the Blood'. I personally would submit that there is more Power in Yahshua's shed Covenant Blood to be realized that we, through our churches, assemblies and religious venues, have allowed to slip into Scriptural forgetfulness. That we further need; in the starkest terms possible not only to recapture, but Scripturally by Scripture build upon; opening our minds and eyes to. Which leads into another awareness stated by Pastor Benny in his book, and others I have heard (which is again absolutely correct) that; 'this Blood', (that is Yahshua's Covenant Blood), has the all-inclusive Power to break every and all covenants ever made with Satan in this life. Yahshua's Covenant Blood has the all-inclusive Power to put us and keep us in that right standing if we will but genuinely repent, accept, confess/profess and continue to walk in the Covenant and that Covenant 'Blood' that saves, cleanses and ratifies.

The awareness on this issue that we all need to understand is that; of everything we see, taste and touch on this planet, it is all made of atoms. For the sake of this illustration ... atoms are the smallest compounds of every single thing (including us) on

this planet, even including the make up of this planet. These 'atoms' are still in obedient 'agreement' of YHWH's creative spoken Word spoken at Creation. Atoms themselves are a compound; including a nucleolus, electrons and neutrons that are still in obedient 'agreement' of YHWH's creative spoken Word originally spoken at Creation. As repeatedly stated in this book a 'covenant' is an 'agreement'. In YHWH's Salvation/Redemption economy, the smallest basic unit in that economy is an 'agreement' i.e. a "mini-.covenant". Example; when you doubt yourself, saying 'I can't do this or that', yet the Bible says your more than a 'conqueror' ... you have just made a "mini-.covenant" against YHWH's Word.

Every decision is a 'choice' to do or not to do this or that. Every decided 'choice' is an 'agreement' i.e. a "mini-.covenant". It then becomes clearer why it should be no surprise that YHWH saves us on the basis of our 'agreement' to His 'Covenant'. This 'choice' especially once presented has no middle ground; either you will choose to accept or not. A 'choice' not to accept or to partially accept Yahweh's provision of 'Covenant' is an automatic choice to remain in the broken dominion of Satan; leveraged by Satan from man by man's 'choice' ('agreement') to believe/agree/follow ('covenant' with) Satan (Gen.1:26; 2:17; 3:1 & 12-13). A 'choice' not to choose is itself still a 'choice' with the same results. Satan does not walk in Yahweh's provision of 'Covenant' ... unbroken ... unencumbered 'Covenant' ... will you?

Yahweh has always made His truth known to His people through His Word and to us if we will listen. But we have ignored much of it, corrupted most of what we will look at, and forgotten the rest. Satan is very slick; deceived reasonings at times can sound so deceivingly very reasoned. But that does not change the very real Truth that can be known and decisively proven. Everyone has gone to the theater knows of the two masks of comedy and tragedy. Will we ever really know or ever fully sense the abject tragedy of this sadly comedic situation; concerning those that would call themselves 'believers', expectedly talking about what 'believers' will get, have or do, never fully considering what a 'Biblical Believer' Biblically Believes? And at that; conducts Salvation life and teachings accordingly by? In the face of religious venues from the church to the synagogue many times teaching to 'Believe' this or that way?

Make no mistake the outside world mocks with laughing ridiculing disdain at this panorama of organized money grubbing religion that preaches everything from a puritan or visionary rigor inflicting guilt to a psycho-babble 'seeker sensitive' feel good prosper message, that is infested with error, hype and fervor that fosters several degrees and levels of 'Spiritual Schizophrenia' that further paves the way from everything between the ridiculous to the outright criminal acts that frequently but ever-so quietly do happen and on occasion publicly surface, from the individual lives of the 'pew warmer' to the religious administrating staff. 'Spiritual Schizophrenia' by way of explanation is the operating in a perceived 'religious reality' that is NOT accurate 'Scriptural reality'. This reveals it objectively understandable why the charge of hypocrisy against Christians and the Church by the un-churched many times, in many cases is largely true.

Anything that usurps the authority of Scripture be it tradition, human authority, vain imagination, ignorant arrogance, convinced sincerity, denominated dogma, dedicated agendas be it position, power or financial, etc. is at the very best Scriptural error at the very worst Scriptural treason. Unchecked this will of a certainty have no other out come than to produce and reproduce Spiritual Schizophrenics. Error whether innocent or not-so innocent, left unchecked ... uncorrected, will only ... can only produce more error; it is never harmless, left to replicate and be the bases of religious mind-sets and whole Theological systems can be irreversibly devastating, both in terms of personal and collective loss, both specific and collateral; affecting an entire religious population that at different degrees errantly/delusionally attests to be ... 'Authentically Scripturally Spiritual'. A recent article entitled "Is Your Religion Neurotic" by Dr. David L. Antion PhD⁴ showcases this same general awareness.

"Seeker Friendly" - "Psycho-Babble" is increasingly replacing the fire and brimstone messages of the past. There are those pastors that if asked will openly admit avoiding word choices such a Sin, Death and Blood covering. So many of the sermons you hear in church and elsewhere deal with becoming whole healthy, prosperously functioning people, in whole healthy functioning relationships, in whole healthy functioning Community, which sounds, ... Great! Because it is Great, it's based on YHWH's plan. But the point to focus on here is that it is 'based' on YHWH's structure, however to 'be' YHWH's authentic structure it will have to include the submission to and the obedient acceptance of YHWH's ordained whole healthy functioning Covenant. Anything else seeks the form devoid of the power and the purpose, and in that the ultimate function (2Tim.3:5) of being plugged into Yahweh's Covenant.

To teach against or ignore many of the issues of the Covenant while mouthing the 'Psycho-Babble' of wholeness is like putting a dressing and a bandage on a festering sore. It will look better, it will definitely smell better, it might even feel better both physically and mentally, but soothing the symptom without treating the root cause insures endless 'symptom chasing'. Which is great for repeat business and keeping a dependant mass dependant, it will not however fully equip an authentically fully equipped disciple to be able to mentor/make/reproduce a new generation of authentically fully equipped disciples. This is not just 'the pastor's job' we are all to preach the gospel and make disciples. How can we fully or correctly teach what we do not fully or correctly know? That is; to believe correctly we need to know what to correctly believe and ... what not to believe.

If this continues not to happen there will be continued atrophy, a devaluing, insuring and increasing the dysfunction we already now face. This over-all erosion is as vividly evidenced as I have seen, by a movie promoted by the Sunday Denominated Church crowd in the summer of 2003 entitled; "Time Changer"⁷. This movie underscores (short of correctly identifying Covenant issues), much of what I have stated, basically these 1800 professors go to the future, only to find a breakdown in moral character and compass (in church and out) due to not connecting the 'moral law' to the Moral Law Giver ... (YHWH/Yahweh). This movie's story plot while partially showcasing the issue actually reveals other very key issues as well. First and foremost is the awareness of the

problem's existence ... 2nd) this awareness is not fully developed, analyzed or distilled and the logical conclusion is not fully realized ... being that these so-called 'Moral Laws' are in fact Covenant Laws, but the Covenant is never brought up or taught ... 3rd) nor will it ever be so long as the traditionally accepted understanding of what has gone on before, with its immutable ill-conceived conclusions and standard preconceived notions are after all continued to be unquestioningly accepted ... 4th) This insures not even tabling the Covenant Issues at the very core of this not fully exposed or developed problem.

Morality marshaled by a code, even one based on YHWH's plan, in and of itself will not produce Covenant Righteousness. Only YHWH connected to YHWH's Covenant can produce the Yahweh Family traits of Heartfelt Obedient Righteousness that will foster authentic Scriptural Morality. It cannot be the reverse or any other way. Intent must meet Content. Affirm must meet Apply. 'Lip service' must meet 'road service'. Authorship must meet Author ... Authentic Scriptural Integrity DEMANDS it so.

Rev. 22:14 "Blessed are those who **do His Commandments**, that they may have the right to the tree of life, and may enter through the (12) gates (Rev.21) into the city. 15 But outside are dogs and sorcerers and sexually immoral and murderers and idolaters, and whoever **loves and practices a lie**". (NKJV)

Let's deal with Paul's 'change of the Law'. First of all, as previously stated a "change" of the Law does NOT mean a change to 'NO' Law. What exactly did this 'law-bashing' Paul say?:

Heb. 7:12 "For the priesthood being changed, of necessity there is also a **change** of the law".

2Cor. 3:11 "For if what is passing away was glorious, what **remains** is much more glorious".

Obviously to harmonize these verses attributed to the same hand of Paul; 'change of the' cannot possibly mean 'change to NO' Law. Clearly for something to 'remain', it would have had to of been previously established, and thereby on-going, which shows a constant, a 'follow-through' i.e. 'Continuity' to the Covenant Word of YHWH. Clearly the pagan mix observance of Sunday, Christmas, Easter, etc. can claim no part of 'remain', they can however claim every part of 'replace' i.e. 'in place of', which is what the 'anti' of 'antichrist' most accurately means. Whereas the Covenant, Sabbath and the Annuals, fit every part of 'remain'. It is of supreme interest that virtually ALL those that claim to be 'not under the Law' lodge that 'when Christ died on the cross, all the old feast system was abolished'. Let's see Paul's understanding of that identically very same argument:

1Cor. 5:7 "Therefore purge out the old leaven, that you may be a new lump, since you truly are unleavened. For indeed Christ, our Passover, **was** sacrificed for us.:8 **Therefore** let **us** keep the feast, not with old leaven, nor with the leaven of malice and wickedness, but with the unleavened bread of sincerity and truth".

Notice, the contrast between “was” (some translations ‘is’) and the logical development of “therefore”. The past-tense “Was” (and the present-past-tense “Is”)... indicates an event that has already happened. “Therefore” then predicates the ongoing directive “Keep” based on that ‘past’ prior crucifixion event. The DIRECT opposite (by Paul’s own hand) of that same ‘not under the Law’, ‘abolish’, ‘done away’ Catholic/Protestant argument. We must also clearly understand that this directive was given to Gentile Converts instructing them to “Therefore let **us** **keep** the feast”; notice ... the ‘us’ written by Paul ... included Paul ... including the Gentile Converts and any other of the Full House of Israel (Jews included) that may have been present or will ever be currently to this very day ... ‘present’.

There is much much more, but this should be enough to alert any earnest fair-minded seeker that there is a multi-faceted fundamental problem that does have a core issue. That being said, let’s switch gears, as stated even after all this and more it still remains that the Denominated Churches are half right. We can see by these same verses (Heb./2Cor.) there definitely WAS a “change of the law”, and that a specific component did ‘pass away’. When those of the church crowd assert that ‘Christ is my rest ... in Him I have kept the law perfectly’, usually attempting to negate any Old Testament responsibility; they yet make even another point concerning this same component. That specific component is identifiable and is the source of valid contention between the Denominated Churches and the Torah Observant Assemblies. Flat out, the Torah Observant Assemblies especially those that identify closely with Orthodox Judaism, stress keeping many laws that are not Covenant at all, and are therefore, for that half, validly are in error; the very same half that the Denominated Churches are right about (This will be dealt with in Chapter 5).

This situation has a deeper Truth to be understood; that again showcases YHWH using His own principle (Deut.19:15). The split of Israel (that is the Northern and Southern Tribes) has ultimately produced 2 witnesses ... the Jews and the Judeo-Christian Church. Both have a cache of Truth the other needs ... both have a cache of Error even Lies that must be discerned and trashed. For one to deny the other is to deny the Truth and the purpose of the Truth, even the plan of YHWH embedded in the half each respective of the other has. In His book “The Secrets of Revelation” on page 96, Jacques Doukhan states it this way:

“In fact, it is precisely because one of these testimonies has been neglected, rejected, or ignored (the Old Testament and Torah by the Church, the New Testament and Messiah by Israel), that theses two witnesses, Israel (the Jews-insertion

mine) and the church, have to survive together for they need each other. To leave out either one distorts God's purposes and destroys part of His revelation. Indeed, not only do the two testimonies shed light on each other, but they complete each other; each witness presenting a unique truth absent in the other, and that the other needs."

John 1:16 And from His fullness have we all received, grace **upon** grace.17 For the law was given through Moses; grace and truth came through (Yahshua). (RSV)

Notice: John showcases the blessing of 'grace and truth' 'through (Yahshua)' as being built 'upon' the foundation of the 'grace' blessing of the Mosaic 'law' (specifically Covenant Law contained in the Sinai Covenant mediated by Moses). I know this must seem like a contradicting thought to most Sunday church goers ... but there it is. Though this verse serves to emphatically make part of this books point, it none the less further show cases the problem of non-distinction of the use of the word 'Law'; this can only be Covenant Law as will be shown. Those that would bristle must remember that to actually legally family with YHWH thru Lawfully Legal Marriage Family Covenant is the point and pinnacle of Salvation Blessing to be bestowed on mankind. This Marriage has no point without Scriptural Salvation; this Scriptural Salvation has its very function and hope in this Scriptural Covenant Marriage that allows the 'Go`el-Kinsmen Redeemer' (Yahshua the Messiah) to Redeem **kin** i.e. Family. The Levitical law had no part of this Marriage Covenant other than to guard and support it. (See Chapter 5)

As we go to the definitions of Chapter 4, we need to understand a few things about Biblical 'grace'. Biblical 'grace' freely bestowed ...freely obtained (upon repentance) did not come free ... there was a price. Salvation Biblical 'grace' freely obtainable; is only obtainable/maintainable upon sustained repentant obedience. Biblical 'grace' freely obtained is not just a New Testament idea. Biblical 'grace' freely obtained is not some imagined 'effort-free' zone. Biblical 'grace' does have responsibility that is directed by the one giving Biblical 'grace'. Biblical 'grace' does have responsibility; it also has reward. Biblical 'grace' freely obtained includes the Biblically directed responsibility to maintain a walk in repentant obedience directly tied to Covenant. Covenant contains Covenant responsibilities i.e. Covenant law. The 'way' of 'faith' and 'grace' has always been the same; ... through Covenant.

Ex 33:13 "Now therefore, I pray thee, if I have found **grace** in thy sight, shew me now thy way, that I may know thee, that I may find **grace** in thy sight: and consider that this nation is thy people."

Ps 84:11"For the YHWH is a sun and shield: YHWH will give **grace** and glory: no good thing will he withhold from them that walk uprightly."

(Compare this to Ex.19:10)

Acts 20:32 “And now, brethren, I commend you to YHWH, and to the Word of His grace, which is able to build you up, and to give you an inheritance among all them which are sanctified”.

Rom. 4:16 “Therefore it is of (fidelity) faith, that it might be by grace; to the end ‘the promise’ might be sure to all the seed; not to that only which is of the law, but to that also which is of the faith of Abraham; who is the father of us all,”

Note; “the faith of Abraham” included ‘Law’ (Gen.26:5) ... lifelong abided by ‘Law’ i.e. lifelong abide-able ‘Law’; the same ‘Law’ as Biblically considered ‘New Covenant Law’ (Heb.8:10), this is **not** the same as Levitical law (Heb.7:11). It is ‘Levitical law’ that is ... “not of grace” ... not of ‘righteousness’ (Rom.4:14).

2 Peter 2:21 “For it had been better for them **not** to have known the way of righteousness, than, after they have known it, to turn from the holy commandment delivered unto them.”

Titus 3:7 “That being justified by His grace, we should be made heirs according to the hope of eternal life.”

Heb.10:29 “Of how much sorer punishment, suppose ye, shall he be thought worthy, who hath trodden under foot the Son of YHWH, and hath counted the **blood of the covenant**, wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite unto the Spirit of grace”
(Zec.12:10)

Note: The Blood that seals this ‘New Covenant’ is the same Blood that seals the Laws contained in this ‘New Covenant’ (Jer.31:31-33; Heb.8:8-10; Gen.26:5) i.e. part and parcel of the ‘law of grace’ we are to ‘grow’ in. The same ‘law of grace’ that will bind the ‘Righteous’ as Family ... YHWH’s Covenanted Family. Also noteworthy is the realization that there are only two places in the entire Bible that contain the phrase “Spirit of grace”; one from the Old Testament, one from the New Testament.

Zec.12:10 "And I will pour upon the house of David, and upon the inhabitants of Jerusalem, the **spirit of grace** and of supplications: and they shall look upon me whom they have pierced, and they shall mourn for him, as one mourneth for his only son, and shall be in bitterness for him, as one that is in bitterness for his firstborn."



001221 Official Seal **CopyrightDeposit.com** issued
2005/11/14 at 14:35 (ET). <http://www.copyrightdeposit.com/rep3/001221.htm>

- 1 www.netzarim.co.il/Mall/NetzShop/BookDesc.htm,
www.michaelrood.com/seminars.htm
- 2 “Come out of Her My People” by C.J. Koster ...
“The Two Babylons” by Alexander Hislop ...
“Fossilized Customs” by Lew White
An older Dictionary and or Encyclopedia
- 3 Mario Jimenez - specialministry@hotmail.com
- 4 Article - “Is Your Religion Neurotic” by Dr. David L. Antion PhD
www.gaurdian-ministries.org - Sabbath Sentinel Magazine -
March/April 2005 issue.
- 5 ‘The Blood’ ... Its Power From Genesis to Jesus to You ... Benny Hinn ...
Creation House 600 Rinehart Road Lake Mary, FL 32746
- 6 Book - ‘The Confusing World of Benny Hinn’
www.deceptioninthechurch.com/bhinn.html
- 7 www.timechangermovie.com